VILLAGE OF LOMBARD REQUEST FOR BOARD OF TRUSTEES ACTION

For Inclusion on Board Agenda

| X | Resolution or Ordinance (Blue) _ Recommendations of Boards, Cor Other Business (Pink) | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| TO: | PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF | TRUSTEES | | • | | |
| FROM: | William T. Lichter, Village Manager | | | | | |
| DATE: | November 8, 2005 | (B of T) Date: | November 17, 20 | 005 | | |
| TITLE: | Lombard Business District No. 1 | | | | | |
| SUBMITTED BY: | Department of Community Devel | opment Wif | t | | | |
| The Department of Co the establishment of L | LICY IMPLICATIONS: community Development transmits: combard Business District No. 1 lo con the Yorktown Mall Property, g surrounding areas. | cated at the Nor | theast Corner of | Butterfield Road | | |
| Staff recommends app | proval of this request. | | | | | |
| Staff is requesting wa | iver of first reading. | | | | | |
| Please place this item | on the November 17, 2005 Board | of Trustees agen | ıda. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Impact/Funding | g Source: | • | | | | |
| Review (as necessary) Village Attorney X Finance Director X Village Manager X | 1). 1h. , - Lich | | Date | 105 | | |

NOTE: All materials must be submitted to and approved by the Village Manager's Office by 12:00 noon, Wednesday, prior to the Agenda Distribution.



MEMORANDUM

To: William T. Lichter, Village Manager

From: David A. Hulseberg, AICP, Director of Community Development.

Date: November 8, 2005

Subject: Lombard Business District No. 1 – Establishing Ordinances

This memorandum provides an overview as to what a business district is and the rationale for the creation of the same. Further, this memorandum reviews the proposed Lombard Business District No. 1 for Yorktown Mall and a staff recommendation of the same.

Background:

Since 1970, municipalities have had the ability to create Business Districts. These business districts may be instituted wherever the local officials wish to stimulate economic growth. A business district allows the local community to designate a particular targeted area within a municipality that is identified as being in need of "economic development" and provides for certain municipal powers within that district that do not exist in the balance of the municipality. The Village of Lombard has only created Tax Increment Financing (TIF) districts and has also initiated two sales tax rebate agreements as ways to promote economic redevelopment.

In 2004, a proposal was approved by the legislature, which provided an additional provision to business districts in Illinois. This new provision allowed certain qualified business districts to impose up to an additional 1.0% sales tax on purchases within that district. The additional 1.0% could be used to assist in redevelopment initiatives much like a TIF. Expenditures such as land write downs, infrastructure improvements, traffic enhancements, remediation assistance, demolition, site preparation, extraordinary costs, all are examples of eligible expenses.

Yorktown Mall Challenges:

Yorktown Mall has been in the process of finding a suitable and appropriate big box user for the vacant Wards space. As a result, the vacancy of Wards has negatively impacted the 1st and 2nd floors of the adjacent mall wing connected to that space for some time. Additional challenges include:

- available outlots around the ring road that have never been developed;
- watermains throughout the mall needing replacement;

Lombard Business District No. 1 – Establishing Ordinances Page 2 November 8, 2005

- access to the property has been limited since the State removed one access into the mall along Butterfield when it improved the Highland/Butterfield intersection;
- aesthetic improvements to the façade and lighting of the mall are needed; and
- the reciprocal easement agreements controlling the mall are expiring (these agreements provide for cross-parking rights, ingress-egress rights, land development approvals, cost maintenance sharing provisions).

Business District Plan No. 1:

The proposed Lombard Business District No.1 Plan would provide the existing or future owners of Yorktown Mall to proceed with the redevelopment identified as Exhibit B of the plan. The plan provides that the Village has identified an area of Yorktown Mall that it believes would qualify as a business district under the Act, including qualification for a "blighted area" designation under the Act. Yorktown Mall ownership desires to proceed with redevelopment within the area of the proposed Lombard Business District No.1, however, because of the current condition of this area, redevelopment is only possible with the development assistance provided for under the Act. As part of the plan approval, this plan requires two public hearings to establish and designate Lombard Business District No.1, pursuant to the Act, and thereafter approve a redevelopment agreement with the developer. There were no comments opposed to the creation of the Business District in the two public hearings.

Should the Board act favorably upon the two recommended ordinances as part of this memorandum, the Board will then be asked at the December 1st meeting to approve an ordinance imposing a business district retailers occupation tax within the boundaries of Lombard Business District No. 1. In addition, staff is presently working with the developer relative to a redevelopment agreement, which should come before the Village Board as a separate matter in 2006. At that time the developer will provide documentation to justify the requested reimbursement. In a like manner, the Village of Lombard will provide justification for reimbursement of its expenses that relate to the plan.

It is estimated that the there will be up to \$32,000,000 in requested eligible expenses by the Village of Lombard, Yorktown Mall ownership, or its successors in making a redevelopment possible. The term of the life of Lombard Business District No.1 would not extend beyond 23 years. The Village would not bond any debt for the project and would only reimburse the developer as funds are received from the additional sales tax that is imposed on the district. It is proposed that the full 1.0% additional tax would be levied for this district. It is conservatively estimated that the Lombard Business District No. 1 will create more than \$1,000,000 annually in direct benefit to the Village from its share of the current 1.0% retailers' occupation taxes as a result of redevelopment. A qualified Business District allows up to an additional 1.0% sales tax to be levied within

Lombard Business District No. 1 – Establishing Ordinances Page 3 November 8, 2005

the district. Thus, the Village will not be required to share any of its regular sales tax revenue within Lombard Business District No. 1 with the developer, because all expenses would be reimbursed from the additional 1.0% sales tax.

Recommendation:

The members of the Economic and Community Development Committee at their November 1, 2005 meeting unanimously approved the Business District Plan as presented. The Finance Committee will be reviewing this matter at their November 15, 2005 meeting and a recommendation will be forwarded thereafter.

Staff recommends approval of an Ordinance amending Title 3 of the Lombard Village Code in regard to business districts and an Ordinance designating Lombard Business District No. 1 with a waiver of first.

Yorktown Mall has numerous challenges that need to be addressed. The proposed business district is the most practical means to address those challenges with no financial impact upon the Village or any other governmental unit. Further, the Village will receive economic redevelopment of this area, which will add revenue to the existing sales tax base. Consumers purchasing within this area will underwrite the eligible improvements at no cost to the Village.

h:\cd\worduser\director\lifestylecenter\BOT final memo

| ORDINA | NCE NO. | |
|--------|---------|--|
| | | |

7

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 3 OF THE LOMBARD VILLAGE CODE IN REGARD TO BUSINESS DISTRICTS

BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois, as follows:

SECTION 1: That Title 3 of the Lombard Village Code is amended by adding a new Chapter 38 thereto which shall read in its entirety as follows:

"CHAPTER 38

BUSINESS DISTRICTS

§ 38.01: FINDINGS: Pursuant to public hearings held on October 6, 2005 and October 20, 2005, the President and Board of Trustees hereby find that it is essential to the economic and social welfare of the Village that business districts be maintained and revitalized by assuring opportunities for development or redevelopment and by attracting sound and stable commercial growth, thereby enhancing the tax base of the Village and employment opportunities within the Village.

§ 38.02: DESIGNATION: The President and Board of Trustees may designate specific areas of the Village as business districts when they find that such a designation will facilitate the maintenance and revitalization of the area in accordance with Section 38.01 above.

§ 38.03: POWERS: In carrying out development or redevelopment within a designated business district, the President and Board shall have those powers set forth in 65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-3, 5/11-74.3-5 and 5/11-74.3-6, as well as such other powers to facilitate development and redevelopment within a designated business district as allowed by law."

SECTION 2: That this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and approval as provided by law.

| Passe | d on first | reading t | his _ | da | ıy of | f | | | , 2005. | | | |
|-------|------------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-----|-------|----|----------|------|---------|----|
| First | reading | waived | by | action | of | the | Board | of | Trustees | this | day | of |
| | , 20 | 05. | | | | | | | | | | |

1

162942_1.DOC

| Passed on sec | ond reading this | day of | , 2005, p | ursuant to a |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------|---|--------------|
| roll call vote as follow | /s: | | | |
| AYES: | | ···· | | _ |
| NAYS: | | | | _ |
| ABSENT: | | | | _ |
| APPROVED | by me this day | y of | , 2005. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | TTT'11' T 3 6 - 11 | |
| | | | William J. Mueller Village President | |
| ATTEST: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Brigitte O' Village C | | | | |

| ORDINANCE NO. | |
|---------------|--|
|---------------|--|

AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATING LOMBARD BUSINESS DISTRICT NO. 1

[HIGHLAND AVENUE/BUTTERFIELD ROAD BUSINESS DISTRICT]

(Northeast Corner of Butterfield Road and Highland Avenue on the Yorktown Mall Property, Generally Consisting of the Former Montgomery Wards Store Site and Surrounding Areas)

BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Lombard,

DuPage County, Illinois, as follows:

SECTION 1: The President and Board of Trustees find as follows:

- A. That on October 6, 2005 and October 20, 2005, public hearings were held by the President and Board of Trustees relative to the designation of Lombard Business District No. 1;
- B. That notice of said public hearings was published in the *Lombard Spectator*, on September 16, 2005 and September 21, 2005 (a copy of the Publisher's Certificate in regard to said publications is attached as Exhibit "A" and made part hereof), and mailed to each taxpayer of record within the proposed Lombard Business District No. 1 by certified mail, return receipt requested, and by First Class U.S. Mail, on September 16, 2005 (a copy of the Certificate of Mailing in regard to said taxpayer of record notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and made part hereof);
- C. That at said public hearings, Village Staff and a representative of Kane, McKenna and Associates, Inc. made presentations in regard to the proposed Redevelopment Plan for Lombard Business District No. 1; a "blighted area" designation, pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-5, for Lombard Business District No. 1; and proposed redevelopment within Lombard Business District No. 1;
- D. That at said public hearings, all members of the public who desired to speak relative to the designation of proposed Lombard Business District No. 1, the "blighted area" designation in relation thereto, or the proposed Redevelopment Plan in relation thereto, were given an opportunity to speak;
- E. That the area legally described below is an area in need of commercial redevelopment so as to maintain and revitalize stable commercial growth within the Village in accordance with Title 3, Chapter 38 of the Lombard Village Code;
- F. That, for the reasons as more specifically set forth in the Redevelopment Plan, entitled "Village of Lombard Highland Avenue/Butterfield Road Business District No. 1 Plan" and prepared by Kane, McKenna and Associates, Inc., attached hereto as Exhibit "C" and made part hereof, the area legally described below:

162935_1.DOC 1

- (1) is a "blighted area," as defined in 65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-5, by reason of the predominance of defective or inadequate street layout, unsafe conditions and deterioration of site improvements;
- (2) constitutes an economic liability to the Village in its present condition and use; and
- on the whole has not been subject to growth and development by private enterprises and would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed or redeveloped without the adoption of the Redevelopment Plan attached hereto as Exhibit "C;"
- G. That in light of Subsection F above, the Village should impose a Business District Retailers' Occupation Tax upon all persons engaged in the business of selling tangible personal property at retail within Lombard Business District No. 1 at the rate of one percent (1%) of the gross receipts of sales made in the course of said business, pursuant to and in accordance with 65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-6; and
- H. That in light of Subsection F above, the Village should impose a Business District Service Occupation Tax upon all persons engaged in the business of making sales of service within Lombard Business District No. 1 who, as an incident to making those sales of service, transfer tangible personal property within Lombard Business District No. 1, either in the form of tangible personal property or real estate, as an incident to a sale of service, at the rate of one percent (1%) of the selling price of tangible personal property so transferred, pursuant to and in accordance with 65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-6.

<u>SECTION 2</u>: That pursuant to Section 38.02 of the Lombard Village Code, the following legally described area is hereby designated as Lombard Business District No. 1:

LOT 1 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT R2003-433529, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS;

ALSO THAT PART OF LOTS 2, 3 AND 4 IN YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R68-44972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOTS 4, 3 AND 2 TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 2; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES, 44 MINUTES, 47 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 2, A DISTANCE OF 558.70 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY, 189.37 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE NORTHWESTERLY, SAID CURVE HAVING

A RADIUS OF 500.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 22 DEGREES, 23 MINUTES, 10 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 301.25 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 3; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 70.00 FEET: THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES, 17 MINUTES, 40 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, 561.13 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY, THE ARC OF CURVE ALONG Α CONCAVE 36.55 FEET SOUTHEASTERLY, SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 210.00 FEET AND A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 04 DEGREES, 38 MINUTES, 41 SECONDS WEST, 36.51 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES, 20 MINUTES, 30 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 680.98 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 82 DEGREES, 05 MINUTES, 50 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 240,24 FEET; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 165.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 138.17 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 451.49 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 65.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 17.45 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 147.37 FEET; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 460.45 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 580.73 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES, 39 MINUTES, 30 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 286.52 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

ALSO THE WEST 20 FEET OF LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED AUGUST 4. 1971 AS DOCUMENT R71-37779, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; ALSO PART OF LOTS 4 AND 6 IN YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R68-44972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; ALSO PART OF LOT 1 IN PEHRSON SECOND RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 2 AND 3 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29. TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF SAID PEHRSON SECOND RESUBDIVISION, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 3, 1976 AS DOCUMENT R76-62393 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVSION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN; THENCE NORTH 37 DEGREES, 24 MINUTES, 23 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 212.19 FEET; THENCE NORTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 02 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 165.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 87 DEGREES, 01 MINUTES, 58 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 85.00

162935_1.DOC

FEET: THENCE SOUTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 02 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 149.12 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 38 DEGREES, 25 MINUTES, 42 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 604.76 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 66 DEGREES, 33 MINUTES, 07 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 515.96 FEET: THENCE SOUTH 67 DEGREES, 08 MINUTES, 52 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 189.32 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 6: THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID WEST LINE, A DISTANCE OF 314.64 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE NORTH 67 DEGREES, 08 MINUTES, 52 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6. A DISTANCE OF 377.85 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES, 20 MINUTES, 12 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 169.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02 DEGREES, 57 MINUTES, 12 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.10 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 33 MINUTES, 07 SECONDS EAST, ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 821.09 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 03 SECONDS WEST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 295.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 87 DEGREES, 01 MINUTES, 57 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 150.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

P.I.N.'s: Pt. 06-29-101-036; 06-29-101-037; Pt. 06-29-101-038; 06-29-101-039; Pt. 06-29-101-040; Pt.06-29-200-017; Pt. 06-29-200-028; Pt. 06-29-200-054; Pt. 06-29-301-008; 06-26-301-012; and Pt. 06-29-400-002;

said property being more fully depicted on Exhibit "D" attached hereto and made part hereof; commonly known as the Northeast Corner of Highland Avenue and Butterfield Road on the Yorktown Mall Property.

SECTION 3: That the Redevelopment Plan attached hereto as Exhibit "C" is hereby adopted and approved as the Redevelopment Plan for Lombard Business District No. 1.

SECTION 4: That Village Staff is hereby directed to prepare an Ordinance imposing the taxes as set forth in Sections 1G and 1H above.

<u>SECTION 5</u>: That in promoting the commercial development/redevelopment within Lombard Business District No. 1, the President and Board of Trustees may exercise those powers as set forth in Section 38.03 of the Lombard Village Code.

| SECTION 6: That this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after i | ts |
|--|----|
| adoption and approval as provided by law. | |
| Passed on first reading this day of, 2005. | |
| First reading waived by action of the Board of Trustees this day of | ρf |
| , 2005. | |
| Passed on second reading this day of, 2005, pursuant to | a |
| roll call vote as follows: | |
| AYES: | |
| NAYS: | |
| ABSENT: | |
| APPROVED by me this day of, 2005. | |
| William J. Mueller | _ |
| ATTEST: Village President | |
| Brigitte O'Brien Village Clerk | |



AFFIDAVIT

Customer Reference Amount Due:

3815 Business Dist

1,414.00

VILLAGE OF LOMBARD 255 E WILSON LOMBARD, IL 601480000

Linda M.Siebolds, agent of Liberty Suburban Chicago Newspapers, HEREBY CERTIFIES that he/she is Legal Advertising Manager of Liberty Suburban Chicago Newspapers, that said Liberty Suburban Chicago Newspapers is a secular newspaper and has been published weekly in the Village of Lombard, in the county of DuPage in the state of Illinois, continuously for more than one year prior to, on and since the date of the first publication of the notice hereinafter referred to and is of general circulation throughout the county and the state.

That said agent hereby certifies that Liberty Suburban Chicago Newspapers is a newspaper as defined in Section 5 of Chapter 100 of the Illinois Revised Statutes (1961).

That a notice, of which the annexed printed slip is a true copy, was published 2 (two) times in said Liberty Suburban Chicago Newspapers, namely, once each week for two successive week(s). The first publication of said notice as aforesaid was made in said newspaper dated and published on the 16th day of September A.D. 2005 and the last publication thereof was made in said newspaper dated and published on 21st day of September A.D. 2005.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has caused this certification to be signed this 21st day of September A.D. 2005.

Linda M.Siebolds

Legal Advertising Manager

Liberty Suburban Chicago Newspapers

In Subolde

EXHIBIT
"A"

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS IN REGARD TO THE VILLAGE OF LOMBARD'S USE OF BUSINESS DISTRICTS AND THE CREATION OF LOMBARD BUSINESS DISTRICT NO. 1

Notice is hereby given that public hearings will be held on Thursday, October 6, 2005, at 7:30 P.M. and on Thursday, October 20, 2005, at 7:30 P.M. as part of the Regular Lombard Village Board meetings, at the Lombard Village Hall, Board Room, 255 East Wilson Avenue, Lombard, Illinois, in regard to amending the Lombard Village Code to provide for the creation of business districts within the Village, the proposed creation of Lombard Business District No. 1 (including a "blighted area" designation in relation thereto), and the approval of a redevelopment plan in relation to Lombard Business District No. 1, all pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-1 et seq.

The proposed Lombard Business District No. 1 is generally located at the Northeast corner of Butterfield Road and Highland Avenue on the Yorktown Mall property, generally consists of the former Montgomery Wards store site and surrounding areas and is legally described as follows:

LOT 1 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT R2003-433529, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

ALSO THAT PART OF LOTS 2, 3 AND 4 IN YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29. TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R68-44972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BE-GINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOTS 4, 3 AND 2 TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 2; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES, 44 MINUTES, 47 SEC-ONDS EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 2, A DISTANCE OF 558.70 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY, 189.37 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE NORTHWESTERLY, SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 500.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 22 DEGREES, 23 MINUTES, 10 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 301.25 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 3; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 70.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES, 17 MINUTES, 40 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, 561.13 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY, 36.55 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE SOUTHEASTERLY, SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 210.00 FEET AND A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 04 DEGREES, 38 MINUTES, 41 SECONDS WEST, 36.51 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES, 20 MINUTES, 30 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 680.98 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 82 DEGREES, 05 MINUTES, 50 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 240.24 FEET; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 165.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 138.17 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 451.49 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 65.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SEC-ONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 17.45 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DIS-TANCE OF 147.37 FEET; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 460.45 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 580.73 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES, 39 MINUTES, 30 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 286.52 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

ALSO THE WEST 20 FEET OF LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED AUGUST 4, 1971 AS DOCUMENT R71-37779, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; ALSO PART OF LOTS 4 AND 6 IN YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R68-44972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; ALSO PART OF LOT 1 IN PEHRSON SECOND RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 2 AND 3 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVI-SION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, AC-CORDING TO THE PLAT OF SAID PEHRSON SECOND RESUBDIVISION, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 3, 1976 AS DOCU-MENT R76-62393 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN; THENCE NORTH 37 DEGREES, 24 MI-NUTES, 23 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 212.19 FEET; THENCE NORTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 02 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 165.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 87 DEGREES, 01 MINUTES, 58 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 85.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 02 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 149.12 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 38 DEGREES, 25 MINUTES, 42 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 604.76 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 66 DEGREES, 33 MINUTES, 07 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 515.96 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 67 DEGREES, 08 MI-NUTES, 52 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 189.32 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID WEST LINE, A DISTANCE OF 314.64 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE NORTH 67 DEGREES, 08 MINUTES, 52 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6, A DISTANCE OF 377.85 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES, 20 MINUTES, 12 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 97 MINUTES, 97 M TANCE OF 169.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02 DEGREES, 57 MINUTES, 12 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.10 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 33 MINUTES, 07 SECONDS EAST, ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 821.09 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 02 DE-GREES, 58 MINUTES, 03 SECONDS WEST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 295.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 87 DEGREES, 01 MINUTES, 57 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DIS-TANCE OF 150.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

P.I.N.'s: Pt. 06-29-101-036; 06-29-101-037; Pt. 06-29-101-038; 06-29-101-039; Pt. 06-29-101-040; Pt. 06-29-200-017; Pt. 06-29-200-028; Pt. 06-29-200-054; Pt. 06-29-301-008; 06-26-301-012; and Pt. 06-29-400-002.

In so designating Lombard Business District No. 1 and approving the business district redevelopment plan in relation thereto, the Village of Lombard intends to make a "blighted area" finding, pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-5, so as to enable the Village to levy a business district retailers' occupation tax and a business district service occupation tax within the boundaries of Lombard Business. District No. 1 at a rate of one percent (1.0%) of gross receipts of retail sales made within Lombard Business District No. 1.

At the public hearings, Village staff and the Village's consultant will make a presentation in regard to the "blighted area" finding and the proposed redevelopment plan relative to Lombard Business District No. 1. The proposed developer of Lombard Business District No. 1 will also be in attendance at the public hearings to make a presentation relative to the redevelopment of the above-described property should it be designated as Lombard Business District No. 1.

At the public hearings, all interested persons may submit written comments or be heard orally with respect to any issues regarding the proposed amendment to the Village Code to provide for the creation of business districts within the Village, the designation of the above-described property as Lombard Business District No. 1, the "blighted area" designation in relation to Lombard Business District No. 1 and/or the redevelopment plan in relation Lombard Business District No. 1. The public hearings may be adjourned by the President and Board of Trustees without further notice other than a motion to be entered upon the minutes of the hearing, fixing the time and place of any subsequent hearings.

Published and mailed by order of the Corporate Authorities of the Village of Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois Brigitte O'Brien, Village Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING LOMBARD BUSINESS DISTRICT NO. 1


CAROL A. GRAVES, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that she caused to be sent by, certified mail, return receipt requested, and by First Class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, a copy of the attached Notice, to those individuals or entities listed on the attached Service List, on the 16th day of September, 2005.

CAROL A. GRAVES

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16th day of September, 2005.

Notary Public

OFFICIAL SEAL
JEAN C CHARPENTIER
NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF ILLINOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:0202009



LOMBARD BUSINESS DISTRICT NO. 1 – TAXPAYER OF RECORD

| 1. | PIN 06-29-101-036 | Taxpayer and Address JC Penney Corp. Property Tax Office PO Box 10001 Dallas, TX 75301 |
|----|----------------------|--|
| 2. | 06-29-101-037 | Saks Property Tax Office 750 Lakeshore Parkway Birmingham, AL 35211 |
| 3. | 06-29-101-038 | CPS Department Stores Lease Administration Manager 750 Lakeshore Parkway Birmingham, AL 35211 |
| 4. | 06-29-101-039 | Saks Property Tax Department 750 Lakeshore Parkway Birmingham, AL 35211 |
| 5. | 06-29-101-040 | Yorktown Joint Venture Attn: Robert Long 203 Yorktown Lombard, IL 60148 |
| 6. | 06-29-200-017 | Yorktown LLC C/o Bridgestone Americas 535 Marriott Drive, No. 9 th Floor Nashville, TN 37214 |
| 7. | 06-29-200-028 | Yorktown LLC Attn: Robert Long 203 Yorktown Lombard, IL 60148 |
| 8. | 06-29-200-054 | Yorktown Joint Venture Attn: Robert Long 203 Yorktown Lombard, IL 60148 |

| 9. | 06-29-400-002 | VonMaur Attn: Terry Kilburg 6565 Brady Street Davenport, IL 62806 |
|-----|---------------|--|
| 10. | 06-29-301-008 | VonMaur Attn: Terry Kilburg 6565 Brady Street Davenport, IL 52806 |
| 11. | 06-29-301-012 | Robert W. Long 203 Yorktown Lombard, IL 60148 |

.

·

.

.

LEGAL NOTICE

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS IN REGARD TO THE VILLAGE OF LOMBARD'S USE OF BUSINESS DISTRICTS AND THE CREATION OF LOMBARD BUSINESS DISTRICT NO. 1

Notice is hereby given that public hearings will be held on Thursday, October 6, 2005, at 7:30 P.M. and on Thursday, October 20, 2005, at 7:30 P.M. as part of the Regular Lombard Village Board meetings, at the Lombard Village Hall, Board Room, 255 East Wilson Avenue, Lombard, Illinois, in regard to amending the Lombard Village Code to provide for the creation of business districts within the Village, the proposed creation of Lombard Business District No. 1 (including a "blighted area" designation in relation thereto), and the approval of a redevelopment plan in relation to Lombard Business District No. 1, all pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-1 et seq.

The proposed Lombard Business District No. 1 is generally located at the Northeast corner of Butterfield Road and Highland Avenue on the Yorktown Mall property, generally consists of the former Montgomery Wards store site and surrounding areas and is legally described as follows:

LOT 1 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT R2003-433529, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

ALSO THAT PART OF LOTS 2, 3 AND 4 IN YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R68-44972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOTS 4, 3 AND 2 TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 2; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES, 44 MINUTES, 47 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 2, A DISTANCE OF 558.70 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY, 189.37 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE NORTHWESTERLY, SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 500.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 22 DEGREES, 23 MINUTES, 10 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 301.25 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE

OF SAID LOT 3; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 70.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES, 17 MINUTES, 40 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, 561.13 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY, ALONG THE ARC OF Α CURVE CONCAVE SOUTHEASTERLY, SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 210.00 FEET AND A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 04 DEGREES, 38 MINUTES, 41 SECONDS WEST, 36.51 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES, 20 MINUTES, 30 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 680.98 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 82 DEGREES, 05 MINUTES, 50 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 240.24 FEET; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 165.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 138.17 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 451.49 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 65.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 17.45 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 147.37 FEET; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 460.45 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES. 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 580.73 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES, 39 MINUTES, 30 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 286.52 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

ALSO THE WEST 20 FEET OF LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29. TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED AUGUST 4, 1971 AS DOCUMENT R71-37779, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; ALSO PART OF LOTS 4 AND 6 IN YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R68-44972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; ALSO PART OF LOT 1 IN PEHRSON SECOND RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 2 AND 3 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF SAID PEHRSON SECOND RESUBDIVISION, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 3, 1976 AS DOCUMENT R76-62393 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVSION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN; THENCE NORTH 37 DEGREES, 24 MINUTES, 23 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 212.19 FEET; THENCE NORTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 02 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 165.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 87 DEGREES, 01 MINUTES, 58 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 85.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 02 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 149.12 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 38 DEGREES, 25 MINUTES,

42 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 604.76 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 66 DEGREES, 33 MINUTES, 07 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 515.96 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 67 DEGREES, 08 MINUTES, 52 SECONDS WEST. A DISTANCE OF 189.32 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST. ALONG SAID WEST LINE, A DISTANCE OF 314.64 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE NORTH 67 DEGREES. 08 MINUTES, 52 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6, A DISTANCE OF 377.85 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES, 20 MINUTES, 12 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 169.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02 DEGREES, 57 MINUTES, 12 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.10 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 33 MINUTES, 07 SECONDS EAST, ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 821.09 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 03 SECONDS WEST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 295.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 87 DEGREES, 01 MINUTES, 57 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 150.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

P.I.N.'s: Pt. 06-29-101-036; 06-29-101-037; Pt. 06-29-101-038; 06-29-101-039; Pt. 06-29-101-040; Pt.06-29-200-017; Pt. 06-29-200-028; Pt. 06-29-200-054; Pt. 06-29-301-008; 06-26-301-012; and Pt. 06-29-400-002.

In so designating Lombard Business District No. 1 and approving the business district redevelopment plan in relation thereto, the Village of Lombard intends to make a "blighted area" finding, pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-5, so as to enable the Village to levy a business district retailers' occupation tax and a business district service occupation tax within the boundaries of Lombard Business District No. 1 at a rate of one percent (1.0%) of gross receipts of retail sales made within Lombard Business District No. 1.

At the public hearings, Village staff and the Village's consultant will make a presentation in regard to the "blighted area" finding and the proposed redevelopment plan relative to Lombard Business District No. 1. The proposed developer of Lombard Business District No. 1 will also be in attendance at the public hearings to make a presentation relative to the redevelopment of the above-described property should it be designated as Lombard Business District No. 1.

160718_1.DOC 3

At the public hearings, all interested persons may submit written comments or be heard orally with respect to any issues regarding the proposed amendment to the Village Code to provide for the creation of business districts within the Village, the designation of the above-described property as Lombard Business District No. 1, the "blighted area" designation in relation to Lombard Business District No. 1 and/or the redevelopment plan in relation Lombard Business District No. 1. The public hearings may be adjourned by the President and Board of Trustees without further notice other than a motion to be entered upon the minutes of the hearing, fixing the time and place of any subsequent hearings.

Published and mailed by order of the Corporate Authorities of the Village of Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois Brigitte O'Brien, Village Clerk

| Approved by | the Lombard | Village | Board |
|-------------|-------------|---------|-------|
| • | | 9 | |


VILLAGE OF LOMBARD HIGHLAND AVENUE/BUTTERFIELD ROAD BUSINESS DISTRICT NO. 1 PLAN

Prepared by:

Kane, McKenna and Associates, Inc.

Prepared for:

Village of Lombard



Village of Lombard Highland Avenue/Butterfield Road Business District No. 1

| <u>Section</u> | Table of Contents | <u>Pa</u> | ge |
|----------------|---|---------------------|----|
| I | INTRODUCTION | 1 | |
| | A. BackgroundB. Village Goals and Ob | 1 njectives 3 | |
| 1. | QUALIFICATION OF TH | - | |
| ш | BUSINESS DISTRICT DE | SCRIPTION 7 | • |
| | A. General DescriptionB. Legal Description | 7 7 | |
| rv | BUSINESS DISTRICT REI | | |
| | A. Business District Police | | |
| | B. Private Development C. General Project Descr | | |
| | D. Business District Proje | ect Costs 9 | |
| v | VILLAGE PUBLIC FINAN | NCING ASSISTANCE 12 | |
| VI | SOURCES OF FUNDS TO PROJECT COSTS | PAY DEVELOPMENT | |
| VII | ESTABLISHMENT AND T BUSINESS DISTRICT | TERM OF THE | |
| VIII | FORMAL FINDINGS | 16 | |
| IX | PLAN AMENDMENTS | 17 | |

Table of Contents (Continued)

EXHIBITS

Exhibit A - Legal Description

Exhibit B - Boundaries

Exhibit C - Existing Land Use
Exhibit D - Traffic Report
Exhibit E - Engineering Report

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

The Village of Lombard (the "Village"), has identified that certain portions of the Village's Yorktown Shopping Center, specifically the former Montgomery Wards site and interior retail spaces adjacent to the former Montgomery Wards site, outlots near the former Montgomery Wards site, and parking fields adjacent to or near the former Montgomery Wards site, and roadways adjacent to and/or providing access to the former Montgomery Wards site, located near Butterfield Road and Highland Avenue (the "Business District") which would benefit from the utilization of a business district designation as specifically provided for in 65 ILCS 55/11-74.3-1 et. seq., (the "BD Act" or "Business District Act"). Kane, McKenna and Associates, Inc. ("KMA") has been retained to assist the Village in preparing the Business District plan for the area described above.

The Business District is generally located at the northeast corner of the intersection of Butterfield Road and Highland Avenue, as legally described in Exhibit A attached hereto and made part hereof, and graphically depicted on the map attached hereto as Exhibit B and made part hereof.

The Yorktown Mall (the "Mall") was originally constructed between 1966 and 1968. According to Mall management, the Montgomery Ward retail store opened in 1968.

The former store consists of approximately 218,000 sq. ft. and occupies two (2) levels. The Montgomery Ward retail operation closed in 2001. A Magellan furniture store occupied approximately 109,000 sq. ft. of the space for almost one year (2003), but then terminated operations at the Mall. The former Montgomery Ward space has been completely vacant since late 2003.

Mall management has indicated that retail uses adjacent to the store in the wing of the Mall adjacent to the store have experienced reductions in sales and many of these leases have shorter terms due to the loss of the anchor. Immediately adjacent spaces to the Montgomery Ward space are also vacant - including a former Woolworth store and Barrett's Audio store. Portions of the adjacent wing would also be included in the Business District, as well as portions of the parking lots and interior roads located adjacent to the former Montgomery Ward space.

The Village recognizes that economic development initiatives can offer communities the opportunity to realize various goals, including increased property and sales taxes and the capture of sales taxes and users from the immediate area. A diversified tax base, increased employment and services to local residents, and reductions in blight and vacancies are also important to the Village. Finally, local control over projects is desired when federal or State dollars are not readily available.

The Highland Avenue/Butterfield Road Business District No. 1 Plan (the "Plan") developed by the Village is an initial step in an effort to maintain and strengthen Village retail and commercial advantages, preserve area strengths, and to develop a flexible and workable approach in order to maintain retail and commercial uses within the Village. The former Montgomery Ward store redevelopment will require that portions of the space are demolished and reconfigured in order to address obsolete characteristics for newer market uses.

It is hoped that the Plan's goals and objectives will be supplemented by ongoing efforts as well as integrated with new proposed efforts that take into account and attempt to balance both the marketplace and Village planning preferences.

The Business District Act authorizes Illinois municipalities to designate an area within the municipality as a business district. A business district must be established in conformance with a specific plan for business districts officially approved by the corporate authorities of the municipality after public hearings.

In accordance with the Act, the Village may exercise the following powers in carrying out a business district development plans:

- 1. To approve all development and redevelopment proposals for a business district;
- 2. To exercise the use of eminent domain for the acquisition of real and personal property for the purpose of a development or redevelopment project;
- 3. To acquire, manage, convey or otherwise dispose of real and personal property acquired pursuant to the provisions of a development or redevelopment plan;
- 4. To apply for and accept capital grants and loans from the United Stated or the State, for business district development and redevelopment;
- 5. To borrow funds as it may be deemed necessary for the purpose of business district development and redevelopment, and in this connection issue such obligation or revenue bonds as it shall be deemed necessary, subject to applicable statutory limitations;
- 6. To enter into contracts with any public or private agency or person;
- 7. To sell, lease, trade or improve such real property as may be acquired in connection with business district development and redevelopment plans;
- 8. To employ all such persons as may be necessary for the planning, administration and implementation of business district plans;
- 9. To expend such public funds as may be necessary for the planning, execution and implementation of the business district plans;

- 10. To establish by ordinance or resolution procedures for the planning, execution and implementation of business district plans;
- 11. To create a Business District Development and Redevelopment Commission to act as agent for the municipality for the purposes of business district development and redevelopment;
- 12. To impose a retailers' occupation tax and a service occupation tax ("Sales Tax") in the business district for the planning, execution, and implementation of business district plans and to pay for business district project costs as set forth in the business district plan approved by the municipality.
- 13. To impose a hotel operators' occupation tax in the business district for the planning, execution, and implementation of business district plans and to pay for the business district project costs as set forth in the business district plan approved by the municipality.
- 14. To issue obligations in one or more series bearing interest at rates determined by the corporate authorities of the municipality by ordinance and secured by the business district tax allocation fund set forth in Section 11-74.3-6 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.3-6] for the business district project costs.

The service occupation and retailers' occupation taxes may be imposed at a rate of not exceeding one percent (1%), must be imposed in quarter percent (0.25%) increments, may not be imposed on "food for human consumption that is to be consumed off the premises where it is sold (other than alcoholic beverages, soft drinks, and food that has been prepared for immediate consumption, prescription and nonprescription medicines, drugs, medical appliances, modifications to a motor vehicle for the purposes of rendering it usable by a disabled person, and insulin, urine testing materials, syringes, and needles used by diabetics, for human use," and may only be imposed for no more than twenty-three (23) years. These taxes, if imposed, shall be collected by the Illinois Department of Revenue and then disbursed to the Village. The hotel operators' occupation tax may be imposed at a rate of not to exceed one percent (1%), must be imposed in quarter percent (0.25%) increments, may only be imposed for no more than twenty-three (23) years and, if imposed, must be collected by the Village.

In accordance with the Business District Act, the Plan sets forth the necessity for, the objectives of, and the development program for the Business District in the Village.

B. Village Goals and Objectives

Village Goals

- To provide for implementation of economic development and redevelopment strategies that benefit the Village and its residents.
- To encourage positive and feasible redevelopment of vacant properties and/or underutilized facilities.
- To provide public infrastructure improvements within the Village to promote growth.
- To strengthen the property tax base and sales tax base of the Village.
- To create new jobs and retain existing jobs for the Village and area residents.
- To coordinate all redevelopment within the Village in a comprehensive manner.

Village Objectives

- 1) Encourage the redevelopment of the former Montgomery Wards store.
- 2) Stabilize retail operations in the space located adjacent to the former Montgomery Wards store.
- 3) Encourage the development of outlots within the Business District.
- 4) Improve water mains and water service within the Business District.
- 5) Improve ring road, access/egress features, and intersections.
- 6) Coordinate aesthetic improvements relating to facade and lighting.
- 7) Coordinate parking and access/egress related agreements within the Business District, as well as the adjacent Yorktown Shopping Center.

In addition to specific goals relating to commercial development and planning, the Village also has developed a strong economic development orientation.

Diversified economic growth within the Village is essential to the community's development. Economic growth is important since it provides both employment opportunities for residents and strengthens the Village's tax base.

II. QUALIFICATION FOR THE BUSINESS DISTRICT

The Business District is described in Exhibit A and a map of the Business District boundaries is contained in Exhibit B. Exhibit C, attached hereto and made part hereof, contains a description of existing land uses.

Business district development and redevelopment is specifically provided for in 65 ILCS § 5/11-74.3-1 et seq. Pursuant to 65 ILCS § 5/11-74.3-2 and § 5/11-74.3-5, the Village Board may designate a specific area of the Village as a business district, with the authority to levy an additional Sales Tax therein, but only after the holding of at least two (2) public hearings and the making of a formal finding as to the following:

- (i) the business district is a blighted area that, by reason of the predominance of defective or inadequate street layout, unsanitary or unsafe conditions, deterioration of site improvements, improper subdivision or obsolete platting, or the existence of conditions which endanger life or property by fire or other causes, or any combination of those factors, retards the provision of housing accommodations or constitutes an economic or social liability or a menace to the public health, safety, morals, or welfare in its present condition and use; and
- (ii) the business district on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprises or would not be reasonably anticipated to be developed or redeveloped without the adoption of the business district development or redevelopment plan.

The Business District is a "blighted area" as defined by the Business District Act due to:

"Defective or inadequate street layout".

Exhibit D, attached hereto and made part hereof, includes a report prepared by Kenig, Lindgren, O'Hara Aboona, Inc. ("KLOA"), dated September 1, 2005. The report identifies the following inadequate street layout issues and unsafe conditions:

Defective or inadequate street layout

(Note: the section below are excerpted from the KLOA report attached as Exhibit D pages 4 and 7).

Fairfield Avenue's (as extended of Butterfield Road) cross-section which provides dual left-turn lanes, dual right-turn lanes and a through lane provides sufficient capacity to accommodate exiting traffic volumes as well as winter holiday traffic volumes. However, the throat width accommodating exiting movements (60 feet) creates weaving problems.

Based on accident data provided by the Village of Lombard for the past two years, there have been 14 weaving accidents at this location.

- The provision of dual left-turn lanes on Butterfield Road into the shopping center also creates a weaving problem, although not to the same degree as for existing traffic. Theoretically, the outside lane would be the right turn on while the inside lane is the left turn onto the ring road. The weave is due to the driver's inappropriate selection of the left-turn land on Butterfield Road.
- The existing street layout, as well as cross section, is obsolete and inadequate due to travel pattern changes and as such is not completely efficient. The wide cross section has created safety issues developed by the large number of weaving maneuvers created by the dual left-turn lane on the west approach of Butterfield Road. This driveway should be reworked to improve safety.
- "Unsanitary or unsafe conditions".

Unsafe Conditions

(Note: the sections below are excerpted from the KLOA report attached as Exhibit D, page 7).

KLOA, Inc. collected historical accident data from the Village of Lombard in order to determine if the intersections of Fairfield Avenue (as extended north of Butterfield Road) with Butterfield Road and the shopping center's ring road are high accident locations. The past three years data were reviewed (2002, 2003 and 2004). The intersection of Fairfield Avenue with Butterfield had 48, 32 and 40 accidents during the years 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively. Based on the data provided by the Village, this intersection is continuously in the top ten highest accident incident intersections in the Village of Lombard. This is mainly due to the high volume of traffic experienced on both legs and the existing weaving problems between the ring road and Butterfield Road (see above).

It should be noted that many years ago when Highland Avenue intersected Butterfield Road as an at-grade intersection, the shopping center had a right-in/right-out access drive off Butterfield Road in addition to Fairfield Avenue. When the grade separation of Highland Avenue and Butterfield Road was completed, the shopping center lost the right-in/right-out access drive on Butterfield Road and more external right-turn movements and internal left/right-turn movements were transferred to the Fairfield Avenue intersection with Butterfield Road and the Yorktown ring road, respectively. This increase in turning movements have exacerbated the typical weaving problem at these intersections.

3) "Deterioration of site improvements".

Exhibit E includes information provided by the Village's Director of Public Works and Burns and McDonnell, engineers retained by the Village, which identify deteriorated conditions associated with the water system that serves the Business District.

In its present conditions and use, the vacancies associated with the former Montgomery Ward space and adjacent Mall spaces have resulted in the loss of sales taxes, employment opportunities, and retail/commercial services to the Village. As such, the Business District properties, in conjunction with the findings described above, constitute an economic liability as set forth in the Business District Act.

Based upon review of annual sales information provided by the Mall management, the former Montgomery Ward wing (adjacent to the vacant store) has not performed well in relation to the remainder of the Mall. The age of the former Montgomery Ward structure and its special use nature (anchor department store space) have also resulted in less than optimal utilization within the marketplace. The current vacant condition of the space supports the finding that the Business District has not been subject to private growth and development.

As part of this Plan's preparation, the Village hereby makes a formal finding that the Business District is a "blighted area" pursuant to the requirements of the Business District Act due to the predominance of defective or inadequate street layout, unsafe conditions and deterioration of site improvements present within the Business District (this finding is also included in Section VIII) and furthermore, that the Business District constitutes an economic liability to the Village in its present condition and use.

III. BUSINESS DISTRICT DESCRIPTION

A. General Description

The Business District is generally described as being bounded by Highland Avenue on the west, Butterfield Road on the south, portions of the Mall wing adjacent to the former Montgomery Ward store to the northeast, and the parking lot and interior Mall road to the east.

B. Legal Description

The legal description is included in Exhibit A and includes only parcels of real property that will be directly and substantially benefited by this Plan.

IV. BUSINESS DISTRICT REDEVELOPMENT

A. Business District Policy Criteria

The Village has established the following policy criteria to guide development activities within the Business District:

- (1) Preserve and create an environment within the Business District which will promote the economic and social welfare of the Village including opportunities for new retail/ commercial growth and for retention of existing commercial activities.
- (2) Exercise powers provided for under the Business District Act in the promotion of the public interest and enhancement of the tax base and tax revenues to the Village.
- (3) Enhance the economic well-being and strengthen the retail/commercial sector within the Business District by encouraging private investment and reinvestment through public financing vehicles, if necessary, to increase business activity, attract sound and stable commercial growth, create and retain job opportunities and enhance and diversify the tax base.
- (4) Address the need for economic feasibility, cost efficiency and economies of scale in development through encouragement of coordinated development of projects and through prudent and appropriate acquisition and assemblage of parcels. The Village will review projects on an individual basis in order to determine the need for assistance.
- (5) Provide necessary public infrastructure that enhances the Business District to create an attractive service and/or shopping environment to encourage and support private investment.
- (6) Establish adequate and safe vehicular and pedestrian circulation and provide adequate parking in locations easily accessible for patrons and other users of commercial activities.

B. Private Development Actions

The Village has a commitment to be prudent regarding the use of public resources in the assistance of economic development activities. Accordingly, Village assistance to economic development projects located within the Business District, as generally described below, (the "Development Project(s)) will require thorough Village review of the need for public assistance and the Village Board of Trustees will need to approve the terms of assistance in development agreements with private entities. Private development actions must conform to the Village's Business District Policy Criteria set forth in Section IV.A. above.

Private entities will need to evidence capacity to implement Development Projects and they must conform to the appropriate Village planning provisions. The Village seeks to expand and diversify its economic and tax base; Development Projects must serve to improve the economic and tax base of the Village.

C. General Project Descriptions

The Village intends to provide or enter into agreements with developers or other private sector interests to provide certain public and private improvements in the Business District to enhance the immediate area and to serve the needs of development.

Potential projects include the redevelopment of the former Montgomery Wards facility and the adjacent areas into modern, renovated retail/commercial uses, including the redevelopment of a "lifestyle center".

Village projects may include:

- Improvement of public utilities including construction or replacement of the water mains and related system improvements;
- Improvement of ring roads; entryway related features, and intersections;
- Installation of new traffic signalization and/or signs;
- Beautification and installation of identification markers, landscaping/ streetscaping; and
- Relocation and/or burial of utility lines.

To achieve the objectives of this Plan, the Village may additionally enter into agreements with one or more developers or other private sector interests in order to implement the objectives of this Plan.

D. Business District Project Costs

In undertaking the activities described above, the Village may incur and expend funds related to the implementation of the projects described above. A list of the types of costs that the Village may undertake to fund follows below. The exact amount of assistance that the Village would be embodied in development agreements for each individual project.

Village of Lombard Highland Avenue/Butterfield Road Business District No. 1 Types of Business District Project Costs and Estimated Budget Allocations

Estimated costs are shown below. Adjustments to these cost items may be made without amendment to the Plan. The costs represent estimated amounts and do not represent actual Village commitments or expenditures. Rather, they are a ceiling on possible expenditures of funds in the Business District.

| (a) | Land Acquisition/Assembly (including parking space allowances) | \$ 1,000,000 |
|-----|--|--------------|
| (b) | Public Infrastructure (Watermains, construction or reconstruction of roadways, curb and gutter) | \$ 4,000,000 |
| (c) | Traffic Signalization/Signage/Roadway Related Improvements | \$ 2,000,000 |
| (d) | Site Preparation (including parking improvements); demolition; grading; excavation | \$10,000,000 |
| (e) | Rehabilitation of Structures | \$14,000,000 |
| (f) | Utility Relocation/Burial Note: associated planning, architect/engineering, legal, and other professional costs may be included within each line item. | \$ 1,000,000 |
| | Total Maximum Expenditure | \$32,000,000 |

All project cost estimates are in 2005 dollars. In addition to the above stated costs, any bonds or debt obligations (including notes) issued by the Village may include an amount of proceeds sufficient to pay customary and reasonable charges associated with the issuance of such obligations as well as to provide for capitalized interest and reasonably required reserves. Adjustments to the estimated line item costs above are expected. The individual costs will be reevaluated in light of the nature of the private development and resulting tax revenues as each project is considered for public financing alternatives that the Village may provide.

The totals of line items set forth above are not intended to place a total limit on the described expenditures. Adjustments may be made in line items within the Total Maximum Expenditure, either increasing or decreasing individual line item costs.

Adjustments to these cost items may be made without amendment to the Plan as long as the Total Maximum Expenditures remain unchanged.

V. VILLAGE PUBLIC FINANCING ASSISTANCE

Although the Business District is in a location along arterial roads; the size and configuration of parcels, certain site characteristics, and the provision of public improvements may require public assistance. Anticipated costs of public and site improvements and/or land assembly required for the Development Projects may render private development infeasible. Accordingly, public assistance may be required to off-set certain costs of initial development.

Village assistance will be limited to the amounts required to implement the Development Projects in a feasible and fiscally prudent manner. Development Projects must conform to applicable Village codes and plans and serve to provide an adequate return/benefit to the Village. The extent of assistance, if any, shall be determined by the Board of Trustees of the Village upon a full review of any proposed Development Projects.

The Village has an obligation to be prudent with the use of public resources in commercial development activities. For this reason it is very important that, whenever the use of public financing is at issue in relation to commercial redevelopment goals for the Development Projects, the Village have a process in place to govern conditions under which it will make private development incentives available for a given project.

That process must allow for adequate analysis of a request for public financial assistance and the evaluation of a project to determine if it meets the needs and objectives of the Village. All this should be incorporated into a business development agreement which can only become effective with approval by the Village's Board of Trustees. This process should include the following steps.

- (1) Developer approaches Village for specific private development incentives assistance and presents a request to the Village.
- (2) Village will review request. If deemed a potentially viable and beneficial project, Village will begin review of Development Project.
- (3) Village will review and analyze information submitted by developer. Any additional information required by the Village shall be submitted to the Village.
- (4) If request is deemed feasible, then the request may be processed by the Village.
- (5) Village attorney will initiate a business development agreement.
- (6) Negotiations on the Development Project will then be held. (By developer with Village staff).

(7) Development Project presented with Village staff recommendation for review and approval by the Village's Board of Trustees.

Note: Many of the steps could be consolidated, depending upon the timetable and characteristics of the Development Project.

The Village may issue obligations secured by the "Highland Avenue/Butterfield Road Business District No. 1 Tax Allocation Fund" established for the District pursuant to the Business District Act.

Any and/or all obligations issued by the Village pursuant to this Plan and the Business District Act shall be retired not more than twenty-three (23) years from the date of adoption of the ordinance approving this Plan. One or more series of obligations may be issued from time to time in order to implement this Plan. The total principal and interest payable in any year on all obligations shall not exceed the amount available in that year or projected to be available in that year, may be payable from incremental sales tax revenues and from bond sinking funds, capitalized interest, debt service reserve funds, and all other sources of funds as may be provided by Village ordinance.

Such securities may be issued on either a taxable or tax-exempt basis, as general obligation bonds, general obligation debt certificates, alternate bonds or revenue bonds, or other debt instruments, with either fixed rate or floating interest rates; with or without capitalized interest; with or without deferred principal retirement; with or without interest rate limits except as limited by law; and with or without redemption provisions, and on such other terms, all as the Village may determine.

VI. SOURCES OF FUNDS TO PAY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT COSTS

Upon designation of the Business District by Village ordinance, the Village intends to impose the service occupation and retailer's occupation taxes provided for by the Business District Act, within the Business District at a rate of one percent (1%) of gross sales for the term of the Business District; not to exceed twenty-three (23) years (the "Special Business District Taxes") as provided for in the Business District Act. Said Special Business District Taxes shall be source of funding for paying Business District Project Costs within the Business District.

A separate Village ordinance shall also be adopted by the Village's Board of Trustees that will create a separate fund entitled the "Highland Avenue/Butterfield Road Business District No. 1 Tax Allocation Fund" in order to receive the Special Business District Taxes from the Illinois Department of Revenue. Pursuant to the Business District Act, all funds received from these taxes must be deposited into this special fund.

VII. ESTABLISHMENT AND TERM OF THE BUSINESS DISTRICT

The establishment of the Business District shall become effective upon adoption of an ordinance by the Village's Board of Trustees adopting this Plan and designating the Business District. Development agreements between the Village and any developers or other private parties shall be consistent with the provisions of the Business District Act and this Plan.

Pursuant to the Business District Act, the Special Business District Taxes described in Section VI may not be imposed for more than twenty three (23) years pursuant to the provisions of the Business District Act. It is expected that the Business District shall expire upon the termination of the imposition of the Special Business District Taxes and the final payout of the same from the "Highland Avenue/Butterfield Road Business District No. Tax Allocation Fund." described above.

VIII. FORMAL FINDINGS

Based upon the information described in Section II, and the attached Exhibits, the Village's Board of Trustees hereby finds and determines as follows:

- (a) The District is a "blighted area" as defined in the Business District Act by reason of the predominance of defective or inadequate street layout, unsafe conditions and deterioration of site improvements;
- (b) The Business District constitutes an economic liability to the Village in its present condition and use; and
- (c) The Business District on the whole has not been subject to growth and development by private enterprises or would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed or redeveloped without the adoption of this Plan.

IX. PLAN AMENDMENTS The Village's Board of Trustees may amend this Plan from time to time by adopting an ordinance providing for such amendment.

Highland Avenue/Butterfield Road Business District Plan Village of Lombard

09.28.05

Co:/Lombard/Reports and Plans/Highland Avenue-Butterfield Road Business District Plan Clean

EXHIBIT A

Legal Description

LOT 1 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT R2003-433529, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

ALSO THAT PART OF LOTS 2, 3 AND 4 IN YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R68-44972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOTS 4, 3 AND 2 TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 2; THENCE NORTH 89 DEGREES, 44 MINUTES, 47 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 2, A DISTANCE OF 558.70 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY, 189.37 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE NORTHWESTERLY, SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 500.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 22 DEGREES, 23 MINUTES, 10 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 301.25 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 3; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 70.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES, 17 MINUTES, 40 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, 561.13 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY, 36.55 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE SOUTHEASTERLY, SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 210.00 FEET AND A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 04 DEGREES, 38 MINUTES, 41 SECONDS WEST, 36.51 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES, 20 MINUTES, 30 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 680.98 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 82 DEGREES, 05 MINUTES, 50 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 240.24 FEET; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 165.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 138.17 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 451.49 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 65.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 17.45 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 147.37 FEET; THENCE NORTH 60 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 460.45 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 580.73 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES, 39 MINUTES, 30 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 286.52 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING:

ALSO THE WEST 20.00 FEET OF LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED AUGUST 4, 1971 AS DOCUMENT R71-37779, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; ALSO PART OF LOTS 4 AND 6 IN YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29,

TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN. ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R68-44972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; ALSO PART OF LOT 1 IN PEHRSON SECOND RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 2 AND 3 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF SAID PEHRSON SECOND RESUBDIVISION, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 3, 1976 AS DOCUMENT R76-62393 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVSION OF LOT 5 OF YORKTOWN; THENCE NORTH 37 DEGREES, 24 MINUTES, 23 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 212.19 FEET; THENCE NORTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 02 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 165.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 87 DEGREES, 01 MINUTES, 58 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 85.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 02 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 149.12 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 38 DEGREES, 25 MINUTES, 42 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 604.76 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 66 DEGREES, 33 MINUTES, 07 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 515.96 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 67 DEGREES, 08 MINUTES, 52 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 189.32 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE SOUTH 30 DEGREES, 00 MINUTES, 00 SECONDS WEST, ALONG SAID WEST LINE, A DISTANCE OF 314.64 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE NORTH 67 DEGREES, 08 MINUTES, 52 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6, A DISTANCE OF 377.85 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES, 20 MINUTES, 12 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 97.52 FEET; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 07 MINUTES, 38 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 169.70 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 02 DEGREES, 57 MINUTES, 12 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 97.10 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE NORTH 66 DEGREES, 33 MINUTES, 07 SECONDS EAST, ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 821.09 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 02 DEGREES, 58 MINUTES, 03 SECONDS WEST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 295.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 87 DEGREES, 01 MINUTES, 57 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 150.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

EXHIBIT B

Business District Boundaries

Exhibit B Boundary Map

Cross hatched areas include property within the Business District boundaries

Lombard Business District 1





EXHIBIT C

Existing Land Use

Cross hatched areas include retail and commercial uses

Lombard Business District 1



YORK TWP. PT. SEC. 29 T.39N. R.HE.

Exhibit D

Traffic Report

9575 W Higgins Road • Suite 400 Rosemont, Illinois 60018

(847) 518-9990 • Fax (847) 518-9987 email: kloa@kloainc.com

MEMORANDUM TO:

Dave Hulseberg

Village of Lombard

FROM:

Donald O'Hara

Principal

Javier Millan Senior Consultant

DATE:

September 1, 2005

SUBJECT:

Yorktown Shopping Center Business District Designation

Lombard, Illinois


This memorandum summarizes the results of a preliminary access evaluation conducted by Kenig, Lindgren, O'Hara, Aboona, Inc. (KLOA, Inc.) for the existing Yorktown Shopping Center in Lombard, Illinois. The shopping center is located on the north of Butterfield Road (IL 56) on the east side of Highland Avenue. The main signalized access drive (Fairfield Avenue) off Butterfield Road is located approximately 2,300 feet east of Highland Avenue.


The purpose of this preliminary study was to evaluate the proposed Yorktown Business District for qualification pursuant to 65ILCS 5/11-74.3-2 and 5/11-74.3-5. Should the Village concur that this area be identified as a blighted area, this study supports that this area is impacted by reason of predominance of defective or inadequate street layout and further that these conditions impact the safety of those traveling in the area.

Existing Conditions

Fairfield Avenue, as mentioned previously, is located approximately 2,300 feet east of Highland Avenue. The shopping center is bordered to the south by Butterfield Road and Highland Avenue to the east. The principal roadway in the vicinity of the site as well as its main access drive are illustrated in Figures 1 and 1A and described in the following paragraph.

Butterfield Road (IL 56) is a six-lane major arterial in the vicinity of the site with a posted speed limit of 45 mph. At its signalized intersection with Fairfield Avenue, Butterfield Road provides an exclusive left-turn lane, three through lanes and an exclusive right-turn lane on the east approach while the west approach provides dual left-turn lanes, three through lanes and an exclusive right-turn lane. Butterfield Road is under the jurisdiction of the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) and has been designated as a Strategic Regional Arterial (SRA) by IDOT.





Fairfield Avenue is a north-south road that serves a business park to the south and the Yorktown shopping center to the north. At its signalized intersection with Butterfield Road, Fairfield Avenue provides dual left-turn lanes, a through lane and dual right-turn lanes on the north approach while the south approach provides dual left-turn lanes and a combined through/right-turn lane. Fairfield Avenue at its unsignalized "T" intersection with the Yorktown shopping center's ring road provides an exclusive left-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane

Yorktown Shopping Center Ring Road provides two lanes in each direction around the shopping center. At its intersection with Fairfield Avenue, the east and west approaches are under stop sign control.


Existing Traffic Volumes


In order to determine current traffic conditions on the existing roadway system, KLOA, Inc. conducted peak period traffic counts at the intersection of Butterfield Road with Fairfield Avenue and Fairfield Avenue with the shopping center's ring road on Wednesday July 20 and Saturday, July 23, 2005 during the evening weekday peak period (4:00 to 6:00 P.M.) and the Saturday midday peak period (11:00 A.M. to 1:00 P.M.). The results of the traffic counts showed that the weekday evening peak hour of traffic occurs from 4:45 to 5:45 P.M. and the Saturday midday peak hour from 12:00 to 1.00 P.M. Figure 2 illustrates the existing traffic volumes. The existing turning movement traffic volumes were also increase by 18 percent to recreate Christmas season traffic volumes. Figure 3 illustrates the Christmas season traffic volumes.

Site Circulation Evaluation

Based on a review of the existing lane configuration on Fairfield Avenue serving the Yorktown center, our observations and the results of our analyses we offer the following:

- Fairfield Avenue's cross-section which provides dual left-turn lanes, dual right-turn lanes and a through lane provides sufficient capacity to accommodate exiting traffic volumes as well as Christmas season traffic volumes. However, the throat width accommodating exiting movements (60 feet) creates weaving problems. Based on accident data provided by the Village of Lombard for the past two years, there have been 14 weaving accidents at this location.
- The provision of dual left-turn lanes on Butterfield Road into the shopping center also creates a weaving problem, although not to the same degree as for exiting traffic. Theoretically, the outside lane would be the right turn on while the inside lane is the left turn onto the ring road. The weave is due to the driver's mappropriate selection of the left-turn lane on Butterfield Road.





Due to the slight offset between the north and south leg of Fairfield Avenue with Butterfield Road, the left-turn movements for tractor trailer rigs could be a problem. Modification to this intersection may be necessary. However, this offset has been functioning for several years without an accident according to the data provided. Changes to the medians in this area are likely to cost up to \$250,000.

• The existing street layout, as well as cross section, is obsolete and inadequate due to travel pattern changes and as such is not completely efficient. The wide cross section has created safety issues developed by the large number of weaving maneuvers created by the dual left-turn lane on the west approach of Butterfield Road. This driveway should be reworked to improve safety.

Access Data Analysis

KLOA, Inc. collected historical accident data from the Village of Lombard in order to determine if the intersections of Fairfield Avenue with Butterfield Road and the shopping center's ring road are high accident locations. We looked at the past three years data (2002, 2003 and 2004) and based on a review of the historical data. The intersection of Fairfield Avenue with Butterfield had 48, 32 and 40 accidents during the years 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively. Based on the data provided by the Village, this intersection is continuously in the top ten highest accident incident intersections in the Village of Lombard. This is mainly due to the high volume of traffic experienced on both legs and the existing weaving problems between the ring road and Butterfield Road.

It should be noted that many years ago when Highland Avenue intersected Butterfield Road as an at-grade intersection, the shopping center had a right-in/right-out access drive off Butterfield Road in addition to Fairfield Avenue. When the grade separation of Highland Avenue and Butterfield Road was completed, the shopping center lost the right-in/right-out access drive on Butterfield Road and more external right-turn movements and internal left/right-turn movements were transferred to the Fairfield Avenue intersection with Butterfield Road and the Yorktown ring road, respectively. This increase in turning movements have exacerbated the typical weaving problem at these intersections.

In order to alleviate and/or reduce the weaving movements and the number of lanes vehicles would have to cross, we have developed five potential alternatives. The possible alternatives are discussed below. Figures 4 through 8 illustrate the different alternatives.

Alternative 1

This alternative would, by placement of barrier medians, restrict the left-turn movement into the ring road and would allow the westbound through movement along the ring road as well as exiting the ring road to proceed through the intersection with Fairfield Avenue in a free flow manner. Eastbound traffic on the ring road desiring to turn left to continue around the ring road should be under stop sign control. Inbound traffic into the shopping center would also operate as a free flow movement.

Pros

- Reduces the number of conflicts at the Fairfield Avenue intersection with the ring road.
- Allows westbound ring road traffic to operate in a free flow manner.
- Continues to allow inbound and outbound traffic to operate in a free flow manner to/from the northeast.
- Controlled access to/from the restaurant site north and east of Butterfield Road intersection with Fairfield Avenue.

Cons

- Does not allow for the provision of inbound left-turn movements from Fairview Avenue onto the ring road to the west.
- The weaving problem from exiting vehicles is still present.
- Existing traffic from the west is under stop sign control. As the center develops, this traffic control may be an issue.

Alternative 2

This alternative is very similar to Alternative 1 but inbound left-turn movements from Fairview Avenue into the ring road are allowed. The alternative also uses more barrier medians to guide vehicles into their desired path and reduces the throat at its intersection with the ring road to two lanes. Once outbound vehicles are in Fairview Avenue, the throat width will open to provide the existing number of lanes.

Pros

- Reduces the number of conflicts at the Fairfield Avenue intersection with the ring road by allowing westbound ring road traffic to operate in a free flow manner.
- Continues to allow inbound traffic to operate in a free flow manner.
- Reduces the weaving problem by constricting the road with to two lanes instead of five lanes. Then, approaching Butterfield Road, Fairfield Avenue widens to meet the curb of the existing five outbound lanes.

Cons

- The weaving problem for exiting vehicles is still present.
- The heavy outbound left turn from the ring road to Fairfield Avenue must yield to entering traffic (left from Fairfield to west on the ring road) which may result in significant vehicular queues exiting Yorktown at the driveway.

Alternative 3

While Alternative 3 is very similar in nature to Alternative 2, inbound traffic on Fairfield Avenue at its intersection with the ring road is split into two specific movements, left or right turns.

Pros

- Better guidance for inbound traffic.
- Distance between Butterfield Road and the ring road is increased thus providing more storage area for exiting vehicles.
- Free flow inbound right turn onto the ring road.
- Free flow right-turn lane from the ring road onto Fairfield Avenue.

Cons

- The weaving problem for exiting vehicles is still present.
- Traffic on the ring road is stopped with exception of the free flow lanes

Alternative 4

Alternative 4's main attribute is the provision of a free flow right-turn lane on the ring road at its intersection with Fairview Avenue as well as the provision of a free flow westbound through lane for the ring road.

Pros

- Reduces the amount of conflict points by providing two free flow movements.
- Provides increased northbound capacity via the ring road.
- Free flows entering traffic to/from the ring road and Fairfield Avenue.

Cons

Left turns to the ring road are required to stop

Alternative 5

This alternative realigns Fairview Avenue as well as the east approach of the ring road to intersect Fairview Avenue as a "T." The ring road would have an eastbound to southbound free flow right-turn lane and the westbound through movement along the ring would also operate as a free flow movement. The westbound to southbound movement on the ring road would be channelized into one lane thus reducing the weaving problem that currently exists. Under this alternative, the dual right-turn lane was reduced to a single right-turn lane to allow vehicles to perform a right-turn on red when traffic conditions allow it

Pros

- Increases the storage distance between Butterfield Road and the ring road.
- Reduces the number of weaving conflicts.
- Reduces the number of overall conflicts at the Fairview Avenue intersection
 with the ring road by providing a free flow right turn and a free flow
 westbound through movement on the ring road.

Cons

 Although the distance between the ring road and Butterfield Road will be increased, there is a potential for northbound to westbound left-turn backups.


It should be noted that a traffic signal at the ring road "T" intersection with Fairview Avenue (under Alternative 5) could be explored as it could reduce the potential for inbound left-turn backups on Fairfield Avenue. A signal at this location should be at a minimum located 600 feet north of the existing traffic signal at Butterfield Road in order to be able to maintain north/south progression and avoid queuing problems.


Another alternative that should be considered in conjunction with all of the alternatives presented is the potential for providing a right in only access drive off the westbound Butterfield Road ramp into Highland Avenue. By virtue of providing a right in only drive on this ramp, the left-turning movements from Fairfield Avenue into the ring road can easily be reduced by 50 percent thus reducing the impact at the Fairfield Avenue intersection with the ring road. This should be pursued diligently since, as previously mentioned, the shopping center used to have a right-in/right-out access drive west of Fairfield Avenue and when it was closed additional traffic and weaving movements were added to the Fairfield Avenue intersection with Butterfield Road and the Yorktown shopping center's ring road.

It should be noted that the approximate cost to implement one of these alternatives would be anywhere between \$175,000 and \$250,000. However, this does not include any work or right-of-way acquisition from IDOT should it be necessary.

Conclusion

Based on a review of the previously discussed alternatives and our preliminary evaluation, it is our professional opinion that Alternative 5 (in conjunction with the provision of a right-in only drive off the Butterfield Road ramp) is the one that offers the most flexibility and improvement to the current conditions. Second to Alternative 5 would be Alternative 4. As plans for the redevelopment of the Yorktown shopping center periphery are prepared, a more thorough investigation which would include all of the existing access drives serving the shopping center should be conducted to determine the feasibility and adequacy of the preferred alternatives.





YORK TOWN SHOPPING CENTER LOMBARD, ILLINOIS


YORK TOWN MAIN ACCESS DRIVE ALTERNATIVE 1


05-130



KLOA, Inc.

FIGURE NO






YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER LOMBARD, ILLINOIS


YORKTOWN MAIN ACCESS DRIVE ALTERNATIVE 2


05-130

KLOA, Inc.

FIGURE NO-







PROJECT:

YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER LOMBARD, ILLINOIS

TITLE:

YORKTOWN MAIN ACCESS DRIVE ALTERNATIVE 4


PROJECT NO:

05-130

& KLOA, Inc

FIGURE NO:

7



PROJECT:

YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER LOMBARD, ILLINOIS


TITLE:

YORKTOWN MAIN ACCESS DRIVE ALTERNATIVE 4 PROJECT NO:

05-130

👸 KLOA, Inc.

FIGURE NO:



PROJECT:

YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER LOMBARD, ILLINOIS

TITLE:

YORKTOWN MAIN ACCESS DRIVE ALTERNATIVE 5


PROJECT NO:

KLOA, Inc.

FIGURE NO:

2

05-130





| | | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| 2002 | EAST / WEST STREET | | TOTAL |
| _ | NORTH | RT 53 - | 103 |
| | ROOSEVELT | WESTMORE MEYERS | 66 |
| | ROOSEVELT | FINLEY | 52 |
| | ROOSEVELT | MAIN | 51 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | FAIRFIELD | 48 |
| | NORTH | I-355 | 46 |
| | NORTH | MAIN | 44 |
| | ROOSEVELT | HIGHLAND | 31 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | HIGHLAND | 29 |
| | NORTH | GRACE | 29 |
| | 22ND | FINLEY | 28 |
| | ST CHARLES | MAIN | 27 |
| • | ST CHARLES | RT 53 | 27 |
| | NORTH | LOMBARD | 21 |
| | EDWARD | MAIN | 19 |
| | ROOSEVELT | FAIRFIELD | 19 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | TECHNOLOGY | 17 |
| | MAPLE | WESTMORE MEYERS | 17 |
| | JACKSON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 16 |
| | ROOSEVELT | STEWART | 16 |
| | ST CHARLES | WESTMORE MEYERS | 16 |
| | ANN | MAIN | 14 |
| | ROOSEVELT | LINCOLN | 14 |
| | MADISON | MAIN | 12 |
| | MADISON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 12 |
| | ST CHARLES | GRACE | 12 |
| | 22ND | HIGHLAND | 11 |
| • | CENTRAL | MAIN | 11 |
| | MAJESTIC | HIGHLAND | 10 |
| | MAPLE | MAIN | 10 |
| | 22ND | FAIRFIELD | 9 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | WESTMORE MEYERS | 9 |
| | PARKSIDE | MAIN | 9 |
| | ROOSEVELT | EDGEWOOD - | 9 |
| | WASHINGTON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 9 |
| | WILSON | MAIN | 9 |
| | HARRISON | MAIN | 8 |
| | HICKORY | MAIN | |
| | OAK CREEK | FINLEY | 8 |
| | 22ND | MAIN | 7 |
| | ST CHARLES | CRESCENT | 7 |
| | WILSON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 7 |
| | YORKTOWN | HIGHLAND | 8 7 7 7 7 7 |
| | 13TH | HIGHLAND | |
| | HARRISON | RT 53 | 6 |
| | JANATA | HIGHLAND | 6 |
| | MAPLE | GRACE | 6 |
| | MORRIS | MAIN | 6 |
| | ST CHARLES | ELIZABETH | 6 |

| | | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| 2002 | EAST / WEST STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL |
| | SUNSET | GRACE - | 6 |
| | WILSON | RT 53 | 6 |
| | 22ND | WESTMORE MEYERS | 5 |
| | DIVISION | WESTMORE MEYERS | 5 |
| | FOUNTAIN SQUARE | WESTMORE MEYERS | 5 |
| | MADISON | ELIZABETH | 5 |
| | MAPLE | FINLEY | 5 |
| | NORTH | GARFIELD | 5 |
| | REBECCA | MAIN | 5 |
| | ROOSEVELT | AHRENS | 5 |
| | WILSON | ELIZABETH | 5 |
| | WILSON | FINLEY | 5 |
| | YORKTOWN | FAIRFIELD | 5 |
| | CHARLES | RT 53 | 4 |
| | CRESCENT | FINLEY | 4 |
| | EISENHOWER | FINLEY | 4 |
| | FOXWORTH | FINLEY | 4 |
| | GOEBEL | MAIN | 4 |
| | HARRISON | FINLEY | 4 |
| | LOMBARD | WESTMORE MEYERS | 4 |
| | MADISON | FINLEY | 4 |
| | MADISON | PARK | 4 |
| | MAPLE | ELIZABETH | 4 |
| | MEADOW | RT 53 | 4 |
| | NORTH | CRAIG | 4 |
| | NORTH | SWIFT | 4 |
| | PARKVIEW | RT 53 | 4 |
| | PLEASANT | RT 53 | - 4 |
| | SIDNEY | RT 53 | 4 |
| | ST CHARLES | CHARLOTTE | 4 |
| | WILSON | FAIRFIELD | 4 |
| | WILSON | GRACE | 4 |
| | ASH | MAIN | 3 |
| | | | |
| | GLEN OAK | MAIN FINLEY | 3 |
| | | CHARLOTTE | |
| | GROVE HARDING | MAIN | |
| | MADISON | FAIRFIELD | - 3 |
| | MAPLE | CHASE | |
| | ST CHARLES | GLENVIEW | |
| | ST CHARLES | PARK | |
| | | | |
| | TAYLOR | MAIN | |
| | WILSON | LINCOLN | |
| | 14TH | MAIN | - |
| | 16TH | FINLEY | |
| | 17TH | MAIN | |
| | 18TH | MAIN | |
| | 20TH | MAIN | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 |
| | CENTRAL | STEWART | <u></u> |

| | | NORTH / SOUTH | | |
|------|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| 2002 | EAST ! WEST STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL | |
| | EDGEWOOD | FINLEY . | 2 | |
| | GREENFIELD | PARK | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | |
| | GROVE | MAIN | 2 | |
| | HARRISON | CHARLOTTE | 2 | |
| | HIGHLAND | HIGHLAND AV RAMP | 2 | |
| | HILL | I-355 | 2 | |
| | JANATA | MAIN | 2 | |
| | MADISON | AHRENS | 2 2 | |
| | MADISON | GRACE | 2 | |
| | MAPLE | CHARLOTTE | 2 | |
| | MAPLE | LINCOLN | 2 | |
| | MAPLE | LODGE | 2 | |
| | MAPLE | PARK | 2 | |
| | MARLBOROUGH | FINLEY | 2 | |
| • | MICHAEL MCGUIRE | PARK | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | |
| | NORTH | DUPAGE | 1 2 | |
| | NORTH | JOYCE | 2 | |
| | NORTH | RIDGE | 2 | |
| | NORTON | WESTMORE MEYERS | | |
| | PRAIRIE | GRACE | 2 | |
| | PROGRESS | MAIN | 2 | |
| | ROOSEVELT | EDSON | 2 2 | |
| | ST CHARLES | HIGHLAND | 2 | |
| | ST CHARLES | WEST | 2 | |
| | SUNSET | MAIN | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | |
| | VIEW | CHARLOTTE | 2 | |
| | VIEW | LOMBARD | | |
| | WASHINGTON | MAIN | 2 | |
| | WILSON | STEWART | | |
| | WOODLAND | RT 53 | 2 | |
| | YORKTOWN | GRACE | 2 | |
| | 16TH | MAIN | 1 | |
| | 18TH | FAIRFIELD | | |
| | 19TH | MAIN | 1 | |
| | 20TH | HIGHLAND | - i | |
| | 22ND | ELIZABETH | 1 | |
| | 22ND | STEWART | - | |
| | 22ND | VISTA | | |
| | ADAMS | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 | |
| | ANN | FINLEY | 1 | |
| | ASH | EDSON | | |
| | BERKSHIRE | CRAIG | | |
| | BERKSHIRE | EDGEWOOD | | |
| | BERKSHIRE | JOYCE | + | |
| | BERKSHIRE | MAIN | | |
| | BUTTERFIELD | FOUNTAIN SQUARE DR | | |
| | BUTTERFIELD | MAXANT | | |
| | CENTRAL | FAIRFIELD | | |
| | CHARING CROSS | NORBURY | | |

| | | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 2002 | EAST ! WEST STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL |
| | CHARLES | FINLEY . | 1 |
| | CHARLES LN | FINLEY | 1 |
| | COLLEN | MAIN | 1 |
| | COURTLAND | MAIN | 1 |
| | CRESCENT | 1-355 | 1 |
| | DIVISION | AHRENS | 1 |
| | EASTGATE | STEWART | 1 |
| | EISENHOWER SOUTH | EISENHOWER | 1 1 |
| | ELM | GRACE | 1 |
| | EMERSON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | ETHEL | LINCOLN | 1 |
| | ETHEL | MAIN | 1 |
| | GAZABO | LINCOLN | 1 |
| | GOEBEL | IGRACE | 1 1 |
| | GRAHAM | IELIZABETH | 1 1 |
| | GRAHAM | MAIN | 1 |
| | GREEN VALLEY | IPARK | 1 |
| | GREENFIELD | | 1 1 |
| | | MAIN ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | GROVE | | 1 |
| | GROVE | PARK | 1 |
| | HARDING | ELIZABETH | |
| | HARDING | FAIRFIELD | 1 |
| | HARDING | FINLEY | 1 |
| | HARDING | RT 53 | 1 |
| | HICKORY | EDSON | 1 |
| | I-88 | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | KENILWORTH | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| • | LEMOYNE | MAIN | 1 |
| | LOMBARD CIRCLE | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 1 |
| | MADISON | CRAIG | 1 |
| | MADISON | EDSON | 1 |
| | MADISON | HAMMERSCHMIDT | 1 |
| | MADISON | LALONDE | 1 |
| | MADISON | LOMBARD | 1 |
| | MADISON | STEWART | 1 |
| | MAJESTIC | MAIN | 1 |
| | MAJESTIC | YORKTOWN | 1 |
| | MANOR HILL | FINLEY | 1 |
| | MAPLE | 3RD | 1 |
| | MAPLE | AHRENS | 1 |
| | MAPLE | FAIRFIELD | 1 |
| | MAPLE | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | MAPLE · | LEWIS | 1 |
| | MAPLE | STEWART | 1 |
| | MARCUS | GRACE | 1 |
| | MARCUS | LALONDE | 1 |
| | MARTHA | RANDOLPH | 1 |
| | MEADOW | TROY | 1 |
| | MICHAEL MCGUIRE DR | MAIN | 1 |

| | | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|
| 2002 | EAST / WEST STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL |
| | MORNINGSIDE | GRACE · | 1 |
| | MORRIS | FINLEY | 1 |
| | NORTH | BROADVIEW | 1 |
| | NORTH | LALONDE | 1 |
| | OAKTON | MAIN | 1 |
| | PARKSIDE | CRAIG | 1 |
| | PARKSIDE | GRACE | 1 |
| | PARKSIDE | PARK | 1 |
| | PINEBROOK | FINLEY | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | CHARLOTTE | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | EDGEWOOD | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | LALONDE | 1 |
| | POTOMAC | ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | PRAIRIE | MAIN | 1 |
| | PRAIRIE PATH | RT 53 | 1 |
| | PROGRESS | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | ROOSEVELT | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | ROOSEVELT | SCHOOL | 1 |
| | SCHOOL | LOMBARD | 1 |
| | SOUTH BROADWAY | LODGE | 1 |
| | SPRINGER | WATERS EDGE | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | BIERMANN | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | BROADVIEW | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | CRAIG | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | 1-355 | 1 |
| • | SUNSET | EDGEWOOD | _1 |
| | SUNSET | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | SUNSET | JOYCE | 1 |
| | TAYLOR | CHARLOTTE | 1 |
| | TAYLOR | ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | TAYLOR | GRACE | 1 |
| | TOWER | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | UNION PACIFIC BRIDGE | MAIN | 1 |
| | UNION PACIFIC RAILRO | GRACE | 1 |
| | VIEW | CRAIG | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | GRACE | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | WEST | GOEBEL | 1 |
| | WEST | ST CHARLES | 1 |
| | WILLOW | MAIN | 1 |
| | WILSON | ADDISON | 1 |
| | WILSON | APPLE | 1 |

Year 2003 Accident Data

| | EAST / WEST | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| 2003 | STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL |
| | NORTH | RT 53 | 91 |
| | ROOSEVELT | FINLEY | 68 |
| | ROOSEVELT | MAIN | 58 |
| | ROOSEVELT | HIGHLAND | 55 |
| | NORTH | 1-355 | 50 |
| | NORTH | MAIN | 45 |
| | NORTH | GRACE | 34 |
| | ST CHARLES | GRACE | 33 |
| | ST CHARLES | RT 53 | 33 |
| | 22ND | FINLEY | 32 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | FAIRFIELD | 32 |
| | ROOSEVELT | WESTMORE MEYERS | 47 |
| | ROOSEVELT | FAIRFIELD | 30 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | HIGHLAND | 24 |
| | ROOSEVELT | LINCOLN | 23 |
| | NORTH | LOMBARD | 21 |
| | ROOSEVELT | STEWART | 19 |
| | ST CHARLES | MAIN | 17 |
| | 22ND | HIGHLAND | 16 |
| | EDWARD | MAIN | 14 |
| | ROOSEVELT | AHRENS | 14 |
| | WASHINGTON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 14 |
| | JACKSON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 13 |
| | ANN | MAIN | 12 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | TECHNOLOGY | 12 |
| | NORTH | RIDGE | 12 |
| | ROOSEVELT | EDGEWOOD | 12 |
| • | WILSON | MAIN | 12 |
| | MADISON | MAIN | 11 |
| | MAPLE | MAIN | 11 |
| | MAPLE . | WESTMORE MEYERS | 11 |
| | 22ND | MAIN | 10 |
| | PARKSIDE | MAIN | 10 |
| | HARDING | MAIN | 9 |
| | MADISON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 9 |
| | WILSON | FINLEY | 9 |
| | MAJESTIC | HIGHLAND | 8 |
| | NORTH | WEST | 8 8 |
| | ROOSEVELT | GARFIELD | 8 |
| | ROOSEVELT | WESTMORE MEYERS | 8 |
| | ST CHARLES | WESTMORE MEYERS | 8 |
| | ST CHARLES | WESTMORE MEYERS | 8 7 |
| | 22ND | WESTMORE MEYERS | 7 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | WESTMORE MEYERS | 7 |
| | COLLEN | MAIN | 7 |
| | MEADOW | RT 53 | 7 |
| | NORTH | DUPAGE | 7 |
| | NORTH | GARFIELD | 7 7 7 7 7 7 |
| | CENTRAL | MAIN | 6 |

| | EAST / WEST | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|------|------------------|-----------------|---|
| 2003 | STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL |
| | MADISON | FINLEY | 6 |
| | ROOSEVELT | CHURCH | 6 |
| | ROOSEVELT | SCHOOL | 6 |
| | ST CHARLES | BROADVIEW | 6 |
| | WILSON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 6 |
| | YORKTOWN | FAIRFIELD | 6 |
| | 16TH | FINLEY | 5 |
| | 22ND | GRACE | 5 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | FOUNTAIN SQ | 5 |
| | DIVISION | WESTMORE MEYERS | 5 5 |
| | HIGHRIDGE | WESTMORE MEYERS | 5 |
| | ST CHARLES | CRESCENT | 5 |
| | ST CHARLES | ELIZABETH | 5 |
| | ST CHARLES | LINCOLN | 5 |
| | ST CHARLES | PARK | 5 |
| | WILSON | LINCOLN | 5 |
| | YORKTOWN | HIGHLAND | 5 |
| | BUTTERFIELD RAMP | HIGHLAND | 4 |
| | CRESCENT | FINLEY | 4 |
| | EDGEWOOD | FINLEY | 4 |
| | FOXWORTH | FINLEY | 4 |
| | GROVE | MAIN | 4 |
| | HARRISON | FINLEY | 4 |
| | HARRISON | MAIN | 4 |
| | HARRISON | RT 53 | 4 |
| | HICKORY | MAIN | 4 |
| | HIGHLAND | MAJESTIC | 4 |
| , | MORRIS | WESTMORE MEYERS | 4 |
| | PARKVIEW | RT 53 | 4 |
| | TAYLOR | MAIN | 4 |
| | YORKTOWN | GRACE | 4 |
| | 18TH | MAIN | 3 |
| | 22ND | FAIRFIELD | 3 |
| | CENTRAL | STEWART | 3 |
| | EISENHOWER | FINLEY | 3 |
| | GLEN OAK | FINLEY | 3 |
| | GOEBEL | MAIN | 3 |
| | GRACE | MARCUS | 3 |
| | HARRISON | CHARLOTTE | 3 |
| | KENILWORTH | WESTMORE MEYERS | 3 |
| | LEMOYNE | MAIN | 3 |
| | LOMBARD | WESTMORE MEYERS | 3 |
| | MADISON | EDSON | 1 3 |
| | MAPLE | FINLEY | 3 |
| | MORRIS | MAIN | 3 |
| | NORTH | BROADVIEW | 3 |
| | NORTH | JOYCE | 1 3 |
| | NORTH | SWIFT | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| | OAK CREEK | FINLEY | |

| | EAST / WEST | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|------|----------------|-----------------|---|
| 2003 | STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL |
| · | PARKSIDE | GRACE | 3 |
| | PINEBROOK | FINLEY | 3 |
| | PLEASANT | RT 53 | 3 |
| | PRAIRIE | GRACE | 3 |
| | PRAIRIE | MAIN | 3 |
| | ST CHARLES | GLENVIEW | 3 |
| | ST CHARLES | WEST | 3 |
| | WASHINGTON | MAIN | 3 |
| | WILSON | EDSON | 3 |
| | 22ND | FAIRVIEW | 2 |
| | ANN | FINLEY | 2 |
| | BERKSHIRE | GRACE | 2 |
| | BERKSHIRE | LOMBARD | 2 |
| | BERKSHIRE | MAIN | 2 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | YORKTOWN | 2 |
| | CHARLES | RT 53 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| | GRAHAM | MAIN | 2 |
| | GREENFIELD | ELIZABETH | 2 |
| | GREENFIELD | MAIN | 2 |
| | HIGHLAND | MAPLE | 2 |
| | JANATA | HIGHLAND | 2 |
| | KUFRIN WAY | WESTMORE MEYERS | 2 |
| | LEMOYNE | JOYCE | 2 |
| | LOMBARD | MAPLE | 2 |
| | MADISON | ARTHUR | 2 |
| | MADISON | FAIRFIELD | 2 |
| | MADISON | HAMMERSCHMIDT | 2 |
| | MADISON | LODGE | 2 |
| | MADISON | NORBURY | 2 |
| | MORRIS | FINLEY | 2 |
| | PARK | MAPLE | 2 |
| | PARKSIDE | ELIZABETH | 1 2 |
| | PARKSIDE | PARK | |
| | REBECCA | MAIN | 1 2 |
| | ROOSEVELT | ROYAL GLEN | 2 |
| | SIDNEY | GRACE | 1 2 |
| | SIDNEY | RT 53 | |
| | SOUTH BROADWAY | LODGE | 1 2 |
| | ST CHARLES | AHRENS | |
| | ST CHARLES | CHARLOTTE | |
| | ST CHARLES | GRACE | |
| | ST CHARLES | WESTERN | |
| | SUNSET | RT 53 | |
| | VIEW | MAIN | |
| | WILLOW | MAIN | - |
| | WILSON | FAIRFIELD | - |
| | WILSON | HAMMERSCHMIDT | |
| | | RT 53 | |
| | WOODLAND | RT 53 | |

| | EAST / WEST | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|------|--------------|-----------------|-------|
| 2003 | STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL |
| | WOODROW | WESTMORE MEYERS | 2 |
| | 13TH | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | 13TH | MAIN | 1 |
| | 14TH | MAIN | 1 |
| | 17TH | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | 17TH | NORBURY | 1 |
| | 20TH | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | 20TH | LINCOLN | 1 |
| | 20TH | MAIN | 1 |
| | 22ND | HIGHLAND LAKES | 1 |
| | 22ND | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | ADAMS | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | ARBORETUM | MAIN | 1 |
| | ASH | MAIN | 1 |
| | BERKSHIRE | CHARLOTTE | 1 |
| | BERKSHIRE | CRAIG | 1 |
| | BERKSHIRE | FAIRFIELD | 1 |
| | BERKSHIRE | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | MAXANT | 1 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | CHARLES | FINLEY | 1 |
| | CHARLOTTE | MAPLE | 1 |
| | CITY VIEW | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | COLLEN | REBECCA | 1 |
| | CRAIG | MAPLE | 1 |
| | CRESCENT | VANCE | 1 |
| | CRYSTAL | MAIN | 1 |
| | E.S.BROADWAY | LODGE | 1 |
| | EASTGATE | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | EDGEWOOD | MAPLE | 1 |
| | EDWARD | FINLEY | 1 |
| | EDWARD | STEWART | 1 |
| | EDWARD | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | ELIZABETH | MAPLE | 1 |
| | ELM | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | ETHEL | FINLEY | 1 |
| | ETHEL | LINCOLN | 1 |
| | ETHEL | MAIN | 1 |
| | FOXWORTH | LLOYD | 1 |
| | GREAT INDOOR | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | GREENFIELD | WEST | |
| | GROVE | CHARLOTTE | 1 |
| | GROVE | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | GROVE | LINCOLN | 1 |
| | HARDING | EDSON | 1 |
| | | ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | HARDING | FINLEY | 1 |
| | HARDING | LALONDE | 1 |
| | HARDING | | 1 |
| | HARRISON | EDSON | |

| | EAST / WEST . | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| 2003 | STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL |
| | HARRISON | FAIRFIELD · | 1 |
| | HARRISON | LINCOLN | 1 |
| | HICKORY | CRAIG | 1 |
| | HICKORY | ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | HICKORY | LOMBARD | 1 |
| | HUNTER | WHITEHALL | 1 |
| | JACKSON | ADDISON | 1 |
| | JANATA | MAIN | 1 |
| | LODGE | MAPLE | 1 |
| | LOMBARD CIRCLE | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | LOY | ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | MADISON | AHRENS | 1 |
| | MADISON | CHASE | 1 |
| | MADISON | ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | MADISON | GRACE | 1 |
| | MANOR HILL | FINLEY | 1 |
| | MAPLE | AHRENS | 1 |
| | MAPLE | CHARLOTTE | 1 |
| | MAPLE | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | MAPLE | LINCOLN | 1 |
| | MAPLE | MARTHA | 1 |
| | MCGURIE | MAIN | 1 |
| | MORNINGSIDE | MAIN | 1 |
| | NORTH | CRAIG | 1 |
| | NORTH | EDGEWOOD | 1 |
| | NORTH | ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | NORTH | FAIRFIELD | 1 |
| | NORTH | LALONDE | 1 |
| | NORTH BROADWAY | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | ORCHARD TERRACE | PARK | 1 |
| | OUTER MALL | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | PARKSIDE | LOMBARD | 1 |
| | PARKSIDE | MARTHA | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | CRAIG | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | GRACE | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | STEWART | 1 |
| | POTOMAC | RIDGE | 1 |
| | PRAIRIE | CRAIG | 1 |
| | PRAIRIE | LALONDE | 1 |
| | PRAIRIE PATH | MAIN | 1 |
| | PRAIRIE PATH | RT 53 | 1 |
| | PROGRESS | MAIN | 1 |
| | ROOSEVELT | EDSON | 1 |
| | ROOSEVELT | LLOYD | 1 |
| | ROOSEVELT | SURREY | 1 |
| | ROSEBUD | FAIRFIELD | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | CHARLES | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | GARFIELD | 1 |

| | EAST / WEST | NORTH / SOUTH | |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|
| 2003 | STREET | CROSS STREET | TOTAL |
| | ST CHARLES | HIGHLAND | 1. |
| | SUNSET | CRAIG | 1 |
| | SUNSET | EDGEWOOD | 1 |
| | SUNSET | GRACE | 1 |
| | SUNSET | MAIN | 1 |
| | SUNSET | RIDGE | 1 |
| | TAYLOR | GRACE | 1 |
| | TAYLOR | HAMMERSCHMIDT | 1 |
| | TAYLOR | LALONDE | 1 |
| | TAYLOR | NORBURY | 1 |
| | UNION PACIFIC RAILRO | RT 53 | 1 |
| | UNION PACIFIC TRACKS | ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | AHRENS | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | EDGEWOOD | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | ELIZABETH | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | FAIRFIELD | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | GRACE | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | LEWIS | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | LODGE | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | STEWART | 1 |
| | WEST | GREENFIELD | 1 |
| | WEST | MEADOW | 1 |
| | WEST | WINDSOR | 1 |
| | WILLOW | LINCOLN | 1 |
| | WILSON | HIGHMOOR | 1 |
| | WOODLAND | BROADVIEW | 1 |
| • | WOODROW | ADDISON | 1 |
| | WOODROW | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 |
| | YORKTOWN | MAJESTIC | 1 |



| | | NORTH / SOUTH CROSS | |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 2004 | EAST / WEST STREET | STREET | TOTAL |
| | NORTH | RT 53 | 82 |
| | ROOSEVELT | FINLEY | 65 |
| | ROOSEVELT | MAIN | 62 |
| | NORTH · | I-355 | 52 |
| | ROOSEVELT | WESTMORE MEYERS | 47 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | FAIRFIELD | 40 |
| | ST CHARLES | GRACE | 40 |
| | ROOSEVELT | FAIRFIELD | 38 |
| | 22ND | FINLEY | 35 |
| | ROOSEVELT | STEWART | 32 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | HIGHLAND | 31 |
| | NORTH | MAIN | 31 |
| _ | ROOSEVELT | HIGHLAND | 31 |
| • | ST CHARLES | RT 53 | 31 |
| | NORTH | GRACE | 30 |
| | MAPLE | MAIN | 22 |
| | ROOSEVELT | AHRENS | 22 |
| | 22ND | HIGHLAND | 21 |
| | JACKSON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 20 |
| | ST CHARLES | MAIN | 19 |
| | ST CHARLES | WESTMORE MEYERS | 17 |
| | NORTH | LOMBARD | 16 |
| | ROOSEVELT | EDGEWOOD | 16 |
| | ANN | MAIN | 15 |
| | WASHINGTON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 15 |
| | MADISON | WESTMORE MEYERS | 14 |
| | ROOSEVELT | SCHOOL | 13 |
| | 22ND | WESTMORE MEYERS | 12 |
| • | MAPLE | WESTMORE MEYERS | 12 |
| | ROOSEVELT | GARFIELD | 12 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | TECHNOLOGY | 11 |
| | HARRISON | RT 53 | 10 |
| | JANATA | HIGHLAND | 9 |
| | ST CHARLES | CRESCENT | |
| | BUTTERFIELD | WESTMORE MEYERS | 9 |
| | EDWARD | MAIN | 8 |
| | PARKSIDE | MAIN | - 0 |
| | ST CHARLES | IELIZABETH | |
| | WILSON | WESTMORE MEYERS | |
| | 22ND | MAIN | 9 |
| | MANOR HILL | FINLEY | 8 8 8 8 7 7 |
| | OAK CREEK | FINLEY | / |
| | WILSON | FINLEY | |
| | COLLEN | MAIN | |
| | FOXWORTH | FINLEY | <u> </u> |
| | HARRISON | FINLEY | <u> </u> |
| | HARRISON | MAIN | |
| | MADISON | MAIN | 7 6 6 6 6 |
| | NORTON | WESTMORE MEYERS | |

| | | NORTH / SOUTH CROSS | |
|-------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 2004 | EAST / WEST STREET | STREET | TOTAL |
| 1-00. | ST CHARLES | CHARLOTTE | 6 |
| | WILSON | MAIN | 6 |
| | YORKTOWN | FAIRFIELD | 6 |
| | YORKTOWN | HIGHLAND | 6 |
| | 22ND | FAIRFIELD | 5 |
| | CENTRAL | MAIN | 5 |
| | DIVISION | WESTMORE MEYERS | 5 |
| | MADISON | FAIRFIELD | 5 |
| | MAJESTIC | HIGHLAND | 5 5 |
| | PLEASANT | RT 53 | 5 |
| | ST CHARLES | AHRENS | 5 |
| | ST CHARLES | WEST | 5 |
| | CENTRAL | STEWART | 4 |
| | EDGEWOOD | FINLEY | 4 |
| | EISENHOWER | FINLEY | 4 |
| | GROVE | MAIN | 4 |
| | HIGHRIDGE | WESTMORE MEYERS | 4 |
| | MAPLE | FINLEY | 4 |
| | MEADOW | RT 53 | 4 |
| | MORRIS | MAIN | 4 |
| | NORTH | BROADVIEW | 4 |
| | NORTH | DUPAGE | 4 |
| | ST CHARLES | PARK | 4 |
| | WASHINGTON | MAIN | 4 |
| | WILSON | ELIZABETH | 4 |
| | WILSON | LINCOLN | 4 |
| | 13TH | HIGHLAND | |
| | 20TH | MAIN | 3 |
| • | ASH | MAIN | 3 3 3 3 |
| | BUTTERFIELD | FOUNTAIN SQUARE | 3 |
| | ETHEL | FINLEY | 3 |
| | HARRISON | EDSON | 3 |
| | HICKORY | MAIN | 3 |
| | LOMBARD | WESTMORE MEYERS | |
| | MADISON | GRACE | 3 |
| | MAPLE | ELIZABETH | 3 3 3 3 3 |
| | MORRIS | WESTMORE MEYERS | 2 |
| | NORTH BROADWAY | WESTMORE MEYERS | 3 |
| | ROOSEVELT | CHURCH | 3 |
| | ST CHARLES | I-355 BRIDGE | 3 |
| | TAYLOR | MAIN | 3 3 3 3 2 |
| | WILSON | CHARLOTTE | 3 |
| | WILSON | RT 53 | 3 |
| | 16TH | FINLEY | 2 |
| | 16TH | MAIN | 2 |
| | 22ND | EDSON | 2 |
| | 22ND | GRACE | 2 |
| | 22ND | LINCOLN | 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| | BERKSHIRE | MAIN | 2 |
| | PENIOLITE | Tiaturia | |

| | | NORTH / SOUTH CROSS | | |
|------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2004 | EAST / WEST STREET | STREET | TOTAL | |
| | BUTTERFIELD | MAXANT | 2 | |
| | CITY VIEW | HIGHLAND | 2 | |
| | EDWARD | FINLEY | 2 | |
| | GLEN OAK | FINLEY | 2 | |
| | GOEBEL | MAIN | 2 | |
| | GROVE | CHARLOTTE | 2 | |
| | HARDING | CHARLOTTE | 2 | |
| | HARDING | MAIN | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | |
| | HARRISON | GRACE | 2 | |
| | ILLINOIS PRAIRIE PATH | ELIZABETH | 2 | |
| | KENILWORTH | WESTMORE MEYERS | | |
| | KUFRIN WAY | WESTMORE MEYERS | 2 2 | |
| | LOMBARD CIRCLE | WESTMORE MEYERS | | |
| | | ELIZABETH | 2 | |
| | LOY | ELIZABETH | 2 | |
| | MADISON | LOMBARD | | |
| | MADISON | | 2 2 | |
| | MAPLE | LODGE | | |
| | NORTH | RIDGE | | |
| | PARKSIDE | ELIZABETH | 2 | |
| | PARKSIDE | PARK | 2 2 | |
| | PLEASANT | VISTA | 2 | |
| | PRAIRIE | MAIN | 2 | |
| | ROOSEVELT | SURREY | 2 | |
| | ST CHARLES | BIERMANN | 2 2 | |
| | ST CHARLES | LINCOLN | 2 | |
| | ST CHARLES | PARK | 2 | |
| | SUNSET | GRACE | 2 | |
| | TAYLOR | HAMMERSCHMIDT | 2 | |
| | UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD | ELIZABETH | 2 | |
| | VIEW | MAIN | 2 | |
| | WASHINGTON | HIGHLAND | 2 | |
| | WILLOW | ELIZABETH | 2 | |
| | WOODLAND | RT 53 | 2 | |
| | YORKTOWN | GRACE | 2 | |
| | 16TH | HIGHLAND | 1 | |
| | 16TH | STEWART | 1 | |
| | 17TH | HIGHLAND | 1 | |
| | 17TH | MAIN | 1 | |
| | 18TH | MAIN | 1 | |
| | 20TH | HIGHLAND | 1 | |
| | 22ND | STEWART | 1 | |
| | 22ND | VISTA | 1 | |
| | AHRENS | SCHOOL | 1 | |
| | BERKSHIRE | JOYCE | 1 | |
| | | | 1 | |
| | BROOK | FINLEY | | |
| | BROOKFIELD | ELIZABETH | 1 | |
| | BROWN | MAIN | 1 | |
| | CENTRAL | ELIZABETH | 1 | |
| | CENTRAL | FAIRFIELD | | |

| | | NORTH / SOUTH CROSS | | |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 2004 | EAST / WEST STREET | STREET | TOTAL | |
| | CENTRAL | GRACE | 1 | |
| | CENTRAL | HAMMERSCHMIDT | 1 | |
| | CHARLES | RT 53 | 1 | |
| | CORTLAND | LOMBARD | 1 | |
| | CORTLAND | MAIN | 1 | |
| | CRESCENT | FINLEY | 1 | |
| | CRYSTAL | RIDGE | 1 | |
| | DIVISION | HIGHLAND | 1 | |
| | E NORTH BROADWAY | CHASE | 1 | |
| | EAST NORTH BROADWAY | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 | |
| | EASTGATE | HIGHLAND | 1 | |
| | EASTGATE | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 | |
| | EDWARD | EDSON | 1 | |
| | ELM | GRACE | 1 | |
| | EMERSON | I2ND | 1 | |
| | ETHEL | MAIN | | |
| | FOUNTAIN SQUARE | WESTMORE MEYERS | 1 | |
| | GREAT WESTERN TRAIL | RT 53 | 1 | |
| | GREENFIELD | GRACE | 1 | |
| | GREENFIELD | PARK | - - | |
| | GREENFIELD | WEST | 1 | |
| | GROVE | ELIZABETH | 1 | |
| | GROVE | PARK | 1 | |
| | HARDING | FINLEY | 1 | |
| | HARRISON | CHARLOTTE | 1 | |
| | HARRISON | NORBURY | 1 | |
| | HICKORY | BREWSTER | 1 | |
| | HICKORY | CRAIG | 1 | |
| ` | HICKORY | FINLEY | 1 | |
| | HICKORY | GRACE | 1 | |
| | HICKORY | STEWART | 1 | |
| | HILL | RT 53 OVERPASS | 1 | |
| | HUNTER | DOWNING | 1 | |
| | 1-88 | HIGHLAND | 1 | |
| | JACKSON | ADDISON | 1 | |
| | LEMOYNE | GARFIELD | 1 | |
| | LEMOYNE | GRACE | 1 | |
| | MADISON | AHRENS | 1 | |
| | MADISON | CRAIG | 1 | |
| | MADISON | EDGEWOOD | 1 | |
| | MADISON | FINLEY | 1 | |
| | MADISON | LODGE | 1 | |
| | MADISON | STEWART | 1 | |
| | MAGNOLIA CIRCLE | CHERRY | 1 | |
| | MAPLE | 2ND | 1 | |
| | MAPLE | EDGEWOOD | 1 | |
| | MAPLE | GRACE | 1 | |
| | MAPLE | HIGHLAND | 1 | |
| | MAPLE | PARK | | |

| | | NORTH / SOUTH CRO | oss |
|------|----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 2004 | EAST / WEST STREET | STREET | TOTAL |
| | MAPLE | STEWART | 1 |
| | MARCUS | JOYCE | 1 |
| | MARLBOROUGH | FINLEY | 1 |
| | MEADOW | BROADVIEW | 1 |
| | MEADOW | WEST | 1 |
| | MICHAEL MCGUIRE | MAIN | 1 |
| | MORNINGSIDE | MAIN | 1 |
| | NEW | HAMMERSCHMIDT | 1 |
| | NORTH | FAIRFIELD | 1 |
| | NORTH | LALONDE | 1 |
| | NORTH | LOMBARD | 1 |
| | NORTH | SWIFT | 1 |
| | NORTON | GRACE | 1 |
| | OAK CREEK | SPRINGER | 1 |
| | OAKCREEK | FINLEY | 1 |
| | PARKSIDE | CHARLOTTE | 1 |
| | PARKSIDE | GRACE | 1 |
| | PINEBROOK | FINLEY | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | GRACE | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | STEWART | 1 |
| | PLEASANT | WESTWOOD | 1 |
| | POTOMAC | RIDGE | 1 |
| | PRAIRIE | LEWIS | 1 |
| | PROGRESS | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | PROGRESS | MAIN | 1 |
| | RAILROAD TRACKS | GRACE | 1 |
| | REBECCA | MAIN | 1 |
| | REGENCY | HIGHLAND | 1 |
| | ROOSEVELT | EDSON | 1 |
| | SIDNEY | RT 53 | 1 |
| | SOUTH BROADWAY | EDGEWOOD | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | ADDISON | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | BROADVIEW | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | CRAIG | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | MARTHA | 1 |
| | ST CHARLES | PARKSIDE | 1 |
| | ST.REGIS DR | HIGHLAND | |
| | SUNSET | DUPAGE | • |
| | SUNSET | RT 53 | |
| | SUNSET | VISTA | - |
| | SYDNEY | RT 53 | |
| | TAYLOR | CHARLOTTE | |
| | TAYLOR | GRACE | |
| | TAYLOR | LALONDE | |
| | UNION PACIFIC | GRACE | |
| | UNION PACIFIC TRACKS | FINLEY | |
| | UNION PACIFIC TRACKS | MAIN | |
| | UNION PACIFIC TRACKS | PARK | |
| | VIEW | CHARLOTTE | |

| | | NORTH / SOUTH CROSS | |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| 2004 | EAST / WEST STREET | STREET | TOTAL |
| | VIEW | GARFIELD | 1 |
| | VIEW | GRACE | 1 |
| | VIEW | MARTHA | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | 3RD | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | CRAIG | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | GRACE | 1 |
| | WASHINGTON | LODGE | <u> </u> |
| | WASHINGTON | LOMBARD | |
| | WASHINGTON | SCHOOL | · · |
| | WASHINGTON | STEWART | |
| | WILSON | FAIRFIELD | <u>'</u> |
| | WILSON | GRACE | <u> </u> |
| | WILSON | HAMMERSCHMIDT | • |
| | WILSON | HIGHMOOR | • |
| | WILSON | LOMBARD | • |
| | WOODROW | ADDISON | • |
| | YORKTOWN | MAJESTIC | |

