


MEMORANDUM

TO: Anthony Puccio, Chairperson
Economic and Community Development Committee

FROM: William J. Heniff, AICP, Director of Community Development 

MEETING DATE: November 17, 2021

RE: **Heritage Cadillac Inc. Business Retention Economic Incentive Agreement – Introductory Memorandum**

Heritage Cadillac, Inc., the owner of the business and property at 303 West Roosevelt Road, is seeking to make significant capital investments to their existing new and pre-owned vehicle dealership and ancillary repair facility on their 8.13 acre site. This effort is being required by General Motors to ensure consistent and updated branding of their franchised establishments. Supplementing this effort, Heritage is also being requested to modernize its existing facility to provide for their Electronic Vehicle Conversion effort.

In their incentive request they are contemplating a \$5,000,000 to \$6,000,000 investment in the property, which will consist of new construction/renovation items, property maintenance upgrades and the conversion upgrades. Given such costs, Heritage is also considering relocation options to another location outside of the Lombard's corporate limits. To that end, they are seeking incentive assistance from the Village which is intended to help offset the aforementioned costs, in an amount of 50 percent of the proposed additional capital investment to their facility (i.e. \$2,500,000 to \$3,000,000).

The proposed financial parameters are still under discussion and review by Village staff, Kane McKenna & Associates and Heritage at this time. However, we are placing this item on the ECDC agenda for the November 17, 2021 Special Meeting. Staff intends to provide the ECDC with a full report prior to the meeting for discussion and consideration.

For reference purposes, staff is attaching the following:


1. Business Retention Economic Incentive Policy which was formally adopted by the Village Board on October 21, 2021 (Ordinance 7992)
2. Introductory Incentive Request by Heritage Cadillac seeking an incentive
3. Preliminary concept plans proposed by Heritage Cadillac for the subject property

**ORDINANCE 7992
PAMPHLET**

**AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A LOMBARD BUSINESS RETENTION
ECONOMIC INCENTIVE POLICY**



PUBLISHED IN PAMPHLET FORM THIS 22nd OF OCTOBER, 2021, BY ORDER
OF THE CORPORATE AUTHORITIES OF THE VILLAGE OF LOMBARD, DUPAGE
COUNTY, ILLINOIS.


Elizabeth Brezinski
Village Clerk

ORDINANCE NO. 7992

**AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A LOMBARD
BUSINESS RETENTION ECONOMIC INCENTIVE POLICY**

WHEREAS, the Village of Lombard (the "Village") is authorized under Section 8-1-2.5 of the Illinois Municipal Code (65 ILCS 5/8-1-2.5) to appropriate and expend funds for economic development purposes, including, without limitation, the making of grants to any commercial enterprise that are deemed necessary or desirable for the promotion of economic development within the Village; and

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees (the "Corporate Authorities") of the Village deem it to be of significant importance to encourage development and redevelopment within the Village, so as to maintain a viable real estate tax and sales tax base, and to facilitate employment opportunities; and

WHEREAS, the Corporate Authorities recognize that retail sales tax revenues from existing business establishments located within the Village are a primary source for funding essential governmental functions of the Village; and

WHEREAS, the Corporate Authorities recognize that a comprehensive economic development effort requires addressing business retention, in order to ensure that the Village's business community remains vibrant; and

WHEREAS, the Corporate Authorities previously adopted a Village Economic Incentive Policy (EIP) to provide a funding mechanism policy to facilitate new economic development activity within the Village; and

WHEREAS, the Corporate Authorities desire to supplement the existing EIP, to specifically address qualified business entities and key development sites within the Village, through the approval of a Business Retention Economic Incentive Policy (the "Policy"), a copy of which is attached hereto and made part hereof, as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, it is in the public interest that the Village approve the Policy.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois, as follows:

SECTION 1: That the recitals set forth above are hereby incorporated herein by reference.

SECTION 2: That the Corporate Authorities hereby approve the Business Retention Economic Incentive Policy, attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A**.

SECTION 3: That this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval and publication in pamphlet form as provided by law.

Passed on first reading this 7th day of October, 2021.

First reading waived by action of the Board of Trustees this ___ day of _____, 2021.

Passed on second reading this 21st of October, 2021, pursuant to a roll call vote as follows:

Ayes: Trustee LaVaque, Puccio, Dudek, Honig, Militello and Bachner


Nays: None

Absent: None

Approved by me this 21st of October, 2021.


Keith Giagnorio, Village President

ATTEST:


Elizabeth Brezinski, Village Clerk

Published by me in pamphlet form this 22nd of October, 2021.



Elizabeth Brezinski, Village Clerk

Exhibit A

Business Retention Economic Incentive Policy

(see attached)

Business Retention Economic Incentive Policy

Prepared for the Lombard Village Board
with a recommendation of approval from the Economic & Community
Development Committee
and the Finance Committee

October, 2021

Business Retention Economic Incentive Policy

SECTION		PAGE
1.	Executive Summary	3
2.	Policy/Program Need	4
3.	Draft Lombard Economic Incentive Policy (Business Retention, Expansion & Transformation)	7
4.	Checklist/Questions in Consideration of Retention & Transformational Incentives	12
APPENDIX	Map Depicting Key Development Sites	15

SECTION 1: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Lombard Village Board's 2021 Strategic Plan effort identified the need to address business retention elements as part of our larger economic incentive efforts. The existing Village Economic Incentive Policy (EIP), last updated in 2016 did not fully address business retention elements and established policies and guidelines that would preclude some worthy or necessary projects from being eligible for an incentive under the established program parameters.

On a parallel track, the Village recognizes that revenues generated from retail sales tax activity is one of the primary sources of revenue to fund Village operations and without such sufficient revenues from existing, expanding or new businesses may have an adverse effect on the Village delivering core or enhanced services. A primary purpose and goal of the Village is to help ensure that existing sales tax revenues, which helps pay for all municipal government services, are maintained or even strengthened in the immediate and possibly longer-term. However, intrinsic to this discussion is the larger efforts of business retention and even expansion.

Recognizing that it may be easier to keep a retail business in the community rather than seeking a new business, the Village is seeking to establish an Economic Development Fund that would create a revenue source for projects that otherwise could not be funded through the previously adopted EIP. The Policy would supplement the existing EIP and would set a negotiating framework for staff, Village officials, and the business community to address business retention and transformative projects that may stabilize or strengthen retail business activity.

The approach to this Policy is to supplement the parameters already established within the EIP and address situations that are above and beyond the EIP parameters.

Authority for the Village to establish economic incentive policies, including a Business Retention Economic Incentive Policy is provided by State Statutes (65 ILCS 5/8-1-2.5).

SECTION 2: PROGRAM/POLICY NEED

Village staff introduced to the Economic & Community Development Committee (ECDC) an effort to supplement our established Economic Incentive Policy with a companion Business Retention Economic Incentive Policy in July, 2021. This introduction is partly undertaken to implement the Village Board's ongoing Strategic Plan to address business retention efforts, as well as to address inquiries made to staff regarding retention incentives.

From an Economic Development perspective, many municipal retention programs focus upon direct engagement with key business entities to address or reduce private sector businesses from relocating, downsizing or closing. The engagement role establishes partnerships so that items that could be addressed locally are considered to the greatest extent possible. The "explore all options" and "economic development is whatever the business deems it to be" philosophies were the pretexts of past engagement efforts. Some inquiries may not have direct economic components (e.g., changing parking regulations on a street, providing for easier applications for outside seating, etc.), but address a business concern. In other cases, external market forces independent of any Village actions and incentives would have had no benefit.

There are situations in which requests are made to address changing market competitive conditions, technology changes, or other external forces. The challenge is to address it prior to a closure and when financial requests are sought. This engagement is particularly vital when such discussions are by high retail sales tax generating entities who seek an incentive to remain within the Village.

The Village's Economic Incentive Policy (EIP) was adopted in 2015 and amended in 2016. The EIP intent provides staff, interested parties and the public with initial direction as to the level of support a request may receive by the Village. The EIP structure was to provide incentives for qualifying business entering the Lombard market or expanding their business operations, with additional revenues to be generated through the larger effort. The existing EIP does not offer provisions pertaining to many business retention and transformative projects, in the following respects:

1. For existing businesses, an incentive may be sought to keep a business in Lombard;
2. The structure of the EIP that seeks to improve or expand facilities does not sufficiently address existing business situations (i.e., 50% caps on new generated revenue, preservation of all existing sales tax revenue generated by a business)
3. The EIP does not address loss-leader projects that can be transformative and may stabilize existing sales tax dollars, but do not generate significant or sufficient revenues of their own accord to warrant an incentive itself.
4. The EIP does not sufficiently address the phrase of "it may be easier to retain a business than find a new one".

Utilizing economic development partners (i.e., other taxing bodies as well as local, DuPage County and State economic development entities) may provide some opportunities for assistance, but in other cases it may simply be that the Village is informed that a business relocation is under consideration in another community. As such, they are seeking assistance to help cover costs of modernization or other site improvements that are hoped to keep a business operating at acceptable levels, but otherwise would not guarantee large increases in sales volume, property tax increment, or employment benefit.

Establishing a Retention Incentive Policy is often a challenge for government officials, as consideration must be undertaken to determine whether such an incentive will actually stabilize or guarantee a business's long-term retention. But positively, sometimes it is more beneficial to offer an incentive to a business that intends to improve or expand their facilities, rather than knowingly see a business leave and then having to undertake steps to recruit a new business.

Compounding the challenge for Lombard is its Non-Home Rule Status. Home-rule communities can be quite creative when it comes to offering incentives and many often have the additional resources and funds to creatively address such a concern. However, the authority granted to non-home rule communities is limited in scope. The authority through 65 ILCS 5/8-11-20 to offer Sales Tax rebates, a primary source of funding for past redevelopment projects, is limited to properties that have remained vacant for at least one year, with some minor exceptions. As such, the vacancy provision contradicts what the intent of a retention policy would actually be.

To respond to such future requests, a Business Retention Policy should answer questions pertaining to:

- Funding Sources – existing and proposed
- Justification touch-points (i.e., why is an incentive needed)
- Levels of financial and capital improvement engagement by property owner/tenant(s)
- Reconciling “pay-as you go” and any additional increment provisions or incentive sources (e.g., TIF or Business District) that may be requested
- Risk analysis
- Review of impact under stay or go scenarios
- Economic incentive request review by third-party entities (as currently done by Kane McKenna & Associates (KMA))
- Minimum annual sales tax generation/impact
- Whether the incentive is for a struggling business or a stabilized or expanding one

The ECDC supported additional review of a policy by Village staff. Supplemental questions and issues raised by the ECDC include:

- Applicants should answer questions pertaining to cannibalism and benefits.
- Any policy review effort should include a review by KMA.
- The Finance Committee and Village Board should review a future policy.
- Claw back provisions should be considered to go along with any “prove-up requirements”.
- Review should also consider past investment in the community, number of years in Lombard and the amount of sales tax generated.
- Quantifiable data should be a part of any request.
- Determination of a government need for and incentive should be vetted and should not be applied toward businesses that are struggling or mismanaged, otherwise the incentive may not result in any material benefit but at a Village cost.

Retention Incentive Discussion

Incentives to keep existing businesses is not without its own challenges, as it can be seen as a source of unnecessary funding from governments back to businesses and, on a macro-scale, a zero-sum benefit that may result. However, for retail sales tax generators, these businesses directly contribute through their remittance of sales tax revenues which are used to pay for the Village’s core and enhanced services. Such funds can also create a catalyst for further investment or at a minimum stability surrounding business sales tax revenues by keeping key corridor areas economically viable.

When prioritizing programs for funding, there is significant discussion supporting business retention efforts ahead of business attraction, as such businesses are already established, speculation is not as great of a concern and it can give the secondary message of supporting established businesses as much as new businesses. Such business retention strategies can take a variety of forms, but for purpose of this review, financial inducements are the core review element.

**SECTION 3:
LOMBARD ECONOMIC INCENTIVE POLICY
(BUSINESS RETENTION, EXPANSION & TRANSFORMATION)**

The Village of Lombard has identified strategies that could be undertaken to assist the private sector in the development of key properties and/or attracting desired businesses. Notable actions include, but are not limited to, adoption of the following:

1. An Economic Development Strategies Report/Plan in 2011
2. The Lombard Economic Incentive Policy, adopted in 2015, and updated in 2016
3. Adoption of Tax Increment Financing Districts and Business Districts
4. The 2021 Village Board Strategic Plan effort

These efforts attempt to meet the Village Board's goal to "continue to expand economic development strategies to attract, maintain or expand business opportunities within the community, to include creating innovative solutions to fill commercial vacancies and innovative solutions to vacant lot development." Past plans have identified four economic development goals:

- Retain, expand and attract commercial and industrial businesses
- Promote general economic development and business growth
- Increase municipal revenue sources and identify incentives for specific development opportunities
- Encourage redevelopment along key commercial corridors

Of the goals noted above, emerging trends and economic development efforts should address:

1. Existing Village sales tax revenues remitted by larger sales tax generating businesses;
2. Businesses and targeted properties identified through adopted Village policy, plans or directives that have been identified as "key development/redevelopment sites" – a map depicting these sites is attached;
3. Transformative businesses that may not generate significant sales tax revenues but may stabilize or strengthen other businesses in close proximity to the establishment;

4. Desired businesses, that if they left the Village, would result in a substantial market segment leakage within the community, based upon North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) classifications.
5. Business innovation and technology adaptation to meet anticipated future market demands.

Direct Business Retention Projects

The retention policy parameters help ensure existing business entities to understand the role of the Village and what could be favorably considered. It also reduces the amount of speculation or uncertainty that may occur absent such a formalized policy. Such retention policies include:

1. Retention incentives can be considered in such cases that maintain and strengthen retail sales revenues generated by established businesses. A primary focus shall be the larger sales tax generating entities defined as those establishments seeking to improve or expand facilities which are among the highest generating retail sales tax entities for a period of at least three years immediately preceding the incentive request.
2. Incentives for retail sales tax generating desired businesses that if they left the Village would result in a substantial market segment leakage within the community, based upon NAICS classifications.
3. Incentives to advance retail sales tax business innovation and technology adaptation to meet anticipated future market demands.

Transformational Projects Advancing Retention Efforts

The Village Board also recognizes that some projects may serve as catalyst projects to transform or stabilize sales tax revenues within an area or corridor. These types of projects may not generate substantial sales tax revenues in of their own through their existing or anticipated business operations upon opening, but the impact of such establishment may directly or indirectly reduce erosion of generated sales tax dollars. Transformative businesses may include those that may not generate significant sales tax dollars but may stabilize or strengthen other businesses in close proximity to the establishment. In these cases, projects may consist of existing businesses, development projects or new projects that may not generate significant sales tax dollars but will create synergies to stabilize or strengthen existing retail establishments.

Goals and Policy Statements

1. Given current statutory limitations, such retention and transformational programs would not rely upon the issuance of bonds or other financial constraining tools by the Village. However, program funding shall be primarily based upon existing and projected revenues

- within the proposed Lombard Economic Development Fund. The Village's non-home sales tax designated for capital improvements is specifically not to be eligible as part of an incentive.
2. Eligible businesses must undertake a significant capital investment to improve or expand their facility/business and meet one of the two following categories:
 - a. Be located within an identified Key Development Site, as approved by the Village; or
 - b. Identified as being among the Top 25 retail sales tax generating businesses during one of the preceding three years.
 3. Developers and businesses are strongly encouraged to discuss their projects with staff prior to seeking approval of any incentives. This step is critical to determine incentive need, market conditions and level of resources that may be needed to meet desired outcomes. Such engagement may also require engagement with the Village's economic development consultant team – to that end, disclosure of relevant documents and data to determine incentive appropriateness should be expected.
 4. All discretionary incentives shall still be subject to a "but for" component. There should be a finding by the Village that the project and the incentive is necessary for retention or stabilization purposes, otherwise it could:
 - a. result in a business relocating outside the Village or significantly curtailing operations,
 - b. create an adversely negative financial impact upon the Village's ability to perform essential services at acceptable levels, or
 - c. result in costs being borne to Village residents or businesses, through the Village's General Fund or other discretionary funds.
 5. Incentives will be granted only at the level necessary to stabilize an existing business, business corridor or enhance the project's growth and generation of additional sales tax dollars.
 6. Preference shall be given to projects in which sales taxes or revenues historically received by the Village will not be reduced below the base year of any incentive, as established by the individual redevelopment plans, after an incentive is approved.
 7. The Village will not waive permit or development fees, if applicable, but such fees may be considered as part of the overall pro-forma of the overall construction/development cost

that can be used as a basis for an incentive, or if the Village determines that including the permit costs in the incentive creates a net economic benefit to the Village.

8. All projects receiving incentives must be consistent with adopted Village plans and must comply with all federal regulations, State Statutes and adopted Village codes.
9. To the greatest extent possible, incentives shall have a performance-based element to measure the success of an incentive. While such measure may or may not be directly related to the sales tax dollars generated for a business, each project shall identify proper metrics for determining the specific measures in which performance-based measure shall be measured against. Such measures can include sources beyond sales tax data and can include impacts upon Equalized Assessed Valuations (EAV) data and other generated tax revenues.
10. The Village shall require periodic reporting evidencing compliance with the requirements of the program and measuring the specific and overall economic benefit to the community.
11. To the greatest extent possible, the Village should continue to receive the first revenues generated by a project. This can include revenues that are currently received by the Village for existing or past sales tax generations, the costs of performing municipal services anticipated by the project as well as any incidental administrative costs.
12. Retention incentives should be established in such a manner that the greatest percentages of Village reimbursement shall be based off of existing revenues, with the possibility of an increase in the amounts based upon satisfactory compliance and performance of the business establishment. Such percentage should be readily identified as part of any agreement.
13. A project will be more favorably reviewed if the retention or transformative project:
 - a. represents significant private-sector financial capital investment above current conditions;
 - b. promotes a higher and better use of the property as determined by the Village through its adopted plans;
 - c. provides a positive fiscal and economic impact to the Village;
 - d. adds new and unique retail business tenants to the Lombard market;
 - e. mitigates any potential negative impacts to the surrounding area;
 - f. closes an existing leakage in retail sales tax dollars within the Village; or

- g. addresses impacts of consumer expenditure cannibalization, or in the reverse, the impact of the loss of the business in its entirety on remaining Village businesses.
- 14. Each agreement will be considered individually, based solely upon the merits of the project as defined at the time of the agreement consideration. No previous proposal or agreement should be considered as a precedent for the consideration of other projects.
- 15. As with all of the Village's Economic Incentive Policies, this Policy is not a property owner or business entitlement, or a commitment to spend on behalf of the Village but rather, any incentive is subject to availability of funds and is subject to review and sole discretion by the Village.

Consideration of any incentives which include a financial expenditure by the Village shall be set forth within a development/incentive agreement or other like document. Said agreement shall specifically identify the nature of the request, the rationale and justification for the request and the source of funding that is intended to be utilized as part of the project.

SECTION 4: CHECKLIST QUESTIONS FOR CONSIDERATION OF RETENTION & TRANSFORMATIONAL INCENTIVES

In working with a party seeking a retention or transformational incentive, the Village needs to be aware of the general policies in considering such request and act in the best interest of the Village, residents, businesses, and taxpayers. In consideration, such questions should be raised about any such incentives and how they relate to the Village's overall economic goals. For transparency and to provide a general understanding of the nature of the agreement, the questions raised below shall be identified and answered by the requestor and the Village prior to final consideration of any incentive agreement. These questions include, but are not limited to:

1. Retain, expand and attract retail sales generating businesses

- Does the business fill a specific or unique market niche that, if relocated or down-sized, would have a realistic impact of not being reabsorbed into the existing commercial market?
- Is it an existing Lombard business looking to expand and grow with its proposed retention request?
- Will the existing business maintain or create additional jobs or other identified economic growth for the Village?
- If a transformational project, are there quantifiable measures to justify such a request?
- Will the business make a significant investment in improving or expanding facilities?

2. Promote general economic development and business stabilization and growth

- Does this project create or retain jobs, or are they anticipated through job multiplier quotients within the given area? Additional questions will need to be answered such as: how many jobs; is this an increase or decrease to the current number of jobs on site; do the jobs pay a prevailing wage, minimum wage, or more; and what kind of job types are being created.
- For catalyst projects, how does the project include physical enhancements to better the surrounding properties?
- Will there be public improvements which would benefit the Village?
- Will innovative development technologies or modernization of business activity or projects be incorporated into the project?

3. Municipal revenue sources and identity incentives for specific development opportunities

- Is the equalized assessed value (EAV) of the property projected to increase and positively impact the taxing districts?
- Will generated sales taxes exceed what is being generated at the site currently?
- What would be the revenue impacts if the business is not awarded a grant, or completely leaves the Village?
- Will there be other financial benefits gained?
- Will the project generate increased stays at Lombard hotels?

4. Encourage transformative redevelopment along key commercial corridors

- Does the project address a property that has been underutilized, excessively vacant or functionally obsolete?
- Are the conditions associated with the property a function of market conditions?
- How will the improvements benefit the neighboring properties?
- Is the property called out for redevelopment and therefore consistent with the adopted Village documents and policies?

5. Discussion & approaches toward reviewing such requests

- What are the minimum performance thresholds that must be met?
- What is the actual Capital Investment by tenant/landlord/property owner?
- Are there precedent conditions that must be met prior to determining incentive eligibility?
- What metrics will be used to measure and quantify the requested incentive?
- Will there be a bifurcation effort to determine new sales tax generation vs. retention incentive percentages?
- What measures are in place in case the business ceases operation – by choice or by market conditions?
- Is there a need for Non-Compete Provisions to ensure that the incentive is not impacted by a business located within a relevant geographical area that would affect the performance of the existing business?
- What guarantees will be incorporated into the agreement for non-compliance (i.e., claw-back provisions or a waterfall reduction of funds based upon continued operations)
- Is there a need to address transfer, sale of business or other assignment provisions?
- How would incentives be addressed if the nature of the business changes, directly or through market conditions?
- Are there provisions in place to address eligible amounts (i.e., if state statutory reimbursements change, does this create an opener opportunity)?


6. **Transformative Redevelopment / Revitalization Projects** – These are projects that advance the Village goals for addressing blight, economic obsolescence, excessive vacancies, possible pending vacancies, or projects that address stated redevelopment objectives.
- Does the project meet the Village vision, goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan and other approved documents?
 - What is the breakdown of existing and new financial investment, excluding aesthetic or property maintenance items?
 - Does the project promote a better use of the property or improve the financial performance and/or viability of the existing property?
 - Does the project represent superior design aesthetics that substantially and significantly exceed current design standards and are worthy of an incentive?
 - Does the project mitigate any negative impacts to the surrounding area or provide external benefits and functionality?
7. **In-kind Economic Incentives** – While not directly financial in nature, these transformative incentives identify activities that the Village would undertake to advance a construction project, but may not result in a direct financial contribution to the project. These items to be identified include, but are not limited to:
- Additional staff assistance through the project formulation through the permitting process;
 - Incorporation of preliminary review activity through the zoning entitlement process; and/or
 - Incorporation of “fast-tracking” of permit activity, if necessary to facilitate the development.

The aforementioned questions are intended to provide a level engagement with the incentive beneficiaries, elected officials and other interested individuals in consideration of whether such an incentive is worthy of favorable consideration.

Exceptions to the Policy

It is good practice to identify the process for consideration of projects that are deemed to be worthy of an incentive consideration but are not meeting all of the parameters of the overall policy. In such cases, the policies being modified should be identified and the reasons for the exceptions. Such exceptions should be disclosed in the evaluation process and in Village Board actions approving the incentive.

MAP DEPICTING KEY DEVELOPMENT SITES



LANGHENRY, GILLEN, LUNDQUIST & JOHNSON, LLC

Attorneys at Law

JOHN G. LANGHENRY III
SUZANNE FAVIA GILLEN
TROY A. LUNDQUIST
STEVEN R. JOHNSON
WILLIAM B. WEILER *
THOMAS R. WEILER
ANASTASIA L. HESS
MOHAMMED A. NOFAL
EDWARD J. MELIA
ANDREW R. STUART
CHRISTOPHER R. DUNSING
LISA R. MUNCH +
MAURI A. THOMAS
STACY K. SHELLY +

OF COUNSEL
MELISSA J. GORDON
JOSEPH G. FEEHAN

311 SOUTH COUNTY FARM ROAD
SUITE L
WHEATON, ILLINOIS 60187

TELEPHONE (630) 653-5775
FAX (630) 653-5980

WWW.LGLFIRM.COM

SJOHNSON@LGLFIRM.COM

J. DANIEL PORTER
JOHN A. MASTERS *
SCOTT A. SCHOEN
BART R. ZIMMER
NICHOLAS J. PASCOLLA
KESSA M. MCKELLAR
ZACHARY C. MELLOTT *
KATIE S. LONZE +
KEVIN D. KOJS
MAGGIE C. GOSSELIN
MARGARET D. SULLIVAN*

* ALSO ADMITTED IN INDIANA
+ ALSO ADMITTED IN WISCONSIN
ALSO ADMITTED IN IOWA

CHICAGO	JOLIET	ROCKFORD	PRINCETON	MUNSTER
TEL (312) 704-6700	TEL (815) 726-3600	TEL (815) 636-1800	TEL (815) 915-8540	TEL (219) 595-5402
FAX (312) 704-6777	FAX (815) 726-3676	FAX (815) 636-2860	FAX (815) 915-8581	FAX (219) 595-5970

June 25, 2021

To: William Heniff
Director of Community Development
Village of Lombard
Email: heniffw@villageoflombard.org

Heritage Cadillac, Inc. – New Image Program Plus Electronic Vehicle Conversion

Problem: Heritage Cadillac, Inc. (“Heritage”) and General Motors need to decide whether to invest between \$5,000,000 and \$6,000,000 in the existing Lombard location, or invest in a “right-sized” facility on dealer row in another municipality.

Solution #1: Village of Lombard (“Lombard”) and Heritage enter an Economic Incentive Agreement allowing Heritage to recoup between \$2,500,000 and \$3,000,000 of its expenditure on the New Image Program Plus Electronic Vehicle Conversion through sharing of sales tax revenues over the next 20+ years.

Solution #2: Heritage invests the \$5,000,000 to \$6,000,000 in another municipality that is located on a dealer row and is more appropriately sized for the sale of new and used motor vehicles; and Lombard needs to find a new business to occupy an 8-acre plot on land one of the main gateways into the Village and replace more than \$360,000 in sales tax revenue.

Summary of Facts

Currently, Heritage occupies an approximately 8-acre parcel with 53,000 square feet under roof including a body shop and a 25,000 square foot warehouse. General Motors is requiring a New Image Program that requires Heritage to completely gut its current showroom and re-face the

LANGHENRY, GILLEN, LUNDQUIST & JOHNSON, LLC

Attorneys at Law

Heritage Cadillac, Inc. – New Image Program
Plus Electronic Vehicle Conversion

exterior cladding on all customer-facing exterior walls at a cost of more than \$4 million dollars. In addition, Heritage needs to spend between \$1,000,000 and \$1,250,000 to repave the asphalt if it is to remain at the Lombard location. Heritage is the largest Cadillac dealership in Illinois and a leader in its sales space. Heritage also seeks to be the first Chicagoland Cadillac dealership to incorporate an Electronic Vehicle Conversion either here in Lombard at a cost of between \$500,000 and \$700,000 or incorporate the new equipment at the new location. To make the conversion, the existing location needs an upgrade from 208-volt power to 480-volt power and the installation of a minimum of five (5) charging stations.

A right-sized dealership today is on a 3 to 4-acre parcel with a total of 25,000 square foot under roof with no body shop or warehouse space to maintain. Modern car dealerships are no longer stand-alone destinations. Instead, the modern car dealership is part of a dealer row or auto mall with dealerships of all makes and models in one location. This reduces advertising costs for the individual dealerships and naturally increases foot traffic at each dealership by increasing the total number of potential customers. Importantly, the alternate location does not require the repaving of any asphalt resulting in a savings to Heritage of over \$1 million dollars or the cost of upgrading the power supply to 480 volts.

How to Make a Win-Win Deal

Heritage has been a part of the Village of Lombard for fifty-five (55) years and been one of the largest providers of sales tax revenue to the Village over its lifespan. Heritage expects its sales tax revenue to the Village to increase by over \$60,000 this year over 2020. While Heritage does not expect large scale growth in sales taxes to be generated at the dealership should it invest more than \$5 million dollars at this location, it is obvious that sales tax revenues will go to zero if Heritage determines it is not feasible to invest such a large sum of money without the Village providing an economic incentive to remain in its current location. However, if Heritage remains and is the first dealership in the Chicagoland market to execute the Electric Vehicle conversion, then Heritage has the potential to substantially increase sales and service tax revenues over its current projection of \$360,519 for 2021.

Heritage is in the preliminary stages of working with General Motors and the Gensler architectural firm out of Detroit, Michigan to develop the concept needed to take the project to potential general contractors for final pricing. We have attached a portion of the Consultation Package for your assistance in understanding the scope of the work to be performed at 330 W. Roosevelt Road should Heritage and the Village reach an agreement on an Economic Incentive Agreement. Gensler is a nation architectural firm and Heritage is comfortable with the accuracy of the cost numbers provided.

For Heritage to spend between \$5,000,000 and \$6,000,000 to remain in Lombard, Heritage will require the return of approximately half its total capital expenses including the cost of repaving the asphalt. This would require the Village and Heritage to agree upon an Economic Incentive

LANGHENRY, GILLEN, LUNDQUIST & JOHNSON, LLC

Attorneys at Law

Heritage Cadillac, Inc. – New Image Program
Plus Electronic Vehicle Conversion

Agreement that would allow Heritage to recover between \$2,500,000 and \$3,000,000 over the next 20+ years. Heritage is flexible in the time frame so long as it can recoup fifty percent of the total capital expenditure. Without this kind of contribution from the Village, the project is not economically feasible at the current location.

We look forward to working with the Village of Lombard to make this happen. Heritage wants to remain in Lombard, but it cannot reasonably invest up to \$6 million dollars in this parcel without a significant contribution from the Village of Lombard. As previously discussed, a decision must be made by Heritage before Labor Day.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions.

Very truly yours,

Steven R. Johnson

Steven R. Johnson

SRJ/jl

Enclosure: Portion of Gensler Consultation Package 1

Cc: William Hartigan
Wayne Balogh



HERITAGE CADILLAC

LOMBARD,

ILLINOIS





HERITAGE CADILLAC

LOMBARD,

ILLINOIS



Real Estate
CONCEPTS ONLY



HERITAGE CADILLAC

LOMBARD,

ILLINOIS





HERITAGE CADILLAC

LOMBARD,

ILLINOIS





HERITAGE CADILLAC

LOMBARD,

ILLINOIS



Member of Keller Williams Realty
CONCEPT ONLY





HERITAGE CADILLAC

LOMBARD,

ILLINOIS





PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

DATE: 09-15-2021

SHEET: **A1.0**

PRELIMINARY NO.: P21296

CONTRACT NO.:

REVISIONS

1	09-27-2021	J.R.S.
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		

"COPYRIGHT NOTICE"

This design, drawing, or other work is the property of Keller Architects, Inc. and is not to be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Keller Architects, Inc.

PROPOSED FOR:

HERITAGE CADILLAC

LOMBARD, WISCONSIN

Keller
PLANNED ARCHITECTS INSPIRERS

1111 W. WISCONSIN
MILWAUKEE, WI 53233
TEL: 414.224.1111
FAX: 414.224.1112
WWW.KELLERARCHITECTS.COM

PROJECT NO. 2021-001
DATE: 09-15-2021
DRAWN BY: J.R.S.
CHECKED BY: J.R.S.
DESIGNED BY: S. MESSING
PROJECT MANAGER: L. SEBALD



PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

DATE: 09.15.2021
 SHEET: **A1.1**

CONTRACT NO.: P21286
 PRELIMINARY NO.: P21286

DESIGNER: S. KLEISSIG
 PROJECT MANAGER: L. SEBALD
 DRAWN BY: J.R.S.
 EXPEDITOR: J.R.S.
 SUPERVISOR:

REVISIONS

1	09.27.2021	J.R.S.
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		


"COPYRIGHT NOTICE"

This design contains proprietary information of Keller Construction, Inc. and is the intellectual property of Keller Construction, Inc. It is to be used only for the project and site identified herein. No part of this design may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Keller Construction, Inc.


PROPOSED FOR:
HERITAGE CADILLAC
 LOMBARD, WISCONSIN

Keller
 PLANNERS / ARCHITECTS / BUILDERS


1000 WEST WISCONSIN AVENUE
 SUITE 200
 MILWAUKEE, WI 53212
 TEL: 414.224.2200
 FAX: 414.224.2201
 WWW.KELLERCONSTRUCTION.COM




NORTH ELEVATION
1/8" = 1'-0"



WEST ELEVATION
1/8" = 1'-0"



SOUTH ELEVATION
1/8" = 1'-0"



EAST ELEVATION
1/8" = 1'-0"



Keller
PLANNING ARCHITECTURE INTERIORS

FOCUS AREAS
ARCHITECTURE
INTERIORS
PLANNING
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

OFFICE
1000 W. WISCONSIN
MILWAUKEE, WI 53233
TEL: 414.224.2200
WWW.KELLERARCH.COM

PROPOSED FOR:
HERITAGE CADILLAC
LOMBARD, WISCONSIN


"COPYRIGHT NOTICE"
This design drawing and details are the property of Keller Architecture Interiors. No part of this drawing may be copied, stored, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of Keller Architecture Interiors.

REVISIONS

1	09.27.2021	J.R.S.
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		

PROJECT MANAGER: L. SEBALD
DESIGNER: S. NLESSING
DRAWN BY: J.R.S.
EDITOR:
SUPERVISOR:
PRELIMINARY NO.: P21296
CONTRACT NO.:
DATE: 09.15.2021
SHEET: **A2.0**

PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



DEMOLISHED AREA 5831 SF
ADDITION AREA 2018 SF

WALL KEY

- NEW WALL/OPENING
- NEW WALL/NEW WINDOW
- NEW CORE/NEW CORE WALL
- NEW CORE/NEW CORE WALL
- NEW FOUNDATION WALL
- TYPICAL EXISTING WALL
- DEAD WALLS
- FIRE WALL CM
- FIRE BRICK

PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

DATE: 09/15/2021

SHEET: **EX1.0**

CONTRACT NO.: P21286

PRELIMINARY NO.: P21286

SUPERVISOR:

EXEDITOR: J.R.S.

DESIGNER: S. KLESSIG

PROJECT MANAGER: L. SEBALD

REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY
1	09/27/2021	J.R.S.
2		
3		
4		
5		

"COPYRIGHT NOTICE"

This design is the property of Keller Construction, Inc. and is not to be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Keller Construction, Inc.

PROPOSED FOR:

HERITAGE CADILLAC


LOMBARD, WISCONSIN

Keller
TH

PLANNERS. ARCHITECTS. BUILDERS.

FOR OFFICE: 1000 N. LAKE DRIVE, SUITE 1000, MILWAUKEE, WI 53233
PHONE: 414.224.2424 / FAX: 414.224.2424
WWW.KELLERCONSTRUCTION.COM

FOR PROJECT: 1000 N. LAKE DRIVE, SUITE 1000, MILWAUKEE, WI 53233
PHONE: 414.224.2424 / FAX: 414.224.2424
WWW.KELLERCONSTRUCTION.COM



Keller
PLANNERS / ARCHITECTS / ENGINEERS

PROJECT: HERITAGE CADILLAC
CLIENT: HERITAGE CADILLAC
DATE: 09/15/2021
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"
PROJECT NO.: P21286
CONTRACT NO.: P21286
DATE: 09/15/2021

PROPOSED FOR:
HERITAGE CADILLAC
 LOMBARD, WISCONSIN

PROJECT MANAGER: L. SEBALD
DESIGNER: S. KLESSING
DRAWN BY: J.R.S.
EDITOR:
SUPERVISOR:
PRELIMINARY NO.: P21286
CONTRACT NO.:

REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY
1	09/27/2021	J.R.S.
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		

PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
 SHEET: **EX2.0**
 DATE: 09/15/2021