

**SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE VILLAGE OF LOMBARD
BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TIF DISTRICT
REDEVELOPMENT PLAN**

Prepared Jointly by:

**Village of Lombard
and
Kane, McKenna and Associates, Inc.**

**Original Redevelopment Plan and Project: November 2, 2017
First Amendment to Redevelopment Plan and Project: December 5, 2019
Second Amendment to Redevelopment Plan and Project: June 5, 2020**

The Village of Lombard's (hereinafter the "Village") Butterfield-Yorktown TIF Redevelopment Plan and Project, as amended by the First Amendment thereto, is hereby further amended as described herein. The amendatory language contained herein constitutes the Second Amendment to the TIF Redevelopment Plan and Project adopted on November 2, 2017 and amended on December 5, 2019.

- 1) Section I "INTRODUCTION" on page 2, the third paragraph (the first paragraph under the subsection entitled, "The Proposed TIF District") is to be replaced with the following:

"The TIF District. The TIF District consists of commercial and institutional land uses with an office building (747 E. 22nd Street), The Northern Baptist Theological Seminary Campus/Facility – buildings, Westin Hotel/Conference Center, the JC Penney store, a strip center (4-44 Yorktown Convenience Center) and adjacent lots/parking areas. The Second Amendment includes the former Carson Pirie Scott Department store and furniture store, the inline mall property, and multiple retail buildings south of the main mall ("the Expanded TIF District"). A map of the Expanded TIF District is attached as Appendix 2. The Von Maur store property is not included in the Expanded TIF District."

- 2) Section "IV. "EVIDENCE OF THE LACK OF DEVELOPMENT AND GROWTH; FISCAL IMPACT ON TAXING DISTRICTS". on page 9, a new paragraph is added after the second paragraph:

"The RPA, as amended, consists of the former Carson Pirie Scott Department store and furniture store, the inline mall property, and multiple retail buildings south of the main mall. Concerns relating to the marketability of current uses, along with the ability to compete in the wider market place, have served as the basis for the expansion of the RPA (hereinafter "the Expanded RPA") in order to increase investment and job creation within the Expanded RPA."

- 3) Section "V. TIF QUALIFICATION FACTORS PRESENT IN THE RPA" on page 10, is amended to add a new second paragraph after the "Findings" paragraph as follows:

"Appendix 5 also includes the "First Supplement to the Original Eligibility Report" for the properties added to the Redevelopment Project Area (the "Expansion Area")."

After the first sentence of "Eligibility Survey" paragraph, the following sentence is added:

"Representatives of KMA and Village staff also evaluated the Expansion Area from January, 2020 to the date of this Second Amendment."

- 4) Section VI. "REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT" page 22 to 23, entitled "Exhibit 4 RPA Project Cost Estimates" is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

Exhibit 4
RPA Project Cost Estimates

Program Actions/Improvements	Estimated Costs
Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans, and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, financial, planning or other services	\$ 17,300,000
Costs of marketing sites within the redevelopment project area to prospective businesses, developers, and investors	\$ 400,000
Property assembly costs, including but not limited to acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, site preparation, site improvements that serve as an engineered barrier addressing ground level or below ground environmental contamination, including, but not limited to parking lots and other concrete or asphalt barriers, and the clearing and grading of land	\$ 24,301,000
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings, fixtures, and leasehold improvements; and the cost of replacing an existing public building if pursuant to the implementation of a redevelopment project the existing public building is to be demolished to use the site for private investment or devoted to a different use requiring private investment; including any direct or indirect costs relating to Green Globes or LEED certified construction elements or construction elements with an equivalent certification	\$ 99,600,000
Costs of the construction of public works or improvements, including any direct or indirect costs relating to Green Globes or LEED certified construction elements or construction elements with an equivalent certification. <i>Note: This may include street, utility and site improvement projects that are essential for the preparation of the Expanded Redevelopment Project Area for development in accordance with the amended TIF Plan, even though they may not be located within the Expanded RPA, pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-4(f).</i>	\$ 30,317,000
Costs of job training and retraining projects	\$ 500,000
Financing costs, including but not limited to all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder including interest accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not exceeding 36 months thereafter and including reasonable reserves related thereto ²	\$ 13,300,000

A taxing district's capital costs resulting from the redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan and project	\$ 100,000
Statutory school district payments	\$ 42,000,000
Statutory library district payments	\$ 800,000
Relocation costs to the extent that a municipality determines that relocation costs shall be paid or is required to make payment of relocation costs by federal or State law	\$ 100,000
Payments in lieu of taxes	\$ 100,000
Costs of job training, retraining, advanced vocational education or career education, including but not limited to courses in occupational, semi-technical or technical fields leading directly to employment, incurred by one or more taxing districts	\$ 500,000
Interest cost incurred by a redeveloper related to the construction, renovation or rehabilitation of a redevelopment project as set forth in the Act	\$ 2,000,000
Transfers to contiguous TIF District(s) ⁴	\$ 100,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED TIF BUDGET	\$231,418,000

Notes:

(1) All project cost estimates are in 2020 dollars. Costs may be adjusted for inflation per the Act.

(2) In addition to the costs identified in the table above, any bonds issued to finance a phase of the Plan may include an amount sufficient to pay (a) customary and reasonable charges associated with the issuance of such obligations, (b) interest on such bonds, and (c) capitalized interest and reasonably required reserves.

(3) Adjustments to the estimated line-item costs above are expected. Adjustments may be made in line-items within the total, either increasing or decreasing line-items costs for redevelopment. Each individual project cost will be reevaluated in light of the projected private development and resulting tax revenues as it is considered for public financing under the provisions of the Act. The totals of the line-items set forth above are not intended to place a total limit on the described expenditures, as the specific items listed above are not intended to preclude payment of other eligible redevelopment project costs in connection the redevelopment of the RPA – provided the total amount of payment for eligible redevelopment project costs shall not exceed the overall budget amount outlined above.

(4) Per 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-4(q), as amended, the Village may utilize revenues, other than State sales tax increment revenue, received under the Act from one redevelopment project area for eligible costs in another redevelopment project area that is either: (i) contiguous to the redevelopment project area from which the revenues are received, (ii) separated only by a public right of way from the redevelopment project area from which the revenues are received, or (iii) separated only by forest preserve property from the redevelopment project area from which the revenues are received if the closest boundaries of the redevelopment project areas that are separated by the forest preserve property are less than one mile apart.

- 5) Section “VI. REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT” page 23, “Sources of Funds to Pay Redevelopment Costs” is amended to add a new sentence at the end of paragraph 3.

“The Expansion Area is expected to have a base equalized assessed valuation based upon the 2019 equalized assessed valuation.”

- 6) Section “VI. REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT” page 25, “Most Recent Equalized Assessed Valuation for the RPA” is amended to read in its entirety as follows:

“The total base year equalized assessed valuation for the Original Redevelopment Project Area is \$10,342,515. The properties in the Expansion Area are estimated to increase the base EAV by approximately \$16,321,320, for a total Expanded TIF District Base EAV of \$26,663,835.

- 7) Section “VI. REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT” page 25, entitled “Anticipated Equalized Assessed Valuation for the RPA” is amended to delete the existing subsection and adding the following thereto:

“Upon completion of the anticipated private development of the Expanded Redevelopment Project Area over the remaining TIF period, it is estimated that the equalized assessed valuation (EAV) of the property within the Expanded Redevelopment Project Area will be approximately \$155,000,000 to \$160,000,000.”

- 8) Section “VII. DESCRIPTION AND SCHEDULING AND REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT” is amended to add the following paragraph after the paragraph entitled “Professional Services”:

The Village may fund street, utility, and site improvement projects that are essential for the preparation of the Expanded Redevelopment Project Area for development, but which are located outside of the boundaries of Expanded Redevelopment Area, pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4(f). These projects may include, but not be limited to the following:

- a) For the intersection of 22nd Street and Fairfield Avenue, construction of:
 - i) traffic signal installations;
 - ii) companion pedestrian and/or bicycle intersection accommodations;
 - iii) roadway surface intersection improvements and enhancements;
 - iv) companion parkway, landscaping and street lighting improvements;
- b) For the enhancement of the public utilities infrastructure necessary to accommodate future development activity within the RPA, construct a new sanitary sewer force main, generally parallel to 22nd Street, from Highland Avenue to west of Finley Road.

- 9) Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District Redevelopment Plan, Appendix 1, “Legal Description of RPA” is hereby replaced, as shown in Appendix 1 attached hereto and made part hereof, so as to read in its entirety as set forth in Appendix 1 attached hereto and made part hereof.
- 10) Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District Redevelopment Plan, Appendix 2, “Boundary Map of RPA” is hereby replaced, as shown in Appendix 2 attached hereto and made part hereof, so as to read in its entirety as set forth in Appendix 2 attached hereto and made part hereof.
- 11) Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District Redevelopment Plan, Appendix 3, “Current Land Use Map of RPA” is hereby replaced, as shown in Appendix 3 attached hereto and made part hereof, so as to read in its entirety as set forth in Appendix 3 attached hereto and made part hereof.
- 12) Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District Redevelopment Plan, Appendix 4, “Future Land Use Map of RPA” is hereby replaced, as shown in Appendix 4 attached hereto and made part hereof, so as to read in its entirety as set forth in Appendix 4 attached hereto and made part hereof.
- 13) Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District Redevelopment Plan, Appendix 5, “TIF Qualification Report,” is hereby amended to add the “First Supplement to Original Eligibility Report,” as set forth in Appendix 5 attached hereto and made part hereof, thereto, as a supplement to the TIF Qualification Report, and by amending the title thereof to read “Village of Lombard Butterfield-Yorktown TIF Qualification Report and First Supplement to Village of Lombard Butterfield-Yorktown TIF Qualification Report.”

APPENDIX 1

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF

EXPANDED BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN REDVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION
FOR THE ORIGINAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA FOR THE
LOMBARD BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT**

Legal Description:

A PARCEL OF LAND IN THE NORTH HALF OF THE EAST HALF OF SECTION 29, AND THE EAST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 29, BOTH IN TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION, RECORDED APRIL 5, 2010 AS DOCUMENT R2010-043541 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1 686.60 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 1, 69.66 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER THEREOF, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 IN NORTHERN BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED AUGUST 28, 2007 AS DOCUMENT R2007-159301; CONTINUING THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301, 717.65 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERN BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1 THE FOLLOWING 7 COURSES; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 93.42 FEET, 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 173.27 FEET, 3) SOUTHEASTERLY 186.14 FEET, 4) SOUTHWESTERLY 107.62 FEET, 5) SOUTHWESTERLY 67.48 FEET, 6) NORTHWESTERLY 138.83 FEET, 7) SOUTHWESTERLY 300.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 104.26 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 591.66 FEET, AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 93.90 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 402.98 FEET, AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 116.05 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 683.51 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 95.44 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 198.74 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 64.17 FEET TO A POINT OF REVERSE CURVATURE, CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 121.91 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 74.06 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF STATE ROUTE 56, BUTTERFIELD ROAD; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE SOUTH LINE OF PARCEL 1 AFORESAID (ALSO BEING THE NORTH LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD-F.A. RTE. 131, ST. RT. 56) 100.00 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE APPROXIMATELY 624.91 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION APPROXIMATELY 109.56 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 560.00 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 6 IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION RECORDED NOVEMBER 17, 1995 AS DOCUMENT R95-162762; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 3 COURSES ALONG THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID LOT 6; 1) SOUTHWESTERLY 200.00 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 116.21 FEET, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 320.91 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE EAST LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2016 AS DOCUMENT R2016-093310; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EAST LINE APPROXIMATELY 902.0 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF, BUTTERFIELD ROAD (F.A. RTE 131, ST. RTE 56); THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST

LINE OF SAID LOT 1, APPROXIMATELY 106.75 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE, APPROXIMATELY 41.04 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 1 PER DOCUMENT R2016-093310; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION, APPROXIMATELY 106.75 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY AND A SOUTHERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES, BEING SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID LOT 1; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 78.22 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 757.44 FEET, 3) S 27°55'03" W, 130.25 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 87.88 FEET; THENCE N 27°55'03" E, 370.54' TO THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF PARCEL 2 IN HIGHLAND AVENUE ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED JANUARY 30, 2012 AS DOCUMENT R2012-012175; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY THE FOLLOWING 2 COURSES ALONG THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 2; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 849.86 FEET, 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 604.32 FEET (PER DOCUMENT R2012-012175, 604.69 FEET PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890) TO A SOUTHWEST CORNER THEREOF, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 2 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 2, 448.23 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES, BEING THE SOUTHERLY PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 2, 1) ALONG A CURVE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27', 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 90.00 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 75.72 FEET, 4) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 12.45 FEET; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890, 100 FEET TO THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND AVENUE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE MOST SOUTHERLY NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 2 PER DOCUMENT R2012-012175 AFORESAID; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION TO THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 2; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 558.70 FEET ALONG A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 2 TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 500.00 FEET AND ARC DISTANCE OF 152.01 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 (PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2016-093310 AFORESAID); THENCE THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES ALONG THE WEST PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 4; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 104.26 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 44.24 FEET, 3) WESTERLY 43.47 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 221.71 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 742.45 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 4 67.00 FEET TO THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF GRACE STREET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF LOT 4 AFORESAID ALONG THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID GRACE STREET RIGHT OF WAY TO A POINT ON THE NORTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4 IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R95-162762); THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION 102.61 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 11.80 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, ALSO BEING THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 AFORESAID; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST (ALSO BEING A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1) HAVING A RADIUS OF 368.00 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 193.99 FEET; THENCE

SOUTHEASTERLY (CONTINUING ALONG THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1) 119.26 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 518.00 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 43.47 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF OUTLOT A IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID OUTLOT A 337.42 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE THEREOF 84.34 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF (ALSO BEING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF LOMBARD WESTIN HOTEL AND CONVENTION CENTER RECORDED APRIL 17, 2009 AS DOCUMENT R2009-057156; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 546.67 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 3.57 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE WEST PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN NORTHERN BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY ASSESSMENT PLAT AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE WEST PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1, 116.51 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 157.16 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 282.40 FEET ALONG THE MOST NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID); THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1,661.83 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 70.50 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 767.39 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 103.23 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID) 229.59 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER THEREOF (ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN SAID FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID); THENCE THE FOLLOWING 10 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG THE WESTERLY PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1; 1) NORTHWESTERLY, 326.45 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 154.85 FEET, 3)NORTHEASTERLY 3.07 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 122.51 FEET, 5) SOUTHWESTERLY 3.07 FEET, 6) NORTHWESTERLY 32.04 FEET, 7) NORTHWESTERLY 48.33 FEET, 8) NORTHEASTERLY 42.43 FEET, 9) NORTHEASTERLY 34.93 FEET, 10) NORTHEASTERLY 21.85 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING ON THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET); THENCE NORTHWESTERLY, PERPENDICULAR TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 AND THE SAID SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET 100.00 FEET TO THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID 22ND STREET; THEN NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE 817.98 FEET TO A POINT 100.00 FEET NORTH OF (AS MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO) THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE SOUTHERLY, ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR THE AFORESAID NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1, BEING THE AFORESAID POINT OF BEGINNING, ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PINs: 06-29-101-037, 06-29-101-043, 06-29-101-044, 06-29-101-047,
06-29-101-049, 06-29-200-051, 06-29-200-052, 06-29-200-053,
06-29-200-056, 06-29-200-057 and 06-29-200-059.

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION
FOR THE EXPANSION AREA FOR THE LOMBARD
BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT**

Legal Description:

PARCEL 1:

LOT 3 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2016 AS DOCUMENT R2016-093310, ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

ALSO

PARCEL 2:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER, BEING AN ASSESSMENT PLAT OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO SAID PLAT OF CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AND RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 604.49 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A NORTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 400.65 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 AS DOCUMENT NO. R2017-090478, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 449.16 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 3 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1, 1) SOUTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 358.05 FEET; 2) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 198.70 FEET; 3) THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 390.12 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION RECORDED OCTOBER 13, 2006 AS DOCUMENT NO. R2006-198283 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS BEING 460.45 FEET SOUTHEASTERLY OF THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 17 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION, 1) SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (PER DOC. R2006-198283) 102.15 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHEASTERLY CORNER THEREOF, 2) SOUTHEASTERLY 231.10 FEET TO THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER THEREOF, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 473.24 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY, 4) SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE ALSO BEING A SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1 AFORESAID 9.00 FEET, SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF LOT 2 IN SAID HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION, 5) NORTHWESTERLY 149.27 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOTS 1 AND 2 AFORESAID, 6) SOUTHWESTERLY 367.69 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 2, 7) SOUTHEASTERLY 149.27 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 2 TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY, 8) SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD 258.31 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, BEING A COMMON PROPERTY CORNER WITH LOT 8 IN YORKTOWN, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT

R1968-044972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, 9) NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOTS 1 AND LOT 8 (YORKTOWN) 211.36 FEET, 10) NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, 74.59 FEET, 11) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, 69.04 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, (SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER OF LOT 2 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION PLAT RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT R2003-433529, 12) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 150.00 FEET, A CHORD DISTANCE OF 189.018 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 197.835 FEET (ALSO BEING A PROPERTY LINE COMMON WITH SAID LOT 2 TBA RESUBDIVISION), 13) NORTH ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON WITH THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 (TBA RESUBDIVISION) 145.143 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 14) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHWESTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.56 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, 15) ALONG A CURVE WHOSE CHORD BEARS WEST 91.50 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 92.00 FEET, SAID CURVE BEING A COMMON PROPERTY LINE WITH THE MOST NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 2 (TBA RESUBDIVISION) TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, 16) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 105.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHWESTERLY TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE EAST LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY AS TAKEN PER DOCUMENT RECORDED JANUARY 16, 2004 AS NO. R2004-015543, 17) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY 31.66 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY BEING ON A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOT 1 AND THE MOST SOUTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASSESSMENT PLAT AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 AND THE NORTH LINE OF THE AFORESAID HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY TAKING 20.02 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 7 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1, 1) NORTHWESTERLY 91.21 FEET, 2) SOUTHEASTERLY 47.32 FEET, 3) SOUTHEASTERLY 7.32 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 4) ALONG A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 105.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHEASTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 56.51 FEET, 5) NORTHEASTERLY 92.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 6) ALONG A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHEASTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 7) NORTHWESTERLY 282.62 FEET TO A CORNER OF PARCEL 1, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF YORKTOWN-HIGHLAND RESUBDIVISION (DOC. R2006-170876 AFOREMENTIONED), THE PREVIOUS 7 COURSES ALL BEING COMMON PROPERTY LINES WITH LOT 1 IN SAID FINAL PLAT OF YORKTOWN-HIGHLAND RESUBDIVISION (DOC. R2006-170876), AND SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AFOREMENTIONED; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 9 COURSES, ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1 (DOC. R2000-120890), 1) NORTHWESTERLY 352.27 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 2) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHWESTERLY 35.36 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 4) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 77.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHWESTERLY 75.83 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 79.29 FEET (PREVIOUS 4 COURSES ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES COMMON TO LOT 3 IN SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER), 5) NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE MOST WESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 1

(ALSO BEING THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY) 119.32 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 6) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 90.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHEASTERLY 73.51 FEET AN ARC DISTANCE OF 75.72 FEET 7) NORTHEASTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 8) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHEASTERLY 35.36 FEET AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 9) NORTHWESTERLY 448.23 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING (PREVIOUS 4 COURSES ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES COMMON TO LOT 2 IN SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER), ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 3:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE MOST WESTERLY LOT LINE OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER, BEING AN ASSESSMENT PLAT OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO SAID PLAT OF CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890, SAID POINT BEING THE MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF SAID WESTERLY LOT LINE AND RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1 119.32 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 2.31 FEET TO A POINT BEING A COMMON CORNER WITH LOT 2 OF SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER; THENCE CONTINUING NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 2 12.45 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE AS SHOWN PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AFORESAID; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 ACROSS THE FULL RIGHT OF WAY OF HIGHLAND AVENUE TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO THE AFORESAID MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT, SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE BEING DRAWN FROM THE AFORESAID MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE TO THE AFORESAID MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1, BEING ALSO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN DUPAGE COUNTY ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 4:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT A POINT BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF A PARCEL OF LAND DEDICATED FOR HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY PER DOCUMENT NO. R2004-015543, SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST NORTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R 2006-198283 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID DEDICATION 62.79 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY 28.28 FEET ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID TAKING TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER THEREOF, SAID POINT ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2003-433529; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 AFORESAID ACROSS THE FULL RIGHT OF WAY OF HIGHLAND AVENUE TO A POINT ON THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND AVENUE; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG SAID WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO A WESTERLY

PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASS3SSMENT PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2017-090478, SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE BEING DRAWN FROM THE MOST NORTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE 91.21 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF A PARCEL OF LAND DEDICATED FOR HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY PER DOCUMENT R2004-015543 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID DEDICATION (ALSO BEING ALONG THE MOST SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1) 20.02 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 5:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF LOT 1 IN HIGHLAND YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R2006-198283 AND RUNNING SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF A 211.36 FOOT LONG PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID AND LOT 8 IN YORKTOWN PER DOCUMENT R1968-044972 TO THE CENTERLINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF A PROPERTY LINE BEING 149.27 FEET LONG AND COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID WITH THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 2 IN HIGHLAND YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION TO THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 2 (ALSO BEING A CORNER COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID), IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PINs: 06-29-101-038, 06-29-101-046, 06-29-101-048 and 06-29-301-014.

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION
FOR THE EXPANDED REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA FOR THE
LOMBARD BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT**

Legal Description:

A PARCEL OF LAND IN THE NORTH HALF OF THE EAST HALF OF SECTION 29, AND THE EAST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 29, BOTH IN TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION, RECORDED APRIL 5, 2010 AS DOCUMENT R2010-043541 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1 686.60 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 1, 69.66 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER THEREOF, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 IN NORTHERN BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED AUGUST 28, 2007 AS DOCUMENT R2007-159301; CONTINUING THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301, 717.65 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERN BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1 THE FOLLOWING 7 COURSES; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 93.42 FEET, 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 173.27 FEET, 3) SOUTHEASTERLY 186.14 FEET, 4) SOUTHWESTERLY 107.62 FEET, 5) SOUTHWESTERLY 67.48 FEET, 6) NORTHWESTERLY 138.83 FEET, 7) SOUTHWESTERLY 300.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 104.26 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE

SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 591.66 FEET, AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 93.90 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 402.98 FEET, AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 116.05 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 683.51 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 95.44 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 198.74 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 64.17 FEET TO A POINT OF REVERSE CURVATURE, CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 121.91 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 74.06 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF STATE ROUTE 56, BUTTERFIELD ROAD; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE SOUTH LINE OF PARCEL 1 AFORESAID (ALSO BEING THE NORTH LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD-F.A. RTE. 131, ST. RT. 56) 100.00 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE APPROXIMATELY 624.91 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION APPROXIMATELY 109.56 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 560.00 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 6 IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION RECORDED NOVEMBER 17, 1995 AS DOCUMENT R95-162762; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 3 COURSES ALONG THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID LOT 6; 1) SOUTHWESTERLY 200.00 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 116.21 FEET, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 320.91 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE EAST LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2016 AS DOCUMENT R2016-093310; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EAST LINE APPROXIMATELY 902.0 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF, BUTTERFIELD ROAD (F.A. RTE 131, ST. RTE 56); THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, APPROXIMATELY 106.75 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE, APPROXIMATELY 41.04 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 1 PER DOCUMENT R2016-093310; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION, APPROXIMATELY 106.75 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY AND A SOUTHERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES, BEING SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID LOT 1; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 78.22 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 757.44 FEET, 3) S 27°55'03" W, 130.25 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 87.88 FEET; THENCE N 27°55'03" E, 370.54' TO THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF PARCEL 2 IN HIGHLAND AVENUE ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED JANUARY 30, 2012 AS DOCUMENT R2012-012175; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY THE FOLLOWING 2 COURSES ALONG THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 2; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 849.86 FEET, 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 604.32 FEET (PER DOCUMENT R2012-012175, 604.69 FEET PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890) TO A SOUTHWEST CORNER THEREOF, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 2 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 2, 448.23 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES, BEING THE SOUTHERLY PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 2, 1) ALONG A CURVE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27', 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE

SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 90.00 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 75.72 FEET, 4) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 12.45 FEET; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890, 100 FEET TO THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND AVENUE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE MOST SOUTHERLY NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 2 PER DOCUMENT R2012-012175 AFORESAID; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION TO THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 2; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 558.70 FEET ALONG A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 2 TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 500.00 FEET AND ARC DISTANCE OF 152.01 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 (PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2016-093310 AFORESAID); THENCE THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES ALONG THE WEST PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 4; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 104.26 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 44.24 FEET, 3) WESTERLY 43.47 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 221.71 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 742.45 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 4 67.00 FEET TO THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF GRACE STREET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF LOT 4 AFORESAID ALONG THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID GRACE STREET RIGHT OF WAY TO A POINT ON THE NORTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4 IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R95-162762); THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION 102.61 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 11.80 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, ALSO BEING THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 AFORESAID; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST (ALSO BEING A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1) HAVING A RADIUS OF 368.00 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 193.99 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY (CONTINUING ALONG THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1) 119.26 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 518.00 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 43.47 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF OUTLOT A IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID OUTLOT A 337.42 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE THEREOF 84.34 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF (ALSO BEING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF LOMBARD WESTIN HOTEL AND CONVENTION CENTER RECORDED APRIL 17, 2009 AS DOCUMENT R2009-057156; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 546.67 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 3.57 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE WEST PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN NORTHERN BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY ASSESSMENT PLAT AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE WEST PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1, 116.51 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 157.16 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 282.40 FEET ALONG THE MOST NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID); THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1,661.83 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 70.50 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE

CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 767.39 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 103.23 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID) 229.59 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER THEREOF (ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN SAID FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID); THENCE THE FOLLOWING 10 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG THE WESTERLY PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1; 1) NORTHWESTERLY, 326.45 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 154.85 FEET, 3)NORTHEASTERLY 3.07 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 122.51 FEET, 5) SOUTHWESTERLY 3.07 FEET, 6) NORTHWESTERLY 32.04 FEET, 7) NORTHWESTERLY 48.33 FEET, 8) NORTHEASTERLY 42.43 FEET, 9) NORTHEASTERLY 34.93 FEET, 10) NORTHEASTERLY 21.85 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING ON THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET); THENCE NORTHWESTERLY, PERPENDICULAR TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 AND THE SAID SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET 100.00 FEET TO THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID 22ND STREET; THEN NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE 817.98 FEET TO A POINT 100.00 FEET NORTH OF (AS MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO) THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE SOUTHERLY, ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR THE AFORESAID NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1, BEING THE AFORESAID POINT OF BEGINNING, ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS;

ALONG WITH:

PARCEL 1:

LOT 3 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2016 AS DOCUMENT R2016-093310, ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

ALSO

PARCEL 2:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER, BEING AN ASSESSMENT PLAT OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO SAID PLAT OF CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AND RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 604.49 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A NORTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 400.65 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 AS DOCUMENT NO. R2017-090478, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 449.16 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 3 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1, 1) SOUTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 358.05 FEET; 2) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 198.70 FEET; 3) THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 390.12 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION RECORDED OCTOBER 13, 2006 AS DOCUMENT NO. R2006-198283 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS BEING 460.45 FEET SOUTHEASTERLY

OF THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 17 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION, 1) SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (PER DOC. R2006-198283) 102.15 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHEASTERLY CORNER THEREOF, 2) SOUTHEASTERLY 231.10 FEET TO THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER THEREOF, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 473.24 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY, 4) SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE ALSO BEING A SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1 AFORESAID 9.00 FEET, SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF LOT 2 IN SAID HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION, 5) NORTHWESTERLY 149.27 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOTS 1 AND 2 AFORESAID, 6) SOUTHWESTERLY 367.69 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 2, 7) SOUTHEASTERLY 149.27 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 2 TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY, 8) SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD 258.31 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, BEING A COMMON PROPERTY CORNER WITH LOT 8 IN YORKTOWN, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R1968-044972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, 9) NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOTS 1 AND LOT 8 (YORKTOWN) 211.36 FEET, 10) NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, 74.59 FEET, 11) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, 69.04 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, (SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER OF LOT 2 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION PLAT RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT R2003-433529, 12) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 150.00 FEET, A CHORD DISTANCE OF 189.018 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 197.835 FEET (ALSO BEING A PROPERTY LINE COMMON WITH SAID LOT 2 TBA RESUBDIVISION), 13) NORTH ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON WITH THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 (TBA RESUBDIVISION) 145.143 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 14) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHWESTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.56 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, 15) ALONG A CURVE WHOSE CHORD BEARS WEST 91.50 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 92.00 FEET, SAID CURVE BEING A COMMON PROPERTY LINE WITH THE MOST NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 2 (TBA RESUBDIVISION) TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, 16) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 105.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHWESTERLY TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE EAST LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY AS TAKEN PER DOCUMENT RECORDED JANUARY 16, 2004 AS NO. R2004-015543, 17) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY 31.66 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY BEING ON A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOT 1 AND THE MOST SOUTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASSESSMENT PLAT AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 AND THE NORTH LINE OF THE AFORESAID HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY TAKING 20.02 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 7 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1, 1) NORTHWESTERLY 91.21 FEET, 2) SOUTHEASTERLY 47.32 FEET, 3) SOUTHEASTERLY 7.32 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 4) ALONG A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 105.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHEASTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 56.51 FEET, 5) NORTHEASTERLY 92.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 6) ALONG A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHEASTERLY FOR AN ARC

DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 7) NORTHWESTERLY 282.62 FEET TO A CORNER OF PARCEL 1, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF YORKTOWN-HIGHLAND RESUBDIVISION (DOC. R2006-170876 AFOREMENTIONED), THE PREVIOUS 7 COURSES ALL BEING COMMON PROPERTY LINES WITH LOT 1 IN SAID FINAL PLAT OF YORKTOWN-HIGHLAND RESUBDIVISION (DOC, R2006-170876), AND SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AFOREMENTIONED; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 9 COURSES, ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1 (DOC. R2000-120890), 1) NORTHWESTERLY 352.27 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 2) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHWESTERLY 35.36 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 4) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 77.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHWESTERLY 75.83 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 79.29 FEET (PREVIOUS 4 COURSES ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES COMMON TO LOT 3 IN SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER), 5) NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE MOST WESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY) 119.32 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 6) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 90.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHEASTERLY 73.51 FEET AN ARC DISTANCE OF 75.72 FEET 7) NORTHEASTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 8) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHEASTERLY 35.36 FEET AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 9) NORTHWESTERLY 448.23 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING (PREVIOUS 4 COURSES ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES COMMON TO LOT 2 IN SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER), ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 3:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE MOST WESTERLY LOT LINE OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER, BEING AN ASSESSMENT PLAT OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO SAID PLAT OF CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890, SAID POINT BEING THE MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF SAID WESTERLY LOT LINE AND RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1 119.32 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 2.31 FEET TO A POINT BEING A COMMON CORNER WITH LOT 2 OF SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER; THENCE CONTINUING NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 2 12.45 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE AS SHOWN PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AFORESAID; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 ACROSS THE FULL RIGHT OF WAY OF HIGHLAND AVENUE TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO THE AFORESAID MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT, SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE BEING DRAWN FROM THE AFORESAID MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE TO THE AFORESAID MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER

OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1, BEING ALSO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN DUPAGE COUNTY ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 4:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT A POINT BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF A PARCEL OF LAND DEDICATED FOR HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY PER DOCUMENT NO. R2004-015543, SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST NORTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R 2006-198283 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID DEDICATION 62.79 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY 28.28 FEET ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID TAKING TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER THEREOF, SAID POINT ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2003-433529; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 AFORESAID ACROSS THE FULL RIGHT OF WAY OF HIGHLAND AVENUE TO A POINT ON THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND AVENUE; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG SAID WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO A WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASS3SSMENT PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2017-090478, SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE BEING DRAWN FROM THE MOST NORTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE 91.21 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF A PARCEL OF LAND DEDICATED FOR HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY PER DOCUMENT R2004-015543 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID DEDICATION (ALSO BEING ALONG THE MOST SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1) 20.02 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 5:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF LOT 1 IN HIGHLAND YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R2006-198283 AND RUNNING SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF A 211.36 FOOT LONG PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID AND LOT 8 IN YORKTOWN PER DOCUMENT R1968-044972 TO THE CENTERLINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF A PROPERTY LINE BEING 149.27 FEET LONG AND COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID WITH THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 2 IN HIGHLAND YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION TO THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 2 (ALSO BEING A CORNER COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID), IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PINs: 06-29-101-037, 06-29-101-038, 06-29-101-043, 06-29-101-044, 06-29-101-046, 06-29-101-047, 06-29-101-048, 06-29-101-049, 06-29-200-051, 06-29-200-052, 06-29-200-053, 06-29-200-056, 06-29-200-057, 06-29-200-059 and 06-29-301-014.


Common Boundary Description: The area generally bounded by: 22nd Street on the North; the West line of the Fountain Square development on the East; Butterfield Road on the South; and Highland Avenue on the West; exclusive of: the 701 East 22nd Street office building; Target, 1, 3, 55, 80, 84, 85, 87, 90, 92, 94, 96 and 145 Yorktown Shopping Center; the multi-family residential and vacant properties located North of the East/West portion of Yorktown Mall Drive; the Boeger Cemetery property located along the North side of Butterfield Road, South of 145 Yorktown Shopping Center; and the 700 and 720 East Butterfield Road office buildings; in Lombard, Illinois.


APPENDIX 2
BOUNDARY MAP OF
EXPANDED BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA

Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District

 Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District

 Original Redevelopment Project Area



 Expansion Area



APPENDIX 3

**CURRENT LAND USE MAP OF
EXPANDED BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROEJCT AREA**


Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District Current Land Use Map

 Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF
 Expansion Area

CURRENT LAND USES

 Commercial  Institutional



N
JUNE
2020



APPENDIX 4

**FUTURE LAND USE MAP OF
EXPANDED BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA**


Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District Future Land Use Map

 Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF
 Expansion Area

FUTURE LAND USES

 Commercial  Mixed Use

N
JUNE
2020



APPENDIX EXHIBIT 5

**FIRST SUPPLEMENT TO THE VILLAGE OF LOMBARD
BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TIF QUALIFICATION REPORT**



*VILLAGE OF LOMBARD, ILLINOIS
FIRST SUPPLEMENT TO
ORIGINAL TIF QUALIFICATION REPORT
BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TIF DISTRICT*

A study to determine whether certain properties within the Village of Lombard qualify as a conservation area as defined in the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act of Chapter 65, Sections 5/11-74.4-1, et. seq., as amended of the Illinois Compiled Statutes (the “TIF Act”).

Prepared by the Village of Lombard, Illinois

in conjunction with

Kane, McKenna and Associates, Inc.

June, 2020

**VILLAGE OF LOMBARD
FIRST SUPPLEMENT TO ORIGINAL BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TIF
REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA
TIF QUALIFICATION REPORT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	Executive Summary	i
I.	Background	1
II.	Qualification Criteria	4
III.	Evaluation Methodology	7
IV.	Qualification Findings for Expansion Area Inclusion in the Expanded RPA	8
V.	Summary of Findings; Overall Assessment of Qualification	15
Appendix I	TIF Boundary Map, As Amended	
Appendix II	Legal Description, As Amended	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Kane, McKenna and Associates, Inc. (KMA) has been retained by the Village of Lombard, Illinois (the “Village”) to conduct an analysis of the potential qualification of certain property located in the Village, referred to herein as the proposed “Expansion Area”, in order for it to be added to the Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District (“Original TIF District”), collectively, the proposed “Expanded TIF District” or “Expanded Redevelopment Project Area” or “Expanded RPA”.

The Village is pursuing the Expanded RPA designation as part of its strategy to promote the revitalization of the Original Redevelopment Project Area (“Original RPA”) along with the Expansion Area, and thereby enhance the Village’s efforts in achieving its policy goal of promoting economic redevelopment. By undertaking the Expanded RPA designation, the Village will help strengthen the Original RPA and the Expansion Area as significant contributors to the Village’s overall economic base.

Based upon the analyses completed to date, KMA has reached the following conclusions regarding the qualification of the Expansion Area. The conclusions in the Executive Summary herein are subject in all respects to the full Qualification Report detailing conditions observed within the proposed Expansion Area.

1) *Conservation Area* – The Expansion Area qualifies as a Conservation Area under the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et. seq., as amended; hereinafter referred to as the “TIF Act” or “Act”). The Expansion Area needs a minimum of three (3) factors to qualify as a Conservation Area. The Expansion Area has a total of six (6) qualifying factors including lagging or declining EAV, excessive vacancies, obsolescence, deterioration, inadequate utilities, and lack of community planning. Overall, the Expansion Area either has declined, or is in danger of declining, toward a blighted condition. This condition prevents, or threatens to prevent, the economic and physical development of properties in a manner that the community deems essential to its overall economic health. Because three (3) out of six (6) structures in the Expansion Area, or 50%, are over 35 years old or older (built in or before 1982), the Expansion Area meets the statutory criteria as a “Conservation Area” TIF.

2) *Current conditions impede redevelopment* – The conditions found within the proposed Expansion Area present a barrier to the area’s successful redevelopment, and in particular, the successful redevelopment of the Expanded TIF District. Without the use of Village planning and economic development resources to mitigate such adverse conditions, potential redevelopment activities are not likely to be economically feasible.

3) *Viable redevelopment sites could produce incremental revenue* – Within the proposed Expansion Area, there are parcels which potentially could be redeveloped or rehabilitated and thereby produce incremental property tax revenue. Such revenue, used in combination with other Village resources for redevelopment incentives or public improvements, would likely stimulate private investment and reinvestment in these sites and ultimately throughout the Expanded TIF District.

4) *Pursuit of Amended TIF designation is recommended* – To mitigate the existing conditions (thereby promoting the improved physical condition of the proposed Expansion Area, and to leverage the Village’s investment and redevelopment efforts, KMA recommends that the Village pursue the formal TIF designation process for the Expansion Area.

Because the Village will not consider the redevelopment of residential parcels that would dislocate ten (10) or more residential units within the Expanded TIF District, the Village will not conduct a housing impact study pursuant to the TIF Act.

I. BACKGROUND

In November, 2017, the Village of Lombard (the “Village”) established the Butterfield-Yorktown Tax Increment Financing (TIF) District (the “Original TIF District”). By motion at the January 9, 2020 Village Board meeting the Village Board of Trustees concurred with the recommendation of the Village’s Economic and Community Development Committee (ECDC) and approved a contract with Kane, McKenna & Associates, Inc. to study the Expansion Area for addition to the Original RPA. The results of this effort would serve as the supportive documentation for a geographical amendment to the Original TIF District, to be referred herein as the proposed “Expansion Area”, provided that the qualifying factors as set forth within State Statutes were met.

The information contained herein was generated by or provided to Village staff and KMA and is intended to supplement the findings associated with the creation of the Original TIF District. The representations herein pertain directly to the identified parcels in the Expansion Area. The proposed Expanded TIF District is depicted in Appendix 1.

Current Land Use

The Original TIF District is generally bounded by 22nd Street to the north, Meyers Road to the east, Butterfield Road to the south, and Highland Avenue to the west. The original TIF District consists of an office building (747 E. 22nd Street), The Northern Baptist Campus/Facility - buildings, Westin Hotel/Conference Center, the JC Penney store, a strip center (4-44 Yorktown Shopping Center) and adjacent lots/parking areas. The proposed Expansion Area includes an additional four tax parcels and can be described as follows:

- **06-29-101-046** - Former Carson’s Furniture Parcel (2-3 Yorktown Shopping Center) - This 3.58-acre tract, is currently improved with a vacant furniture store and associated parking lot.
- **06-29-101-048** - Yorktown Center Mall Parcel (west portion) - (various tenant addresses) This 9.71-acre tract, is currently improved as the two-level center retail spine of the mall and consists of the center courtyards, food court and companion retail non-anchor shops.
- **06-29-101-038** - Former Carson’s Anchor Store Parcel (230 Yorktown Shopping Center) This 13.14-acre tract is currently improved as a vacant three-level retail department store along with associated parking surfaces.

- **06-29-301-014** - Shops on Butterfield Parcel (various tenant addresses) – Three buildings with various tenant addresses and part of main mall structure. This 14.27-acre tract is currently improved as a part of the two-level retail spine of the mall, the three one-story Shops on Butterfield restaurant and retail spaces, and associated perimeter parking.

In addition to these defined parcels, the proposed Expansion Area also includes the portion of the north ½ of the Butterfield Road right-of-way that is contiguous to tax parcel 06-29-301-014 and portions of the Highland Avenue public right-of-way that immediately abut the proposed Expansion Area properties and also includes the entirety of the signalized roadway intersections (refer to Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District Map in Appendix A) .

The proposed Expansion Area, as a whole, suffers from a variety of economic development impediments, as defined in the TIF Act. Similar to the Original TIF District, redevelopment opportunities in the proposed Expansion Area are constrained by existing conditions such as lagging EAV, excessive vacancies, obsolescence, deterioration, inadequate utilities, and lack of community planning. This proposed Expansion Area provides the Village with the potential of bringing new development to an area that has been underutilized or has become underutilized since the establishment of the Original TIF District in 2017.

General Redevelopment Objectives

The redevelopment of the proposed Expansion Area would further the Village’s overarching land use objectives, which are contained in its Comprehensive Plan, Lombard Zoning Ordinance, and other land use planning elements. In the Comprehensive Plan, the Village has articulated a number of public policy objectives which were supported by the Village’s adoption of the Original RPA as a TIF District (see exhibit below), and would also apply to the proposed Expansion Area, as well. The Comprehensive Plan defines several broad policy goals and related strategies, as indicated in Exhibit 1.

The Original TIF District provided a framework for future investment as part of the Village’s redevelopment efforts. Economic conditions within the proposed Expansion Area, however, which were originally not envisioned to be critical enough for the inclusion of the proposed Expansion Area parcels at the time of the Original TIF District establishment in 2017, have deteriorated. Given the gap between the Village’s goals for the area versus the conditions described herein and observed within the proposed Expansion Area, the Village has determined that the redevelopment of the Expanded RPA would be highly beneficial to the community. Absent their inclusion, the adverse conditions identified in this report would likely worsen and even negatively impact the Original TIF District.

Exhibit 1

Redevelopment Policy Goals – Village of Lombard Comprehensive Plan (2014) & 2011 Village Wide Economic Development Strategy and Action Plan (Excerpts)

<i>Goal</i>	<i>Objective</i>
Lombard will create and maintain viable commercial districts throughout the Village	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Promote general economic development and business growth▪ Increase municipal revenue sources and identify incentives for specific development opportunities▪ Encourage a compatible and market supportable system of commercial development that is organized to provide various goods and services within the community and the greater region which Lombard serves.▪ Encourage redevelopment along key commercial corridors
Lombard will continue to develop a diverse, prosperous, and strong economic base	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Maintain a Business-Friendly Culture - Retain, expand and attract commercial and industrial businesses.▪ Economic Stimulation - Promote wide-ranging economic development and business growth.▪ Explore all available incentives for large commercial businesses that have a significant impact on the Lombard economy, with an emphasis on BIDs and TIF agreements that preserve sales tax revenue.▪ Identify key development parcels that have the opportunity to contribute most to the Lombard economy.

General Scope and Methodology

KMA performed its analysis by conducting a series of meetings and discussions with Village staff, starting in December 2019 and continuing periodically up to the date of this report. The objective of the meetings was to gather data related to the qualification criteria for properties included in the proposed Expansion Area. These meetings were complemented by a series of field surveys of the proposed Expansion Area to evaluate the condition of the proposed Expansion Area, reviewing individual parcels as well as the proposed Expanded RPA as a whole. The field surveys and data collected have been utilized to test the likelihood that the proposed Expansion Area would qualify for TIF designation. For additional information about KMA’s data collection and evaluation methods, refer to Section III of this report.

II. QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

The TIF Act sets out specific procedures which must be adhered to in designating a redevelopment project area (RPA). By definition, a “redevelopment project area” is:

“An area designated by the municipality, which is not less in the aggregate than 1½ acres and in respect to which the municipality has made a finding that there exist conditions which cause the area to be classified as a blighted area or a conservation area, or a combination of both blighted areas and conservation areas.”

Under the TIF Act, a “blighted area” or “conservation area” means any improved or vacant area within the boundaries of a development project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality where certain conditions are met, as indicated below.

TIF Qualification Factors for a Conservation Area. In accordance with the TIF Act, KMA performed a two-step assessment to determine if the proposed Expansion Area qualified as a conservation area. First, KMA analyzed the threshold factor of age to determine if 50% or more of the structures were 35 years of age or older.

Secondly, if a proposed conservation area meets the age threshold, then the following factors are to be examined to determine TIF qualification:

In a *conservation area*, industrial, commercial and residential buildings or improvements are detrimental to the public safety, health or welfare because of a combination of three (3) or more of the following factors, each of which is (i) present, with that presence documented to a meaningful extent so that a municipality may reasonably find that the factor is clearly present within the intent of the TIF Act and (ii) reasonably distributed throughout the improved part of the redevelopment project area:

(A) Dilapidation. An advanced state of disrepair or neglect of necessary repairs to the primary structural components of building or improvements in such a combination that a documented building condition analysis determines that major repair is required or the defects are so serious and so extensive that the buildings must be removed.

(B) Obsolescence. The condition or process of falling into disuse. Structures become ill-suited for the original use.

(C) Deterioration. With respect to buildings, defects include but are not limited to, major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters, downspouts, and fascia. With respect to surface improvements, that the condition of roadways, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, off-street parking and surface storage areas evidence deterioration, including, but limited to, surface cracking, crumbling, potholes, depressions, loose paving material and weeds protruding through paved surfaces.

(D) Presence of Structures Below Minimum Code Standards. All structures that do not meet the standards of zoning, subdivision, building, fire and other governmental codes applicable to property, but not including housing and property maintenance codes.

(E) Illegal Use of Individual Structures. The use of structures in violation of applicable federal, State, or local laws, exclusive of those applicable to the presence of structures below minimum code standards.

(F) Excessive Vacancies. The presence of buildings that are unoccupied or under-utilized and that represent an adverse influence on the area because of the frequency, extent or duration of the vacancies.

(G) Lack of Ventilation, Light, or Sanitary Facilities. The absence of adequate ventilation for light or air circulation in spaces or rooms without windows, or that require the removal of dust, odor, gas, smoke or other noxious airborne materials. Inadequate natural light and ventilation means the absence of skylights or windows for interior spaces or rooms and improper window sizes and amounts by room area to window area ratios. Inadequate sanitary facilities refers to the absence or inadequacy of garbage storage and enclosure, bathroom facilities, hot water and kitchens and structural inadequacies preventing ingress and egress to and from all rooms and units within a building.

(H) Inadequate Utilities. Underground and overhead utilities such as storm sewers and storm drainage, sanitary sewers, water lines and gas, telephone and electrical services that are shown to be inadequate. Inadequate utilities are those that are: (i) of insufficient capacity to serve the uses in the redevelopment project area; (ii) deteriorated, antiquated, and obsolete or in disrepair; or (iii) lacking within the redevelopment project area.

(I) Excessive Land Coverage and Overcrowding of Structures and Community Facilities. The over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site. Examples of problem conditions warranting the designation of an area as exhibiting excessive land coverage are: (i) the presence of buildings either improperly situated on parcels or located on parcels of inadequate size and shape in relation

to present-day standards of development for health and safety and (ii) the presence of multiple buildings on a single parcel. For there to be a finding of excessive land coverage, these parcels must exhibit one or more of the following conditions: insufficient provision for light and air within or around buildings, increased threat of spread of fire due to the close proximity of buildings, lack of adequate or proper access to a public right-of-way, lack of reasonably required off-street parking or inadequate provision for loading service.

(J) Deleterious Land-Use or Layout. The existence of incompatible land-use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed-uses, or uses considered to be noxious, offensive or unsuitable for the surrounding area.

(K) Environmental Clean-Up. The proposed redevelopment project area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for (or a study conducted by an independent consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for) the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances or underground storage tanks required by State or federal law. Any such remediation costs would constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment of the redevelopment project area.

(L) Lack of Community Planning. The proposed redevelopment project area was developed prior to or without the benefit or guidance of a community plan. This means that the development occurred prior to the adoption by the municipality of a comprehensive or other community plan or that the plan was not followed at the time of the area's development. This factor must be documented by evidence of adverse or incompatible land-use relationships, inadequate street layout, improper subdivision, parcels of inadequate shape and size to meet contemporary development standards or other evidence demonstrating an absence of effective community planning.

(M) "Lagging or Declining" EAV. The total equalized assessed value (EAV) of the proposed redevelopment project area has declined for three (3) of the last five (5) calendar years, or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for three (3) of the last five (5) calendar years, or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor or successor agency for three (3) of the last five (5) calendar years. The finding is based on the last 5 years for which information is available.

III. EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

In evaluating the proposed Expansion Area's potential qualification for inclusion with the Original RPA as part of the Expanded TIF District, the following methodology was utilized:

- 1) Site surveys of the proposed Expansion Area were undertaken by representatives from Kane, McKenna and Associates, Inc., supplemented with photographic analysis of the sites. Site surveys were completed for each parcel of land within the Expansion Area.
- 2) KMA conducted evaluations of exterior structures and associated site improvements, noting such conditions as obsolescence and deterioration. Additionally, KMA reviewed the following data: 2014-2019 tax information from DuPage County, tax parcel maps, aerial photos, site data, local history (including discussions with Village staff), and an evaluation of area-wide factors that have affected the area's development (e.g., lack of community planning, etc.).
- 3) Existing structures and site conditions were initially surveyed only in the context of checking, to the best and most reasonable extent available, TIF Act factors applicable to specific structures and site conditions of the parcels.
- 4) The proposed Expansion Area was evaluated to determine the applicability of the various factors, as defined under the TIF Act, which would qualify the proposed Expansion Area as a conservation area. Examination was made by reviewing the information and determining how each measured when evaluated against the relevant factors.

IV. QUALIFICATION FINDINGS FOR EXPANSION AREA INCLUSION IN THE EXPANDED RPA

Based upon the previously described evaluation of the proposed Expansion Area parcels and analysis of each of the eligibility factors, the following factors are present to support qualification of the Expansion Area as a Conservation Area. These factors are found to be clearly present and reasonably distributed throughout the proposed Expansion Area, as required under the TIF Act. In addition to age at least three (3) other qualifying factors must be present to a meaningful extent throughout the Expansion Area. The qualifying factors are summarized in the table below.

Exhibit 2

Preliminary Findings –Proposed Expansion Area

<i>Proposed Expansion Area Designation</i>	<i>Maximum Possible Factors per Statute</i>	<i>Minimum Factors Needed to Qualify per Statute</i>	<i>Qualifying Factors Present in Proposed Expansion Area</i>
Conservation Area (proposed Expansion Area Parcels)	13	3*	6 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lagging or Declining EAV • Excessive Vacancies • Obsolescence • Deterioration • Inadequate Utilities • Lack of Community Planning

**For a conservation area TIF, 50 percent or more of structures must be equal to or greater than 35 years in age and have 3 factors present.*

Findings for Conservation Area. In the Original TIF District, an initial determination was made that three (3) of four (4) structures (75 percent) were 35 years in age or greater). Within the proposed Expansion Area, the following conditions are present:

Tract	Total Number of Buildings	Number of Structures Greater than 35 Years of Age
06-29-101-046	1	1
06-29-101-048	1	1
06-29-101-038	1	1
06-29-301-014	3	0

Of the 13 statutory factors, six (6) were found to be present within the proposed Expansion Area, and thus, would qualify the area as a conservation area.

- 1) Lagging or Declining EAV. The EAV of the proposed Expansion Area has declined in three (3) of the past five (5) years. In addition, it has grown at a rate slower than the Consumer Price Index and less than the balance of Village EAV for four (4) of the last five (5) years (refer to chart below).

Exhibit 3
EAV Trends for Proposed Expansion Area

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Total:	\$16,321,230	\$23,890,020	\$29,380,510	\$36,466,340	\$36,351,940	\$32,241,760
Annual % Change:	-31.68%	-18.69%	-19.43%	.31%	12.75%	
Village Total EAV:	\$1,664,970,939	\$1,540,330,543	\$1,473,640,391	\$1,389,616,358	\$1,314,206,889	\$1,268,127,652
Balance of Village EAV:	\$1,648,649,709	\$1,516,440,523	\$1,444,259,881	\$1,353,150,018	\$1,277,854,949	\$1,235,885,892
Annual % of Change:	8.72%	5.00%	6.73%	5.89%	3.40%	
CPI - All Urban Consumers:	1.8%	2.4%	2.10%	1.3%	.1%	1.6%

Source: DuPage County Assessor's and York Township Assessor 's Offices and the U. S, Department of Labor

- 2) Excessive Vacancies. The presence of buildings that are unoccupied or under-utilized and that represent an adverse influence on the area because of the frequency, extent or duration of the vacancies.

The former 224,724-square foot, single-story Carson's Furniture Store and the former 53,924-square foot three-story Carson's anchor store, which opened in 1968, both closed in Summer, 2018, pursuant to the closing of the entire Carson's department store chain, after more than 160 years of operation. Both stores have remained vacant since that time. Additionally, mall management has reported that existing tenants within the mall itself are increasingly renewing their leases with short lease terms or have renegotiated leases at lower rental rates. Mall management has also advised that as of January, 2020, 99,883 square feet of the mall's total gross leasable area of 597,111, or 16.3%, is either unoccupied or leased by temporary tenants under license agreements. Mall management also reports that mall traffic has been declining since the Carson's closings. These trends increase the potential for greater numbers of vacancies in the future and are indicative of the shopping center's economic instability.

- 3) Obsolescence. The condition or process of falling into disuse. Structures have become ill suited for the original use.

The Carson's anchor structure was initially constructed in 1968. This building consists of approximately 224,724-square feet over three (3) levels (the adjoining enclosed mall consists of two (2) levels). Amid declining sales, department store chains have been closing locations throughout the country, a negative trend for mall owners, which have historically relied on these large anchor store to attract traffic and retail users to their properties. Closures over the years within the northern Illinois market have included Montgomery Wards, Sears, and most recently, Macy's, Lord & Taylor's and JC Penney. These closures follow parallel national trends impacting both anchor stores and small to mid-size retailers. Macy's, for example, has closed more than 100 stores nationally since 2005, including at least four in Illinois.

The Village conducted an initial review of existing building conditions within the proposed Expansion Area and noted that many Building and Life/Safety Codes have changed since these buildings were constructed. Several of these codes have mandatory requirements that are enforced when maintenance or remodeling work is done. Roof insulation is one example of a costly code requirement whose execution would become necessary in the event of a roof replacement, due to contemporary energy codes. Another example of a costly code requirement pertains to accessibility. Illinois law recently requires all bathrooms to be made fully accessible if any modifications are made to "areas served by these public bathrooms". This State law requires that the owner spend up to 20% of their total budget, if necessary, in order to make this code upgrade happen. These are just two examples, among others, of such code upgrades required in Illinois. New code requirements like these are added each year, including those which most recently became effective in January 2020. These newer code regulations serve to contribute to the obsolescence of the property.

Mall management has reported that, to date, it has been unsuccessful in its attempts to identify a viable comparable replacement user to occupy the Carson's anchor space as it is currently configured. This is due, in part, to the fact that the building is designed exclusively for use as a department store. Shifting retail market conditions have practically eradicated demand for this type of single use. In addition, the building does not readily lend itself to occupancy by any other type of user, or users, without major modifications. Existing column spacing, loading dock configuration, outmoded ceiling heights, and other infrastructure characteristics within the structure impose challenging constraints on its adaptive re-use for alternate purposes, especially for use by multi-users.

The building's age also hampers cost-efficient re-use of the building since upgrades to original operating systems would be difficult and expensive, and thus, not cost effective given the potential returns. For example, the boiler, air conditioning chiller, escalators, and elevator car and its main parts including its main electrical gear, are all original to 1968 when the building was constructed. The internal plumbing and sprinkler piping is original from 1968 and has been subject to periodic repairs and would require replacement for building re-use. In addition, the roof is twenty years old and at the end of its useful life.

There are additional challenges with the reuse of the Carson's anchor store building. First, its physical integration with the "core" of the enclosed mall limits flexibility for its adaptive re-use. Second, parking and vehicular circulation need to be improved, and retaining the anchor store in its existing condition constrains the best alternatives for multiple uses and repositioning of the north portion of the mall, evaluating and relocating infrastructure, and the ability to redevelop multiple uses on the site in order to attract market investment. The factors described above demonstrate the economic and functional obsolescence of the Carson's anchor store.

The Carson's furniture store also faces redevelopment challenges associated with its obsolescence. Like the Carson's anchor store, it too was built in 1968, and also suffers from the same aged building systems and lack of compliance with modern building code requirements. Additionally, its single-use configuration limits flexibility for its adaptive re-use.

- 4) Deterioration With respect to buildings, defects including, but not limited to major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters and downspouts and fascia. With respect to surface improvements, that the condition of roadways, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, off-street parking and surface storage areas evidence deterioration, including, but limited to, surface cracking, crumbling, potholes, depressions, loose paving material and weeds protruding through paved surfaces. Such conditions represent a blighting influence on the RPA.

Various degrees of deterioration were observed throughout the proposed Expansion Area. Much of the deterioration centered around the condition of exterior surface improvements such as the parking fields, pedestrian walkways, driveways, curbs, and lighting. Inspection of these surface improvements showed ubiquitous cracking, spawling, settlement, crumbling, and heaving of asphalt paving, and potholes, as well as cracking and crumbling of concrete and gutters, fading and peeling of pavement paint including parking spaces striping, intersection stopping lines, handicap parking space striping, and handicap-accessible curb cut paint. Vehicular and pedestrian brick paver surfaces showed settlement

at many locations. Concrete pedestrian walkways exhibited cracks, including at fire hydrants, some of which had been temporarily patched, rust along metal rail systems, and cracked and crumbling concrete curbs. Planters and monuments showed brick and concrete caps in need of tuckpointing. Settlement of metal grates around trees located in concrete walkways was also observed. Light standards also exhibited deterioration of their foundations.

Building exteriors showed discoloration on concrete, stone and masonry facades, along with faded and damaged awnings. Caulk at the bottoms of exterior masonry facades was extensively cracked and shrunk. At the vacant Carson's furniture store, metal fascia was seen to be faded, exterior concrete façade panels were damaged, caulk was deteriorated, and masonry block column piers were found to be cracked. At loading dock and other service areas, rails systems were seen to be rusted, and concrete beneath them was stained with rust. In addition, overhead doors at loading docks showed rust and service doors were observed to have peeling paint, dents, and rusted door frames. Some bollard posts were also rusted.

- 5) Inadequate Utilities. Inadequate utilities include underground and overhead utilities such as storm sewers and storm drainage, sanitary sewers, water lines and gas, telephone and electrical services that are shown to be inadequate. Inadequate utilities are those that are: (i) of insufficient capacity to serve the uses in the redevelopment project area; (ii) deteriorated, antiquated, obsolete or in disrepair; or (iii) lacking within the RPA.

Overall, the proposed Expansion Area is served by a number of privately- and publicly-owned underground utilities, including water mains, lift stations, and sanitary and storm sewers. Redevelopment within the proposed Expansion Area will require public/private coordination for requisite repairs, upgrades, replacements, and/or reconfigurations of infrastructure to accommodate redevelopment.

Village-owned mains have experienced breaks due to age and condition. While certain significant public infrastructure investments were undertaken between 2005 and 2007 for repair and/or replacement, other privately-owned utility infrastructure is inadequate to support redevelopment within the proposed Expansion Area. Village staff notes that certain private water mains are undersized in relation to current Village codes. In addition, all of the water or storm sewer service lines that extend from the Village-owned mall ring road to feed the main mall building, the former Carson's anchor store, and the vacant Carson's furniture store to are original, from 1968 (over fifty years old), and have never been replaced. They are made from more brittle, fragile materials that are no longer in use today, so their advanced age makes them extremely vulnerable to consequential and costly

breaks and repairs. The need for coordination between a separate sanitary district and a wastewater authority could also impose an additional cost on redevelopment, as well as any requirements for multi-jurisdictional review.

It is likely that significant repair, upgrades, reconstruction, or reconfiguration will be required in the future to support redevelopment within the Expansion Area and the Expanded TIF District.

- 6) Lack of Community Planning. The Expansion Area was developed prior to or without the benefit or guidance of a community plan. This means that the development occurred prior to the adoption by the municipality of a comprehensive or other community plan or that the plan was not followed at the time of most of the area's development. This factor must be documented by evidence of adverse or incompatible land-use relationships, inadequate street layout, improper subdivision, parcels of inadequate shape and size to meet contemporary development standards or other evidence demonstrating an absence of effective community planning.

The proposed Expansion Area encompasses several different uses, which, in the event of redevelopment, will require the implementation of new coordinated land use relationships, street layouts, and other contemporary development standards to correct certain deficiencies caused by original development patterns of the Expansion Area, which was developed prior to the adoption of the Village's first comprehensive plan in 1970. While the parcel containing the Shops on Butterfield was redeveloped in 2007 with a mix of retail buildings and uses, that redevelopment did not fully address some of the underlying community planning limitations that existed at the time, such as accommodation of the Village's "Complete Streets" policies, and non-motorized transportation access to the mall properties from adjacent properties, among others. The Village Comprehensive Plan emphasizes that a "Complete Streets" approach should be incorporated in any redevelopment to accommodate the needs of pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users, motorists, and property owners. This mandate has been further emphasized in subsequent planning and transportation enhancement planning activities within the proposed Expanded Redevelopment Project Area over the past decade.

These planning deficiencies are attributable, to a large extent, to the fact that much of the Yorktown Mall area was developed in the 1960s, prior to the adoption of the Village's first comprehensive plan, by two separate property owners, each with their own, distinct land use and development objectives. Each property was eventually developed independently from one another, with separate private utility systems and almost no coordination of their respective internal circulation systems. Accordingly, the Yorktown Center Planned Development (1966), does not possess capital improvements that reflect contemporary

planning principles and market conditions. Over the years, as other development has occurred on surrounding properties, including the proposed Expansion Area, the disjointed development of these two large parcels has created a need for an improved and cohesive transportation network along Butterfield Road and 22nd Street. While the Yorktown Mall and the proposed Expansion Area are designated as “major activity centers” with an emphasis on traffic coordination in the Village’s Comprehensive Plan, additional capital improvements will need to be undertaken to remain competitive in today’s market environment.

The Village’s 2014 Comprehensive Plan indicates that the major roads surrounding the proposed Expanded TIF District have traffic operational problems and concerns, including Highland Avenue, Westmore-Meyers Road, and 22nd Street. These problems entail high traffic volumes, including a significant amount of through traffic and localized traffic congestion, particularly near these intersections. Additionally, the 2016 Lombard Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan identifies connectivity issues for bicyclists and pedestrians around the Yorktown Mall. Butterfield Road, the southern arterial road to the Yorktown Mall, has very limited access for pedestrians and cyclists. Not only does the road have a high number of cars traveling at fast speeds (45 mph), making the corridor a challenge for bicyclist or pedestrians to cross, but there are also few crossings and safety features along Butterfield Road and crossing distances are significant. Injury related pedestrian crashes have occurred along this part of Butterfield Road. In addition, Highland Avenue, the western boundary of Yorktown Mall, also suffers from a lack of crosswalks that prevent safe bicycle and pedestrian crossings to Yorktown Mall.

V. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS; GENERAL ASSESSMENT OF QUALIFICATION

The following is a summary of relevant qualification findings as it relates to the Village potentially designating the proposed Expansion Area and the resulting Expanded Redevelopment Project Area as a TIF district (the Expanded TIF District).

- The area is contiguous and is greater than 1½ acres in size;
- The proposed Expansion Area will qualify as a “conservation area”. Further, the factors as documented herein are present to a meaningful extent and are distributed throughout the proposed Expansion Area. (A more detailed analysis of the qualification findings is outlined in Section IV of this report.)
- All property in the area would substantially benefit by the proposed redevelopment project improvements;
- The sound growth of taxing districts applicable to the area, including the Village, has been impaired by the factors found present in the area; and
- The area would not be subject to redevelopment without the investment of public funds, including property tax increments.


In the judgment of KMA, these preliminary findings support the case for the Village to initiate a formal process to consider adding the proposed Expansion Area to the Original RPA to create the Expanded TIF District.

Appendix I
Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District Map


Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District

 Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District

 Original Redevelopment Project Area

 Expansion Area

N
MAY
2020



Appendix II
Legal Description of the
Expanded Butterfield-Yorktown TIF District

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION
FOR THE ORIGINAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA FOR THE
LOMBARD BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT**

Legal Description:

A PARCEL OF LAND IN THE NORTH HALF OF THE EAST HALF OF SECTION 29, AND THE EAST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 29, BOTH IN TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION, RECORDED APRIL 5, 2010 AS DOCUMENT R2010-043541 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1 686.60 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 1, 69.66 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER THEREOF, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 IN NORTHERN BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED AUGUST 28, 2007 AS DOCUMENT R2007-159301; CONTINUING THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301, 717.65 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERN BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1 THE FOLLOWING 7 COURSES; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 93.42 FEET, 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 173.27 FEET, 3) SOUTHEASTERLY 186.14 FEET, 4) SOUTHWESTERLY 107.62 FEET, 5) SOUTHWESTERLY 67.48 FEET, 6) NORTHWESTERLY 138.83 FEET, 7) SOUTHWESTERLY 300.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 104.26 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 591.66 FEET, AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 93.90 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 402.98 FEET, AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 116.05 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 683.51 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 95.44 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 198.74 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 64.17 FEET TO A POINT OF REVERSE CURVATURE, CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 121.91 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 74.06 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF STATE ROUTE 56, BUTTERFIELD ROAD; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE SOUTH LINE OF PARCEL 1 AFORESAID (ALSO BEING THE NORTH LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD-F.A. RTE. 131, ST. RT. 56) 100.00 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE APPROXIMATELY 624.91 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION APPROXIMATELY 109.56 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 560.00 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 6 IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION RECORDED NOVEMBER 17, 1995 AS DOCUMENT R95-162762; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 3 COURSES ALONG THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID LOT 6; 1) SOUTHWESTERLY 200.00 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 116.21 FEET, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 320.91 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE EAST LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2016 AS DOCUMENT R2016-093310; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EAST LINE APPROXIMATELY 902.0 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, ALSO BEING

A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF, BUTTERFIELD ROAD (F.A. RTE 131, ST. RTE 56); THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, APPROXIMATELY 106.75 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE, APPROXIMATELY 41.04 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 1 PER DOCUMENT R2016-093310; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION, APPROXIMATELY 106.75 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY AND A SOUTHERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES, BEING SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID LOT 1; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 78.22 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 757.44 FEET, 3) S 27°55'03" W, 130.25 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 87.88 FEET; THENCE N 27°55'03" E, 370.54' TO THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF PARCEL 2 IN HIGHLAND AVENUE ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED JANUARY 30, 2012 AS DOCUMENT R2012-012175; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY THE FOLLOWING 2 COURSES ALONG THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 2; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 849.86 FEET, 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 604.32 FEET (PER DOCUMENT R2012-012175, 604.69 FEET PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890) TO A SOUTHWEST CORNER THEREOF, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 2 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 2, 448.23 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES, BEING THE SOUTHERLY PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 2, 1) ALONG A CURVE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27', 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 90.00 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 75.72 FEET, 4) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 12.45 FEET; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890, 100 FEET TO THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND AVENUE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE MOST SOUTHERLY NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 2 PER DOCUMENT R2012-012175 AFORESAID; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION TO THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 2; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 558.70 FEET ALONG A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 2 TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 500.00 FEET AND ARC DISTANCE OF 152.01 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 (PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2016-093310 AFORESAID); THENCE THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES ALONG THE WEST PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 4; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 104.26 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 44.24 FEET, 3) WESTERLY 43.47 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 221.71 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 742.45 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 4 67.00 FEET TO THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF GRACE STREET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF LOT 4 AFORESAID ALONG THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID GRACE STREET RIGHT OF WAY TO A POINT ON THE NORTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4 IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R95-162762); THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION 102.61 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 11.80 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, ALSO BEING

THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 AFORESAID; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST (ALSO BEING A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1) HAVING A RADIUS OF 368.00 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 193.99 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY (CONTINUING ALONG THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1) 119.26 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 518.00 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 43.47 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF OUTLOT A IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID OUTLOT A 337.42 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE THEREOF 84.34 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF (ALSO BEING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF LOMBARD WESTIN HOTEL AND CONVENTION CENTER RECORDED APRIL 17, 2009 AS DOCUMENT R2009-057156; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 546.67 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 3.57 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE WEST PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN NORTHERN BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY ASSESSMENT PLAT AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE WEST PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1, 116.51 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 157.16 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 282.40 FEET ALONG THE MOST NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID); THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1,661.83 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 70.50 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 767.39 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 103.23 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID) 229.59 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER THEREOF (ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN SAID FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID); THENCE THE FOLLOWING 10 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG THE WESTERLY PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1; 1) NORTHWESTERLY, 326.45 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 154.85 FEET, 3)NORTHEASTERLY 3.07 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 122.51 FEET, 5) SOUTHWESTERLY 3.07 FEET, 6) NORTHWESTERLY 32.04 FEET, 7) NORTHWESTERLY 48.33 FEET, 8) NORTHEASTERLY 42.43 FEET, 9) NORTHEASTERLY 34.93 FEET, 10) NORTHEASTERLY 21.85 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING ON THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET); THENCE NORTHWESTERLY, PERPENDICULAR TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 AND THE SAID SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET 100.00 FEET TO THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID 22ND STREET; THEN NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE 817.98 FEET TO A POINT 100.00 FEET NORTH OF (AS MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO) THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE SOUTHERLY, ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR THE AFORESAID NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1, BEING THE AFORESAID POINT OF BEGINNING, ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PINs: 06-29-101-037, 06-29-101-043, 06-29-101-044, 06-29-101-047,

06-29-101-049, 06-29-200-051, 06-29-200-052, 06-29-200-053,
06-29-200-056, 06-29-200-057 and 06-29-200-059.

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION
FOR THE EXPANSION AREA FOR THE LOMBARD
BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT**

Legal Description:

PARCEL 1:

LOT 3 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2016 AS DOCUMENT R2016-093310, ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

ALSO

PARCEL 2:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER, BEING AN ASSESSMENT PLAT OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO SAID PLAT OF CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AND RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 604.49 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A NORTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 400.65 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 AS DOCUMENT NO. R2017-090478, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 449.16 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 3 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1, 1) SOUTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 358.05 FEET; 2) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 198.70 FEET; 3) THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 390.12 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION RECORDED OCTOBER 13, 2006 AS DOCUMENT NO. R2006-198283 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS BEING 460.45 FEET SOUTHEASTERLY OF THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 17 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION, 1) SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (PER DOC. R2006-198283) 102.15 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHEASTERLY CORNER THEREOF, 2) SOUTHEASTERLY 231.10 FEET TO THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER THEREOF, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 473.24 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY, 4) SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE ALSO BEING A SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1 AFORESAID 9.00 FEET, SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF LOT 2 IN SAID HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION, 5) NORTHWESTERLY 149.27 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOTS 1 AND 2 AFORESAID, 6) SOUTHWESTERLY 367.69

FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 2, 7) SOUTHEASTERLY 149.27 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 2 TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY, 8) SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD 258.31 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, BEING A COMMON PROPERTY CORNER WITH LOT 8 IN YORKTOWN, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R1968-044972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, 9) NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOTS 1 AND LOT 8 (YORKTOWN) 211.36 FEET, 10) NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, 74.59 FEET, 11) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, 69.04 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, (SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER OF LOT 2 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION PLAT RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT R2003-433529, 12) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 150.00 FEET, A CHORD DISTANCE OF 189.018 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 197.835 FEET (ALSO BEING A PROPERTY LINE COMMON WITH SAID LOT 2 TBA RESUBDIVISION), 13) NORTH ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON WITH THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 (TBA RESUBDIVISION) 145.143 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 14) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHWESTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.56 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, 15) ALONG A CURVE WHOSE CHORD BEARS WEST 91.50 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 92.00 FEET, SAID CURVE BEING A COMMON PROPERTY LINE WITH THE MOST NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 2 (TBA RESUBDIVISION) TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, 16) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 105.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHWESTERLY TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE EAST LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY AS TAKEN PER DOCUMENT RECORDED JANUARY 16, 2004 AS NO. R2004-015543, 17) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY 31.66 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY BEING ON A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOT 1 AND THE MOST SOUTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASSESSMENT PLAT AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 AND THE NORTH LINE OF THE AFORESAID HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY TAKING 20.02 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 7 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1, 1) NORTHWESTERLY 91.21 FEET, 2) SOUTHEASTERLY 47.32 FEET, 3) SOUTHEASTERLY 7.32 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 4) ALONG A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 105.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHEASTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 56.51 FEET, 5) NORTHEASTERLY 92.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 6) ALONG A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHEASTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 7) NORTHWESTERLY 282.62 FEET TO A CORNER OF PARCEL 1, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF YORKTOWN-HIGHLAND RESUBDIVISION (DOC. R2006-170876 AFOREMENTIONED), THE PREVIOUS 7 COURSES ALL BEING COMMON PROPERTY LINES WITH LOT 1 IN SAID FINAL PLAT OF YORKTOWN-HIGHLAND RESUBDIVISION (DOC, R2006-170876), AND SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AFOREMENTIONED; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 9 COURSES, ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1 (DOC. R2000-120890), 1) NORTHWESTERLY 352.27 FEET TO A POINT OF

CURVATURE, 2) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHWESTERLY 35.36 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 4) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 77.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHWESTERLY 75.83 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 79.29 FEET (PREVIOUS 4 COURSES ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES COMMON TO LOT 3 IN SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER), 5) NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE MOST WESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY) 119.32 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 6) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 90.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHEASTERLY 73.51 FEET AN ARC DISTANCE OF 75.72 FEET 7) NORTHEASTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 8) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHEASTERLY 35.36 FEET AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 9) NORTHWESTERLY 448.23 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING (PREVIOUS 4 COURSES ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES COMMON TO LOT 2 IN SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER), ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 3:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE MOST WESTERLY LOT LINE OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER, BEING AN ASSESSMENT PLAT OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO SAID PLAT OF CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890, SAID POINT BEING THE MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF SAID WESTERLY LOT LINE AND RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1 119.32 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 2.31 FEET TO A POINT BEING A COMMON CORNER WITH LOT 2 OF SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER; THENCE CONTINUING NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 2 12.45 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE AS SHOWN PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AFORESAID; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 ACROSS THE FULL RIGHT OF WAY OF HIGHLAND AVENUE TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO THE AFORESAID MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT, SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE BEING DRAWN FROM THE AFORESAID MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE TO THE AFORESAID MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1, BEING ALSO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN DUPAGE COUNTY ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 4:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT A POINT BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF A PARCEL OF LAND DEDICATED FOR HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY PER DOCUMENT NO. R2004-015543, SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST NORTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF

HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R 2006-198283 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID DEDICATION 62.79 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY 28.28 FEET ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID TAKING TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER THEREOF, SAID POINT ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2003-433529; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 AFORESAID ACROSS THE FULL RIGHT OF WAY OF HIGHLAND AVENUE TO A POINT ON THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND AVENUE; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG SAID WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO A WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASS3SSMENT PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2017-090478, SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE BEING DRAWN FROM THE MOST NORTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE 91.21 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF A PARCEL OF LAND DEDICATED FOR HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY PER DOCUMENT R2004-015543 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID DEDICATION (ALSO BEING ALONG THE MOST SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1) 20.02 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 5:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF LOT 1 IN HIGHLAND YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R2006-198283 AND RUNNING SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF A 211.36 FOOT LONG PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID AND LOT 8 IN YORKTOWN PER DOCUMENT R1968-044972 TO THE CENTERLINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF A PROPERTY LINE BEING 149.27 FEET LONG AND COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID WITH THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 2 IN HIGHLAND YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION TO THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 2 (ALSO BEING A CORNER COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID), IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PINs: 06-29-101-038, 06-29-101-046, 06-29-101-048 and 06-29-301-014.

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION
FOR THE EXPANDED REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA FOR THE
LOMBARD BUTTERFIELD-YORKTOWN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT**

Legal Description:

A PARCEL OF LAND IN THE NORTH HALF OF THE EAST HALF OF SECTION 29, AND THE EAST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 29, BOTH IN TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION, RECORDED APRIL 5, 2010 AS DOCUMENT R2010-043541 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1 686.60 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 1, 69.66 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER THEREOF, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 IN NORTHERN BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED AUGUST 28, 2007 AS DOCUMENT R2007-159301; CONTINUING THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301, 717.65 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERN BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1 THE FOLLOWING 7 COURSES; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 93.42 FEET, 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 173.27 FEET, 3) SOUTHEASTERLY 186.14 FEET, 4) SOUTHWESTERLY 107.62 FEET, 5) SOUTHWESTERLY 67.48 FEET, 6) NORTHWESTERLY 138.83 FEET, 7) SOUTHWESTERLY 300.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 104.26 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 591.66 FEET, AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 93.90 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 402.98 FEET, AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 116.05 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 683.51 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 95.44 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 198.74 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 64.17 FEET TO A POINT OF REVERSE CURVATURE, CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 121.91 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 74.06 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF STATE ROUTE 56, BUTTERFIELD ROAD; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE SOUTH LINE OF PARCEL 1 AFORESAID (ALSO BEING THE NORTH LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD-F.A. RTE. 131, ST. RT. 56) 100.00 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE APPROXIMATELY 624.91 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF PARCEL 1 PER DOCUMENT R2007-159301; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION APPROXIMATELY 109.56 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 560.00 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 6 IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION RECORDED NOVEMBER 17, 1995 AS DOCUMENT R95-162762; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 3 COURSES ALONG THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID LOT 6; 1) SOUTHWESTERLY 200.00 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 116.21 FEET, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 320.91 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6, ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE EAST LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2016 AS DOCUMENT R2016-093310; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EAST LINE APPROXIMATELY 902.0 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, ALSO BEING

A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF, BUTTERFIELD ROAD (F.A. RTE 131, ST. RTE 56); THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, APPROXIMATELY 106.75 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE, APPROXIMATELY 41.04 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 1 PER DOCUMENT R2016-093310; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION, APPROXIMATELY 106.75 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY AND A SOUTHERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES, BEING SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINES OF SAID LOT 1; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 78.22 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 757.44 FEET, 3) S 27°55'03" W, 130.25 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 87.88 FEET; THENCE N 27°55'03" E, 370.54' TO THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF PARCEL 2 IN HIGHLAND AVENUE ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED JANUARY 30, 2012 AS DOCUMENT R2012-012175; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY THE FOLLOWING 2 COURSES ALONG THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 2; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 849.86 FEET, 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 604.32 FEET (PER DOCUMENT R2012-012175, 604.69 FEET PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890) TO A SOUTHWEST CORNER THEREOF, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 2 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 2, 448.23 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES, BEING THE SOUTHERLY PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 2, 1) ALONG A CURVE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27', 2) SOUTHWESTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 90.00 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 75.72 FEET, 4) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 12.45 FEET; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890, 100 FEET TO THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND AVENUE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE MOST SOUTHERLY NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 2 PER DOCUMENT R2012-012175 AFORESAID; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION TO THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 2; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 558.70 FEET ALONG A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 2 TO A POINT OF CURVATURE CONVEX TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 500.00 FEET AND ARC DISTANCE OF 152.01 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 (PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2016-093310 AFORESAID); THENCE THE FOLLOWING 4 COURSES ALONG THE WEST PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 4; 1) NORTHWESTERLY 104.26 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 44.24 FEET, 3) WESTERLY 43.47 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 221.71 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 742.45 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 4 67.00 FEET TO THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF GRACE STREET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF LOT 4 AFORESAID ALONG THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID GRACE STREET RIGHT OF WAY TO A POINT ON THE NORTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4 IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R95-162762); THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION 102.61 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 11.80 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, ALSO BEING

THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 AFORESAID; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST (ALSO BEING A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1) HAVING A RADIUS OF 368.00 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 193.99 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY (CONTINUING ALONG THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1) 119.26 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 518.00 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 43.47 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF OUTLOT A IN YORKTOWN PERIPHERAL/TARGET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID OUTLOT A 337.42 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE THEREOF 84.34 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF (ALSO BEING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF LOMBARD WESTIN HOTEL AND CONVENTION CENTER RECORDED APRIL 17, 2009 AS DOCUMENT R2009-057156; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 546.67 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 3.57 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE WEST PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN NORTHERN BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY ASSESSMENT PLAT AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE WEST PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1, 116.51 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 157.16 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 282.40 FEET ALONG THE MOST NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID); THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1,661.83 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 70.50 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONVEX TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 767.39 FEET AND AN ARC DISTANCE OF 103.23 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A NORTH PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 (ALSO BEING THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID) 229.59 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER THEREOF (ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN SAID FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION OF 701-747 22ND STREET SUBDIVISION AFORESAID); THENCE THE FOLLOWING 10 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG THE WESTERLY PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1; 1) NORTHWESTERLY, 326.45 FEET, 2) NORTHWESTERLY 154.85 FEET, 3)NORTHEASTERLY 3.07 FEET, 4) NORTHWESTERLY 122.51 FEET, 5) SOUTHWESTERLY 3.07 FEET, 6) NORTHWESTERLY 32.04 FEET, 7) NORTHWESTERLY 48.33 FEET, 8) NORTHEASTERLY 42.43 FEET, 9) NORTHEASTERLY 34.93 FEET, 10) NORTHEASTERLY 21.85 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING ON THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET); THENCE NORTHWESTERLY, PERPENDICULAR TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 AND THE SAID SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET 100.00 FEET TO THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID 22ND STREET; THEN NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE 817.98 FEET TO A POINT 100.00 FEET NORTH OF (AS MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO) THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE SOUTHERLY, ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR THE AFORESAID NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF 22ND STREET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1, BEING THE AFORESAID POINT OF BEGINNING, ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS;

ALONG WITH:

PARCEL 1:

LOT 3 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2016 AS DOCUMENT R2016-093310, ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

ALSO

PARCEL 2:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER, BEING AN ASSESSMENT PLAT OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO SAID PLAT OF CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AND RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 604.49 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A NORTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 400.65 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 AS DOCUMENT NO. R2017-090478, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 449.16 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 3 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1, 1) SOUTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 358.05 FEET; 2) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 198.70 FEET; 3) THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 390.12 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION RECORDED OCTOBER 13, 2006 AS DOCUMENT NO. R2006-198283 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS BEING 460.45 FEET SOUTHEASTERLY OF THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 17 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION, 1) SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (PER DOC. R2006-198283) 102.15 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHEASTERLY CORNER THEREOF, 2) SOUTHEASTERLY 231.10 FEET TO THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER THEREOF, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 473.24 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY, 4) SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE ALSO BEING A SOUTH LINE OF LOT 1 AFORESAID 9.00 FEET, SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF LOT 2 IN SAID HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION, 5) NORTHWESTERLY 149.27 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOTS 1 AND 2 AFORESAID, 6) SOUTHWESTERLY 367.69 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 2, 7) SOUTHEASTERLY 149.27 FEET ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 2 TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY, 8) SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD 258.31 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, BEING A COMMON PROPERTY CORNER WITH LOT 8 IN YORKTOWN, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 27, 1968 AS DOCUMENT R1968-044972 IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, 9) NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOTS 1 AND LOT 8 (YORKTOWN) 211.36 FEET, 10) NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, 74.59 FEET, 11) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOTS 1 AND 8, 69.04

FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, (SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER OF LOT 2 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION PLAT RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 2003 AS DOCUMENT R2003-433529, 12) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 150.00 FEET, A CHORD DISTANCE OF 189.018 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 197.835 FEET (ALSO BEING A PROPERTY LINE COMMON WITH SAID LOT 2 TBA RESUBDIVISION), 13) NORTH ALONG A PROPERTY LINE COMMON WITH THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 (TBA RESUBDIVISION) 145.143 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 14) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHWESTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.56 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, 15) ALONG A CURVE WHOSE CHORD BEARS WEST 91.50 FEET FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 92.00 FEET, SAID CURVE BEING A COMMON PROPERTY LINE WITH THE MOST NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 2 (TBA RESUBDIVISION) TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE, 16) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 105.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHWESTERLY TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE EAST LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY AS TAKEN PER DOCUMENT RECORDED JANUARY 16, 2004 AS NO. R2004-015543, 17) THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY 31.66 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY BEING ON A PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO SAID LOT 1 AND THE MOST SOUTHERLY PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASSESSMENT PLAT AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1 AND THE NORTH LINE OF THE AFORESAID HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY TAKING 20.02 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE THE FOLLOWING 7 COURSES, ALL BEING ALONG PROPERTY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 1, 1) NORTHWESTERLY 91.21 FEET, 2) SOUTHEASTERLY 47.32 FEET, 3) SOUTHEASTERLY 7.32 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 4) ALONG A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 105.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHEASTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 56.51 FEET, 5) NORTHEASTERLY 92.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 6) ALONG A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHEASTERLY FOR AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 7) NORTHWESTERLY 282.62 FEET TO A CORNER OF PARCEL 1, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF YORKTOWN-HIGHLAND RESUBDIVISION (DOC. R2006-170876 AFOREMENTIONED), THE PREVIOUS 7 COURSES ALL BEING COMMON PROPERTY LINES WITH LOT 1 IN SAID FINAL PLAT OF YORKTOWN-HIGHLAND RESUBDIVISION (DOC, R2006-170876), AND SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AFOREMENTIONED; THENCE ALONG THE FOLLOWING 9 COURSES, ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES OF SAID LOT 1 (DOC. R2000-120890), 1) NORTHWESTERLY 352.27 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 2) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHWESTERLY 35.36 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 3) SOUTHWESTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 4) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 77.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHWESTERLY 75.83 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 79.29 FEET (PREVIOUS 4 COURSES ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES COMMON TO LOT 3 IN SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER), 5) NORTHWESTERLY ALONG THE MOST WESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 1 (ALSO BEING THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY) 119.32 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 6) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE

CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST HAVING A RADIUS OF 90.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTHEASTERLY 73.51 FEET AN ARC DISTANCE OF 75.72 FEET 7) NORTHEASTERLY 114.00 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE, 8) ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHWEST HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.00 FEET, WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTHEASTERLY 35.36 FEET AN ARC DISTANCE OF 39.27 FEET, 9) NORTHWESTERLY 448.23 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING (PREVIOUS 4 COURSES ALL BEING PROPERTY LINES COMMON TO LOT 2 IN SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER), ALL IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 3:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE MOST WESTERLY LOT LINE OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER, BEING AN ASSESSMENT PLAT OF PART OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO SAID PLAT OF CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER RECORDED AUGUST 8, 2000 AS DOCUMENT R2000-120890, SAID POINT BEING THE MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF SAID WESTERLY LOT LINE AND RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1 119.32 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 2.31 FEET TO A POINT BEING A COMMON CORNER WITH LOT 2 OF SAID CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT OF YORKTOWN SHOPPING CENTER; THENCE CONTINUING NORTHWESTERLY ALONG A SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 2 12.45 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HIGHLAND AVENUE AS SHOWN PER DOCUMENT R2000-120890 AFORESAID; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 ACROSS THE FULL RIGHT OF WAY OF HIGHLAND AVENUE TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO THE AFORESAID MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1 IN CARSON'S ASSESSMENT PLAT, SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE BEING DRAWN FROM THE AFORESAID MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1; THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE TO THE AFORESAID MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1, BEING ALSO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN DUPAGE COUNTY ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 4:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT A POINT BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF A PARCEL OF LAND DEDICATED FOR HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY PER DOCUMENT NO. R2004-015543, SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE MOST NORTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 1 IN FINAL PLAT OF HIGHLAND-YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R 2006-198283 AND RUNNING THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID DEDICATION 62.79 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY 28.28 FEET ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID TAKING TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER THEREOF, SAID POINT ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 IN TBA RESUBDIVISION PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2003-433529; THENCE WESTERLY PERPENDICULAR TO THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF LOT 2 AFORESAID ACROSS THE FULL RIGHT OF WAY OF HIGHLAND AVENUE TO A POINT ON THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID HIGHLAND AVENUE; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG SAID WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO A WESTERLY

PROPERTY LINE OF PARCEL 1 IN YORKTOWN COMMONS PHASE 1 ASS3SSMENT PLAT PER DOCUMENT R2017-090478, SAID PERPENDICULAR LINE BEING DRAWN FROM THE MOST NORTHERLY CORNER OF THE MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID MOST WESTERLY PROPERTY LINE 91.21 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF A PARCEL OF LAND DEDICATED FOR HIGHLAND AVENUE RIGHT OF WAY PER DOCUMENT R2004-015543 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID DEDICATION (ALSO BEING ALONG THE MOST SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 1) 20.02 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 5:

A PARCEL OF LAND DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF LOT 1 IN HIGHLAND YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION PER DOCUMENT R2006-198283 AND RUNNING SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF A 211.36 FOOT LONG PROPERTY LINE COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID AND LOT 8 IN YORKTOWN PER DOCUMENT R1968-044972 TO THE CENTERLINE OF BUTTERFIELD ROAD RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF A PROPERTY LINE BEING 149.27 FEET LONG AND COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID WITH THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 2 IN HIGHLAND YORKTOWN RESUBDIVISION AFORESAID; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION TO THE MOST SOUTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 2 (ALSO BEING A CORNER COMMON TO LOT 1 AFORESAID), IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PINs: 06-29-101-037, 06-29-101-038, 06-29-101-043, 06-29-101-044, 06-29-101-046, 06-29-101-047, 06-29-101-048, 06-29-101-049, 06-29-200-051, 06-29-200-052, 06-29-200-053, 06-29-200-056, 06-29-200-057, 06-29-200-059 and 06-29-301-014.

Common Boundary Description: The area generally bounded by: 22nd Street on the North; the West line of the Fountain Square development on the East; Butterfield Road on the South; and Highland Avenue on the West; exclusive of: the 701 East 22nd Street office building; Target, 1, 3, 55, 80, 84, 85, 87, 90, 92, 94, 96 and 145 Yorktown Shopping Center; the multi-family residential and vacant properties located North of the East/West portion of Yorktown Mall Drive; the Boeger Cemetery property located along the North side of Butterfield Road, South of 145 Yorktown Shopping Center; and the 700 and 720 East Butterfield Road office buildings; in Lombard, Illinois.