# VILLAGE OF LOMBARD REQUEST FOR BOARD OF TRUSTEES ACTION

For Inclusion on Board Agenda

X X	Resolution or Ordinance (Blue) Wa. Recommendations of Boards, Commissions of Other Business (Pink)	
TO:	PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES	
FROM:	Scott R. Niehaus, Village Manager	
DATE:	February 7, 2017 ( <u>B of T</u> ) D	ate: February 16, 2017
TITLE:	PC 17-03, 543 E. Taylor Road (Park District	Recreation Center)
SUBMITTED BY:	Department of Community Development	or for WH
Your Plan Commiss above-referenced pet	LICY IMPLICATIONS: sion transmits for your consideration its redition. The petitioner, Lombard Park District, so on the subject property located within the	requests that the Village take
The state of the s	onditional use pursuant to Section 155.404(Conditional use pursuant to Section 155.404(Conditional facility;	(7) of the Lombard Zoning
	riation from Section 155.404(G) to allow a bu maximum of thirty feet (30') is permitted; and	
	variation from Section 155.404(H) of the Loopment with less than 75% open space.	mbard Zoning Ordinance to
The Plan Commission abstention.	on recommended approval of this petition	by a vote of 4-0, with one
Figure 1 Insurant/From dis-	Same	
Fiscal Impact/Funding	g Source:	
Review (as necessary Village Attorney X	):	Date
Finance Director X _		Date
Village Manager X		Date

NOTE: All materials must be submitted to and approved by the Village Manager's Office by 12:00 noon, Wednesday, prior to the Agenda Distribution.



## **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Scott R. Niehaus, Village Manager

FROM: William J. Heniff, AICP, Director of Community Development

**MEETING DATE:** February 16, 2017

SUBJECT: PC 17-03, 543 E. Taylor Road (Park District Recreation Center)

Please find the following items for Village Board consideration as part of the February 16, 2017 Board meeting:

1. Plan Commission referral letter;

2. IDRC report for PC 17-03; and

3. An Ordinance granting approval of a conditional use and two variations for the property at 543 E. Taylor Road.

The Plan Commission recommended approval of this petition by a vote of 4-0 with one abstaining. Please place this petition on the February 16, 2017 Board of Trustees agenda for a first reading.

H:\CD\WORDUSER\PCCASES\2017\PC 17-03\PC 17-03\_Village Manager Memo.docx



Village President Keith T. Giagnorio

Village Clerk Sharon Kuderna

#### **Trustees**

Dan Whittington, Dist. 1 Michael A. Fugiel, Dist. 2 Reid Foltyniewicz, Dist. 3 Bill T. Johnston, Dist. 4 Robyn Pike, Dist. 5 William "Bill" Ware, Dist. 6

Village Manager Scott R. Niehaus

"Our shared Vision for Lombard is a community of excellence exemplified by its government working together with residents and businesses to create a distinctive sense of spirit and an outstanding quality of life."

"The Mission of the Village of Lombard is to provide superior and responsive governmental services to the people of Lombard."

#### VILLAGE OF LOMBARD

255 E. Wilson Ave. Lombard, Illinois 60148-3926 (630) 620-5700 Fax (630) 620-8222 www.villageoflombard.org

February 16, 2017

Mr. Keith T. Giagnorio, Village President, and Board of Trustees Village of Lombard

Subject: PC 17-03, 543 E. Taylor Road (Park District Recreation Center)

Dear President and Trustees:

Your Plan Commission transmits for your consideration its recommendation regarding the above-referenced petition. Lombard Park District (petitioner) requests that the Village take the following actions on the subject property located Conservation Recreation District:

- 1. Approve a conditional use pursuant to Section 155.404(C)(7) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance for a public recreational facility;
- 2. Approve a variation from Section 155.404(G) to allow a building height of thirty-six feet (36') where a maximum of thirty feet (30') is permitted; and
- 3. Approve a variation from Section 155.404(H) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance to allow a development with less than 75% open space.

After due notice and as required by law, the Plan Commission conducted a public hearing for this petition on February 6, 2017. Sworn in to present the petition were: William Heniff, Director of Community Development; Anna Papke, Senior Planner; Paul Friedrichs, Lombard Park District; and John Dzarnowski, FGM Architects, representing the petitioner.

PC 17-03 February 16, 2017 Page 2

Commissioner Flint recused himself from discussion and voting on this petition as he is on the board of Elementary District #44, which currently owns the subject property.

Chairperson Ryan read the Plan Commission procedures and asked if anyone other than the petitioner intended to cross examine and, hearing none, he proceeded with the petition.

Paul Friedrichs spoke on behalf of the Park District. He said the Park District intends to build a recreation center on the subject property to serve the community. The rec center will provide space for indoor basketball and other group sports, a gym, fitness studios for group classes, and an indoor walking track. The Park District engaged in comprehensive planning and needs assessment surveys in 2011 and 2013 in order to determine resident wants and needs. The vision for the recreation center came out of that process.

Mr. Friedrichs explained that the Park District will execute a land swap with School District #44 in order to acquire the subject property, which was formerly the site of Fairwood School. The Park District held two open houses on January 16, 2017, to present the recreation center plans to the public and answer questions. He also noted that the facility had been designed to both respect the adjacent neighborhoods and to provide space for future amenities.

John Dzarnowski, with FGM Architects, presented the site plan and architectural plan. He explained that the school building is currently under demolition. The proposed rec center will range between approximately 34,000 and 38,100 square feet; the Park District will determine which option to build after receiving bids from contractors. Mr. Dzarnowski pointed out that the new building will be set back significantly from the west and south property lines. The new parking lot will also be set back nearly 40 feet from the west property line compared to the 10-foot setback of the current parking lot. This adjustment in parking lot location will provide room for a landscaped berm along the west property line, which will provide better screening for adjacent property owners.

Mr. Dzarnowski pointed out that there is currently no stormwater control on the subject property. At this time, stormwater runs off the property onto Wilson Avenue. The redevelopment of the property as a recreation center will include a stormwater detention facility on the south side of the site that will meet the Village's current stormwater standards. This should improve stormwater conditions in the immediate area.

Mr. Dzarnowski explained that the site will be heavily landscaped with a variety of trees, shrubs, ornamental grasses and plants. He also presented the building elevations, and noted that the building will be constructed of precast concrete panels, with brick and metal accents. He passed around paint and materials sample for the Commissioners to examine.

Mr. Dzarnowski said the requested height variance will enable construction of a building that meets the needs of the Park District, particularly as it relates to providing adequate basketball facilities. With regard to the requested open space variance, he stated that the recreation center will be adjacent to Madison Meadow Park, which provides a significant amount of open space.

PC 17-03 February 16, 2017 Page 3

Chairperson Ryan asked if any person would like to speak in favor or against this petition, or for public comment. Hearing none, he asked for the staff report.

Ms. Papke presented the staff report, which was submitted to the public record in its entirety. The petitioner, the Lombard Park District, is proposing to build a recreation center at 543 E. Taylor Road. The recreation center will be between 33,292 square feet and 38,100 square feet, depending on available funding, and will provide space for basketball and fitness amenities. An on-site parking lot will be provided. In order to develop the site as proposed, the petitioner requests approval of a conditional use for a recreation center in the CR District, and variations related to height and open space.

Ms. Papke said that the site was formerly occupied by the Fairwood School, and had also been used as a temporary recreation center for the Park District and as a daycare. The Park District is under contract to acquire the property from Elementary District #44.

The petition was reviewed by the Village's interdepartmental review committee. Comments from Building, Fire, Public Works and Engineering have been passed on to the petitioner, and will be addressed in the final review and permitting process.

The Planning Division reviewed the proposed development and finds it is generally compatible with the surrounding single-family neighborhood and the adjacent Madison Meadow Park. Staff notes that the previous development on the site did not include any stormwater facilities. However, the site will be brought into compliance with the Village's stormwater regulations when it is redeveloped.

A recreation center is a conditional use in the CR District. Staff analyzed the petition and finds it meets the standards for a conditional use. The petitioner also requests a height variation to allow a 36'-tall building where the code otherwise allows a 30'-tall building. The added height will optimize the building for the activities the Park District plans to offer. Since the building will have fairly deep setbacks from all property lines, staff finds that the added height will not negatively impact the surrounding neighborhood, and supports the variance. The petitioner also requests a variance for the amount of open space on the site in order to accommodate the building and required parking. The property is adjacent to Madison Meadow Park, and the two properties will effectively operate as one development in terms of providing open space. Staff supports this request.

Finally, the Village engaged KLOA, Inc., to conduct a traffic analysis on the proposed development. The KLOA study took into account previous use of the site as a school, rec center and daycare, and concluded that traffic flow in the neighborhood post-redevelopment will be functionally similar to the traffic flow in the area when other uses occupied the subject property. KLOA finds that the development would have minimal impact on traffic circulation in the area.

In summary, staff finds the petition meets the standards of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance and recommends approval of the petition subject to the conditions listed in the staff report.

PC 17-03 February 16, 2017 Page 4

Chairperson Ryan asked for public comment, and, hearing none, opened the meeting for comments among the Commissioners.

Commissioner Olbrysh asked about the square footage of the former school building. Mr. Dzarnowski said it was between 33,000 and 34,000 square feet.

Commissioner Olbrysh asked about plans for lighting in the parking lot and the outside of the building, security cameras in the parking lot, and the location of the air conditioner units. Mr. Dzarnowski and Mr. Friedrichs said there will be lighting in the parking lot and on the building, but no security cameras. The AC units will be on the rooftop and will not be visible to passersby.

Commissioner Burke said that moving the driveway on Wilson to the east from its current location, as shown on the plans, will be a positive change. He asked whether the traffic study accounted for the difference in traffic in winter as opposed to summer months, and how overflow parking will be handled. Mr. Dzarnowski and Mr. Friedrichs said that the facility will be programmed to avoid overlapping seasons of multiple sports (e.g. basketball and baseball), which should eliminate those issues. Mr. Dzarnowski noted that the parking lot can be expanded in the future if the need arises.

Commissioner Sweetser asked if there will be an outdoor play area near the building, which could be a benefit for parents who want somewhere for their children to play while other family members are involved in activities inside the building. Mr. Friedrichs said there is no plan to have a playground at this location, as the site is intended to be an athletic and fitness facility. There will, however, be babysitting services provided in the building.

Commissioner Sweetser pointed out that the architectural elevation showed the building with a sign that reads "LRC" on the front façade. She said this acronym is commonly understood to mean "Learning Resource Center," which might be confusing to the public. Mr. Friedrichs said that the sign content had not been finalized, and will likely change.

Commissioner Olbrysh noted that during baseball season, it appeared park users often used Edgewood for overflow parking. He said that the building is an attractive facility, and one that is long overdue in the community.

On a motion by Commissioner Olbysh, and a second by Commissioner Burke, the Plan Commission voted 4-0, with one abstaining, to recommend that the Village Board approve the petition associated with PC 17-03, subject to the following seven (7) conditions.

- 1. That the approvals for a conditional use permit for a recreation center, a variation to allow a building height of 36 feet, and a variation to allow a development with 64% of the lot area maintained as open space, are valid only for the subject property at 543 E. Taylor Road;
- 2. That the petitioner shall develop the site in accordance with the following plans submitted as part of this petition and referenced in the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report, except as they may be changed to conform to Village Code:
  - a. Paving and layout plan, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;

- b. Water main loop and proposed utility easement site plan, prepared by FGM Architects, dated February 6, 2017;
- c. Floor plans, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;
- d. Landscape plans, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016, to be amended to address foundation landscaping as noted in this report; and
- e. Exterior rendering, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;
- 3. That the petitioner shall submit a lighting plan during permit review;
- 4. That the petitioner shall provide 145 parking spaces on the site if the larger alternative is constructed;
- 5. That the petitioner shall provide a public utility easement for the water main to be constructed on the site, subject to the approval of the Public Works Department and the Village Board;
- 6. That the petitioner shall satisfactorily address all comments noted within the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report; and
- 7. Pursuant to the Zoning Ordinance, the project construction shall commence within one (1) year from the date of approval of the ordinance, or this approval for a conditional use and building height and open space variations shall be come null and void unless a time extension has been granted by the Village Board.

Respectfully,

VILLAGE OF LOMBARD

Donald Ryan, Chairperson Lombard Plan Commission

c. Lombard Plan Commission

## **PLAN COMMISSION**

## INTER-DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT

543 E. TAYLOR ROAD (FORMER FAIRWOOD SCHOOL)

## February 6, 2017

#### **Title**

PC 17-03

## **Property Owner**

Elementary District 44 150 W. Madison Avenue Lombard, IL 60148

#### Petitioner - Developer

Lombard Park District 227 W. Parkside Avenue Lombard, IL 60148

## **Property Location**

543 E. Taylor Road

#### Zoning

CR - Conservation/Recreation

#### **Existing Land Use**

Vacant (demo in progress)

#### **Comprehensive Plan**

Public and Institutional

## **Approval Sought**

Approval of a conditional use for a public recreation facility in the CR District, and approval of companion variations for building height and open space.

#### **Prepared By**

Anna Papke, AICP Senior Planner



**LOCATION MAP** 

#### **DESCRIPTION**

The petitioner, the Lombard Park District, proposes to develop the subject property with a recreation center. The building will be between 33,292 and 38,100 square feet in size, and will include indoor basketball courts and fitness amenities. On-site parking will be provided.

This property was previously the site of Fairwood School. The property is currently owned by Elementary District 44. Elementary school operations ceased on the site many years ago, and it has more recently been used as a Park District recreation center and a daycare (Pioneer Daycare). The Park District has entered into a contract to purchase the property from District 44 in order to develop a new recreation center. Demolition of the school building is ongoing.

The petitioner has presented plans that include a base floor plan and an alternative floor plan. The alternative floor plan results in a slightly larger building and will be constructed if funding allows. For purposes of this report, Community Development staff has analyzed the petition assuming the larger of the possible build-outs.

#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

The property was previously developed with an elementary school and surface parking lot. The school building is currently under demolition.

## **Project Details**

Parcel Size:

5.61 acres

Development Description:

Recreation center to include basketball courts and fitness facilities

#### **Requested Actions**

- Approve a conditional use for a public recreational facility in the CR District;
- Approve a variation to allow a building height of 36' where a maximum of 30' is permitted;
   and
- Approve a variation to allow a development with less than 75% open space.

#### **Submittals**

- Petition for public hearing, dated December 12, 2016;
- Response to standards for a conditional use and variations, dated January 24, 2017;
- Plat of survey, prepared by Webster, McGrath & Ahlberg Ltd., dated July 7, 2016;
- Paving and layout plan, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;
- Water main loop and proposed utility easement site plan, prepared by FGM Architects, dated February 6, 2017;
- 6. Floor plans, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016:
- Landscape plans, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016; and

## APPROVAL(S) REQUIRED

- Approve a conditional use pursuant to Section 155.404(C)(7)
   of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance for a public recreational
   facility;
- 2. Approve a variation from Section 155.404(G) to allow a building height of thirty-six feet (36') where a maximum of thirty feet (30') is permitted; and
- 3. Approve a variation from Section 155.404(H) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance to allow a development with less than 75% open space.

## **INTER-DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW**

## **Building Division:**

The Building Division has no comments on this petition. Should the petition be approved, additional comments may be forthcoming during permit review.

## Fire Department:

The Fire Department has the following comment on this petition. Red lines related to these comments have previously been provided to the petitioner. Should the petition be approved, additional comments may be forthcoming during permit review.

- Water room #115 located at the southwest corner of the structure. The Fire Department connection will be located immediately outside of this room on the west exterior wall;
- 2. Fire Department access with equipment and manpower will be necessary to this location;
- 3. A clear path from the parking area to the fire department connection is required;
- 4. Redistribution of the accessible parking stalls will be necessary to maintain an 8 foot clear width area into the parking lot to maintain immediate FD access;
- 5. Protect the designated access in the paved area with bollards or similar equipment to prevent vehicle parking;

#### Submittals (cont.)

8. Exterior rendering, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016. 6. The private lane leading up to the northwest corner of the structure will be required to be engineered to support the largest fire department apparatus.

## Private Engineering Services (PES):

Private Engineer Services has the following comments regarding the proposed project. These comments were previously transmitted to the petitioner during preliminary design discussions. Should the petition be approved, additional comments may be forthcoming during permit review.

- 1. Extend sidewalk on Taylor to the new driveway location.
- 2. Questions about curb depressions and how that water flow will be directed into the required detention facility.
- 3. The sidewalk on Wilson near the western property line should have a horizontal shift of 10:1, as shown it doesn't meet this requirement.
- 4. Curb returns should have a maximum of 15-ft radius, they show 20-ft and 30-ft.
- 5. The detention basin must meet ROW setback requirements.
- 6. The detention basin must meet the 4:1 maximum side slope requirement, as the property is adjacent to residential.
- 7. Sidewalk fronting the ADA parking stalls should be a minimum of 7-ft wide.
- 8. The pavement sections shown are more than required by Village code, if they would like to potentially save some money.
- 9. Drive aisles should be the heavy duty pavement section, not the automobile cross section.
- 10. Parking lot dimensions shown should be based on the face of curb. If dimensioned from the back of curb, they need to add the thickness of the curb.
- 11. Additional comments may be forthcoming once more detailed information is available.

#### **Public Works:**

The Department of Public Works reviewed the submitted plans and offers the following comment. Should the petition be approved, additional comments may be forthcoming during permit review.

- 1. The proposed location of the drop-off lanes on Sheet C-1.0.2 should be studied to determine the impact of vehicles backing up onto Wilson Avenue during peak drop off times. Parents will likely idle/park there until their kids exit en masse from games, coinciding with parents dropping off kids for the next games. At a minimum it is suggested that these lanes be posted as a "no standing" zone.
- 2. Trees #3 and #4 planned for removal on Sheet L 1.01 shall be replaced on a 1:1 ratio per Section 99.40 of the Lombard Municipal Code.
- 3. The existing driveway entrance on Wilson Avenue shall be removed and replaced with B6:12 curb & gutter and parkway grass.
- 4. The petitioner shall loop the dead-end water main on Taylor Street through the site to the existing 8-in water main in the north side of Wilson Avenue. The proposed water main shall be 8-in diameter per Section 154.404 of the Lombard Municipal Code.
- The petitioner shall grant an easement through the subject property for the Village to own and maintain the required water main extension and any fire hydrant, upon completion and acceptance by the Village Board.
- 6. A private streetlight should be placed immediately inside the property at the east end of the Taylor Street right-of-way to illuminate the entrance.
- 7. It is anticipated that the proposed sanitary service to the existing 8-in sewer in the south ROW of Wilson Avenue will need to be installed inside a casing pipe in order to pass over the two water mains.
- 8. Regarding the proposed water main and public utility easement: The alignment looks fine to PW. The easement will just need to be bumped out for any hydrant within the site (within 75' of the Fire Department connection per Village Spec 400.13(A)(1)) and also extended at the north end to include the existing water main and sanitary sewer to the manhole in the LPD's property, east of the Taylor Road ROW.
- 9. Additional comments will be provided upon receipt of the grading and utilities plans for the building permit.

## Planning Services Division:

The Planning Services Division notes the following:

## 1. Surrounding Zoning & Land Use Compatibility

	Zoning	Land Use
North	CRPD	Madison Meadow Park
South	R2	Single-family neighborhood (across Wilson Avenue)
East	CRPD	Madison Meadow Park
West	R2	Single-family neighborhood

The subject property is located in an established single-family residential neighborhood, adjacent to Madison Meadow Park. As previously mentioned, the subject property has historically been the site of the Fairwood Elementary School. Previous temporary uses include a recreation facility and a daycare. Redevelopment of the site into a new recreation center for the Park District is consistent with the historical use of the site, and compatible with the wider neighborhood.

Staff notes that there are currently no stormwater facilities on the subject property. At present, stormwater from the subject property is uncontrolled and runs south and east off the site toward the surrounding neighborhoods. Upon redevelopment, the site will be brought into compliance with the Village's stormwater regulations. To this end, the proposed development will include a stormwater detention facility to control the flow of water from the subject property into adjacent areas.

#### 2. Comprehensive Plan Compatibility

The Comprehensive Plan designates this property as suitable for public and institutional uses. A Park District-operated recreation center is consistent with this designation.

#### 3. Zoning Ordinance Compatibility

The underlying zoning of the subject property is CR, Conservation Recreation District. With the exception of the requested variations discussed in Section 5 of this report, the proposed development is consistent with the Lombard Zoning Ordinance. Staff notes the following with respect to this petition's consistency with the Zoning Ordinance:

• The proposed use, a recreation center, is a conditional use in the CR District. Staff finds the standards for a conditional use have been met. See Section 4 of this report for more discussion.

#### Parking considerations:

O The Zoning Ordinance requires four parking spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area for recreation centers. The petitioner proposes to build a building with a maximum gross floor area of 38,100 square feet. The Zoning Ordinance provides that portions of

- buildings devoted to storage and mechanical uses are not included in square footage for purposes of parking calculations. Removing these areas, square footage of the larger potential build-out will be 36,100 square feet, requiring 145 parking spaces.
- O The site plan shows 143 parking spaces will be provided. This will be adequate to address the smaller of the two build-out options. If the petitioner elects to build the larger alternative, an additional two parking spaces will be needed. Staff notes that the petitioner could easily add these two spaces to the row of parking provided north of the proposed building. The petitioner has agreed to provide these two spaces in the event that the Park District builds the larger alternative.
- Petitioner will need to provide a parking lot lighting plan at time of permitting. Staff will review to ensure parking lot lighting meets code requirements and does not negatively impact neighboring properties. Staff notes that the parking lot for the recreation center will be set back 30 feet from the west property line, which should offer an additional buffer between the parking lot and adjacent properties (the former Fairwood School parking lot was located at the west property line).
- The landscape plan provided by the petitioner lacks foundation landscaping along the north and northeast side of the building, which can be included in an updated plan at time of permitting. Otherwise, the landscape plan meets the requirements in the Zoning Ordinance.

## 4. Request for Conditional Use Approval

A. Pursuant to Section 155.404(C)(7) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance, approve a conditional use for a public recreational facility in the CR District.

The petitioner proposes to construct a public recreation facility (recreation center) on the site. Public recreation facilities are conditional uses in the CR District. In analyzing the site plan and details provided regarding the intended use and programming of the recreation center, staff finds that the development will not have any injurious effect on existing or future development in the neighborhood. Staff finds the conditional use request is consistent with the standards for conditional uses in the Village Zoning Ordinance (Section 155.103(F)(8)).

## 5. Requests for Variations

A. Pursuant to Section 155.404(G) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance, approve a variation to allow a building height of thirty-six feet (36') where a maximum of thirty feet (30') is permitted.

The proposed recreation center will have a total building height of 36 feet. The permitted maximum building height in the CR District is 30 feet. The petitioner states that the building and site have been designed to minimize the amount of impervious surface on the site in order to reduce drainage issues, resulting in a taller building than might otherwise have been designed. The

building has also been designed to provide adequate height and square footage for the activities the Park District plans to offer on the site, such as basketball and volleyball. These considerations have resulted in a building that exceeds the height limit.

Upon review of the architectural and site plans, staff finds that the additional height will not substantially alter the character of the development. The building will be set back 138 feet from Wilson Avenue, and over 187 feet from the west property line, where the subject property abuts single-family homes. The building will have a setback of nearly 90 feet from the adjacent Madison Meadow Park. These deep setbacks will minimize the impact that the additional six feet in building height will have upon neighboring properties or pedestrians on Wilson Avenue. The petitioner notes that the building has been designed with multiple rooflines at varying levels and situated at an angle on the site in order to minimize visual impact of the building on neighboring properties. Staff supports this variance request.

B. Pursuant to Section 155.404(H) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance, approve a variation to allow a development with less than 75% open space.

Recreational centers in the CR District are required to maintain a minimum of 75% of the lot area as open space. The development as proposed will maintain 64% of the lot area in open space (including the possible addition of two extra parking spaces, as discussed above). The petitioner is attempting to optimize this site for use as a recreation facility, and points out that the development is balancing the need to provide Park District services and associated parking with an open space requirement for the CR District that varies depending on the particular use of the property.

Staff notes that the recreation center is immediately adjacent to Madison Meadow Park, a 75-plus acre park of which the majority is open space. The park and the recreation center will effectively operate as one development for the purpose of providing open space. In fact, the Zoning Ordinance permits other types of development (educational institutions and cultural facilities) in the CR District that are adjacent to a park to have a minimum of 35% lot area as open space. The proposed recreation center is functionally similar to a school or a cultural institution for purposes of maintaining open space. Staff supports this variance request.

## 6. Traffic Study

The Village retained KLOA, Inc., to conduct a traffic impact analysis on the proposed recreation center. KLOA conducted traffic counts at several intersections surrounding the subject property and projected how the new recreation center would affect traffic flow at these intersections. The KLOA traffic study concluded the following:

• Traffic generated by the proposed recreation center will be similar to the traffic that the daycare generated when it operated in the former school building.

- Intersections in the vicinity of the recreation center are currently operating at a very good level of service, and will continue to do so once the recreation center is open.
- Traffic heading to the recreation center will be able to enter the site via two proposed driveways, with minimal effect on traffic flow.
- The pick-up/drop-off lanes within the proposed parking lot will provide space for traffic to
  queue on-site as opposed to in Village rights-of-way. KLOA suggests several steps the Park
  District could take to manage vehicle queuing on the site in the event that multiple events begin
  or end at the same time.

Based on the KLOA report, staff finds that the proposed recreation center will have a minimal impact on traffic circulation in the vicinity of the subject property.

## **SITE HISTORY (NON SIGN-RELATED)**

PC 00-43: Conditional use for daycare and private school

#### FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the above findings, the Inter-Departmental Review Committee has reviewed the petition and finds that it meets the standards for a conditional use and variations, as established by the Lombard Zoning Ordinance. As such, the Inter-Departmental Review Committee recommends that the Plan Commission make the following motion recommending **approval** of this petition:

Based on the submitted petition and testimony presented, the proposed conditional use and variations **comply** with the standards required by the Village of Lombard Zoning Ordinance; and, therefore, I move that the Plan Commission accept the findings of the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report as the findings of the Plan Commission and I recommend to the Corporate Authorities **approval** of PC 17-03, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the approvals for a conditional use permit for a recreation center, a variation to allow a building height of 36 feet, and a variation to allow a development with 64% of the lot area maintained as open space, are valid only for the subject property at 543 E. Taylor Road;
- 2. That the petitioner shall develop the site in accordance with the following plans submitted as part of this petition and referenced in the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report, except as they may be changed to conform to Village Code:
  - a. Paving and layout plan, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;

- b. Water main loop and proposed utility easement site plan, prepared by FGM Architects, dated February 6, 2017;
- c. Floor plans, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;
- d. Landscape plans, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016, to be amended to address foundation landscaping as noted in this report; and
- e. Exterior rendering, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;
- 3. That the petitioner shall submit a lighting plan during permit review;
- 4. That the petitioner shall provide 145 parking spaces on the site if the larger alternative is constructed;
- That the petitioner shall provide a public utility easement for the water main to be constructed on the site, subject to the approval of the Public Works Department and the Village Board;
- 6. That the petitioner shall satisfactorily address all comments noted within the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report; and
- 7. Pursuant to the Zoning Ordinance, the project construction shall commence within one (1) year from the date of approval of the ordinance, or this approval for a conditional use and building height and open space variations shall be come null and void unless a time extension has been granted by the Village Board.

William J. Heniff, AICP

Director of Community Development

#### **EXHIBIT**

- KLOA report
- c. Petitioner

H:\CD\WORDUSER\PCCASES\2017\PC 17-03\PC 17-03\_IDRC Report.docx



9575 West Higgins Road. Suite 400 | Rosemont, Illinois 60018 p: 847-518-9990 | f: 847-518-9987

MEMORANDUM TO:

William Heniff

Village of Lombard

FROM:

Luay Aboona, PE

Javier Millan

DATE:

January 24, 2017

SUBJECT:

Site Traffic Impact Analysis

Proposed Recreational Center

Lombard, Illinois

This memorandum summarizes the results of a site traffic impact analysis conducted by Kenig, Lindgren, O'Hara, Aboona, Inc. (KLOA, Inc.) for the proposed redevelopment of the former Fairwood School site located on the north side of Wilson Avenue just east of Fairfield Avenue in Lombard, Illinois. The Lombard Park District is proposing to develop the site with an approximate 33,292 to 38,100 square foot recreational center. As proposed the recreational center will primarily be used for athletic and fitness programs.

This study was conducted to assess the impact that the proposed recreational center will have on traffic conditions in the area and to recommend any roadway, loading, and circulation improvements and/or modifications necessary to accommodate the site-generated traffic as well as alleviating any existing deficiencies, if any.

The sections of this report present the following:

- Existing roadway conditions
- A description of the proposed development
- Directional distribution of the development generated traffic
- Vehicle trip generation for the proposed development
- Future traffic conditions, including access to the site
- Traffic analyses for the weekday morning, evening and Saturday midday peak hours for background and future conditions
- Recommendations with respect to site access and the adjacent roadway network

## **Existing Conditions**

Existing transportation conditions in the vicinity of the site were documented based on a field visit conducted by KLOA, Inc. in order to obtain a database for projecting future conditions. The following provides a description of the geographical location of the site, physical characteristics pf the area roadway system including lane usage and traffic control devices, and existing peak hour traffic volumes.

#### **Site Location**

As indicated previously, the site is located on the north side of Wilson Avenue approximately 250 feet east of Fairfield Avenue. Land uses in the area primarily consist of single family homes to the west and south and the Madison Meadow Park to the north and east. **Figure 1** shows an aerial of the site location.

## **Existing Roadway System Characteristics**

The principal roadways that provide access to the area are under the jurisdiction of the Village of Lombard and are described in the following paragraphs.

Wilson Avenue is an east-west collector road that provides one lane in each direction with on-street parking prohibited on both sides of the road. No exclusive turn lanes are provided at its all-way stop control intersection with Fairfield Avenue or at its "T" intersection with Edgewood Avenue. A high visibility crosswalk is provided on the westbound approach of Wilson Avenue at its intersection with Edgewood Avenue. Wilson Avenue has a posted speed limit of 30 mph.

Fairfield Avenue is a north-south residential street that provides one lane in each direction with on-street parking allowed on both sides of the road. No exclusive turn lanes are provided at its allway stop control intersections with Wilson Avenue and Taylor Road. Fairfield Avenue has a posted speed limit of 25 mph.

Edgewood Avenue is a north-south residential street that provides one lane in each direction with on-street parking allowed on both sides of the road. At its unsignalized intersection with Wilson Avenue, Edgewood Avenue is under stop sign control and provides a combined left/right-turn lane.


Taylor Road is an east-west residential street that dead ends on the east at the parking lot serving the Madison Meadow Park. No exclusive turn lanes are provided at its all-way stop control intersection with Fairfield Avenue. On-street parking is allowed on both sides of the road west of Fairfield Avenue. East of Fairfield Avenue, on-street parking is only allowed on the south side.

## **Existing Area Traffic Counts**

Manual turning movement vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic counts were conducted during the weekday morning (6:30 to 8:30 A.M.) and the evening (4:00 to 6:00 P.M.) peak periods on Thursday, January 5, 2017 and on Saturday, January 7, 2017 during the midday peak period (9:00 to 11:00 A.M.) at the following intersections:

- 1. Wilson Avenue with Fairfield Avenue
- 2. Wilson Avenue with Edgewood Avenue
- 3. Fairfield Avenue with Taylor Road

These time periods were chosen to coincide with the peak periods of operation of the proposed recreational center.





Aerial View of Site Location

From the manual turning movement count data, it was determined that the weekday morning peak hour generally occurs between 7:30 and 8:30 A.M., the weekday evening peak hour generally occurs between 4:30 and 5:30P.M., and the Saturday midday peak hour generally occurs between 10:00 and 11:00 A.M. These three respective peak hours will be used for the traffic capacity analyses and are presented later in this report.

The existing peak hour vehicle traffic volumes are shown in Figure 2.

It should be noted that all of the schools in Lombard were in session when the counts were conducted. However, winter traffic volumes adjacent to a park facility typically tend to be lower than during the spring/summer months. In order, to ascertain how much lower traffic volumes were during winter, the Village of Lombard provided KLOA, Inc. with traffic counts along Wilson Avenue in the vicinity of the site. These traffic counts were conducted in April 2015. Based on a review of the traffic counts, traffic volumes on April were approximately 10 percent higher. In order to provide for a conservative analysis, KLOA, Inc. increased the existing traffic volumes by 20 percent to reflect the traffic that could be experience in the immediate area during the spring/summer months. **Figure 3** illustrates the existing expanded traffic volumes.





## Traffic Characteristics of the Proposed Recreational Center

In order to properly evaluate future traffic conditions in the surrounding area, it was necessary to determine the traffic characteristics of the proposed development, including the directional distribution and volumes of traffic that it will generate.

## **Proposed Site and Development Plan**

As previously indicated the proposed recreational center will be located on the site of the former Fairwood School. After the school ceased operation it was later operated as the Park District's recreation center from 1991 to 2000. After the year 2000 the school was occupied by the Pioneer Day Care Center with approximately 100 children.

The proposed plans call for an approximate 33,292 to 38,100 square foot recreational center building with one full ingress/egress access drive off Wilson Avenue and on Taylor Road via an existing connection. The access drive off Wilson Avenue will provide one inbound lane and two outbound lanes striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane. Outbound movements will be under stop sign control.

Based on discussions with the Lombard Park District, below is a summary of their proposed operation.

- The proposed facility will be primarily used for athletic programs and fitness.
- Given it is an indoor recreational facility it will complement the existing outdoor park facilities allowing residents to play sports and/or exercise when there is inclement weather
- Typical operating hours are from 5:30 A.M. to 10:00 P.M. Monday through Friday and from 6:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M. on weekends
- Peak periods of operation are typically from 6:30 to 8:00 A.M. and from 4:00 to 6:00 P.M. on weekdays and on weekends from 9:00 to 11:00 A.M.
- The first floor gymnasium will provide one large court and one small court (or one large additional court).
- The courts could be used for basketball, volleyball, pickleball, etc.
- Two multipurpose rooms will be located on the first floor that will be used for fitness classes.
- These classes could accommodate up to 20 people in each room.
- Average class size is 10 to 12 people.
- A daycare area will be provided on the first floor for residents that are utilizing the facility.
- The anticipated hours for the daycare area will be Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 12:00 P.M. and from 5:00 to 8:00 P.M. and on Saturdays from 9:00 A.M. to 12:00 P.M.
- The second floor will provide a three lane walking/running track and a fitness center area with cardiovascular and weight lifting equipment

It should be noted that although the gymnasium courts will be used year round, it is anticipated that it would be most heavily used from January to March for the youth basketball season.

## **Directional Distribution of Site Development Traffic**

The directional distribution of how traffic will approach and depart the site was estimated based on a combination of the location, or areas, of nearby residential neighborhoods and the general travel patterns through the study area derived from the peak hour traffic volumes. Given the main entrance will be located on Wilson Avenue, it was estimated that the majority of the traffic would travel along Wilson Avenue with the remaining traffic spread out throughout the other adjacent roadways. **Figure 4** shows the estimated directional distribution.

#### **Site Traffic Generation**

The volume of traffic generated by a development is based on the type of land use and the size of the development. The number of new peak hour vehicle trips estimated to be generated by the proposed recreational center was based on vehicle trip generation rates contained in *Trip Generation Manual*, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). In order to provide for a conservative analysis, it was assumed that the recreational center would be 38,100 square feet in size. **Table 1** shows the estimated number of new peak hour trips to be generated by the proposed development.

Table 1
PROJECTED SITE-GENERATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES

TTE Land- Use		Мог	kday ning Hour	Eve	kday ning Hour	Mic	irday Iday Hour
Code	Land Use	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
495	Recreational Community Center (38,100 s.f.)	51	27	51	53	22	19


## **Trip Generation Comparison**

It is important to note that this site was not a vacant piece of land but rather it was occupied at one time by a school and then later on by a day care center. As previously mentioned, the site was last used by the Pioneer Day Care Center with approximately 100 children. **Table 2** shows the estimated number of trips generated by the site when it was utilized as a day care center.

Table 2
DAY CARE CENTER GENERATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES

ITE Land- Use		Mor	kday ning Hour	Ever	kday ing Hour	Mic	urday Iday k Hour
Code	Land Use	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
565	Day Care Center (100 students)	42	38	38	43	7	4

As can be seen, the number of trips to be generated by the proposed recreational center will be very similar to what the site generated when it utilized as a day care center. As such, the traffic conditions in the area will be very similar to what they used to be.



## **Development Traffic Assignment**

The estimated weekday morning, evening and Saturday midday peak hour traffic volumes that will be generated by the proposed development were assigned to the roadway system in accordance with the previously described directional distribution (Figure 4). **Figure 5** illustrates the vehicular traffic assignment.

## Madison Meadow Park Background Traffic

As previously indicated, the Madison Meadow Park borders the site to the north and to the east. Furthermore, the park has a parking lot on the north side of the site that serves fields 17, 18 and 19. In order to take into account the traffic that can be generated by these three fields during the summer months, the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition was referenced. **Table 3** shows the estimated number of trips the three adjacent fields would generate during the summer months.


Table 3
MADISON MEADOW PARK (FIELDS 17 – 19) GENERATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES


TTE Land- Use		Мо	ekday rning Hour	Eve	ekday ening Hour	Mi Po	urday dday eak our
Code	Land Use	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
411	Three Fields (±12.5 acres)	40.40		25	19	28	28


The Madison Meadow Park fields 17, 18 and 19 traffic assignment is shown on Figure 6.

## **Total Projected Traffic Volumes**

The existing expanded traffic volumes (Figure 3) were combined with the site generated peak hour traffic volumes (Figure 5) and the traffic to be generated by the Madison Meadow Park fields 17 – 19 (Figure 6) to determine the total projected traffic volumes that are shown in **Figure 7**. It should be noted that the projected traffic volumes shown in Figure 6 also include an increase in pedestrian traffic at all the intersections to reflect the utilization of the Madison Meadow Park during the summer months.







#### **Evaluation and Recommendations**

Traffic analyses were performed for the intersections within the study area to determine the operation of the existing roadway system, evaluate the impact of the proposed development, and determine the ability of the existing roadway system to accommodate projected traffic demands. Analyses were performed for the weekday morning and evening peak hours and the Saturday midday peak hour for the existing traffic volumes and the projected traffic volumes.

The traffic analyses were performed using Synchro 9.0 computer software. The ability of an intersection to accommodate traffic flow is expressed in terms of level of service, which is assigned a letter grade from A to F based on the average control delay experienced by vehicles passing through the intersection. Control delay is that portion of the total delay attributed to the traffic signal or stop sign control operation and includes initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. Level of Service A is the highest grade (best traffic flow and least delay), Level of Service E represents saturated or at-capacity conditions, and Level of Service F is the lowest grade (oversaturated conditions, extensive delays). For two-way stop controlled (TWSC) intersections, levels of service are only calculated for the approaches controlled by a stop sign (not for the intersection as a whole).

The Highway Capacity Manual definitions for levels of service and the corresponding control delay for signalized and unsignalized intersections are shown in the Appendix. The results of the capacity analysis are summarized in **Table 4** for the existing expanded traffic volumes and **Table 5** for the projected traffic volumes.

Table 4
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS—EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

	A	Weekday A.M. Peak Hour		ekday .M. : Hour <sup>7</sup>	Saturday Midday Peak Hot	
Intersection	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay
Fairfield Avenue with Wilson Avenue <sup>1</sup>	Α	8.7	В	11.5	Α	8.6
Fairfield Avenue with Taylor Road <sup>1</sup>	A	7.2	A	7.6	A	7.2
Wilson Avenue with Edgewood Avenue <sup>2</sup>						
Northbound Approach	A	9.7	В	11.3	В	10.1

LOS - Level of Service

Delay - Measured in seconds.

Table 5
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS—PROJECTED TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

	Α	ekday .M. : Hour	P	ekday .M. : Hour	Saturday Midday Peak Hour	
Intersection	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay
Fairfield Avenue with Wilson Avenue <sup>1</sup>	A	8.9	В	12.3	A	8.9
Fairfield Avenue with Taylor Road <sup>1</sup>	A	7.3	A	7.7	A	7.3
Wilson Avenue with Edgewood Avenue <sup>2</sup>						
Northbound Approach	В	10.2	В	11.9	В	10.3
Wilson Avenue with Access Drive <sup>2</sup>						
Southbound Approach	В	10.2	В	12.0	В	10.0

LOS - Level of Service

Delay - Measured in seconds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All Way Stop Control Intersection

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Represents operation of the approach under stop sign control.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All Way Stop Control Intersection

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Represents operation of the approach under stop sign control.

The results of the capacity analyses show that the subject intersections are currently operating at a very good level of service and will continue to do so in the future with minimal increases in the delay experienced at each intersection. Furthermore, based on a review of the projected traffic volumes and the results of the capacity analyses, no widening of Wilson Avenue at its intersection with the proposed access drive will be necessary to accommodate future traffic volumes. Therefore, the roadway system has sufficient reserve capacity to accommodate the additional traffic that will be generated by the recreational center as well as the traffic that is generated by the Madison Meadow Park parking area north of the site.

Given the type of proposed facility and its location adjacent to the Madison Meadow Park, the Village of Lombard should consider evaluating at a later date when the facility is fully operational whether high visibility crosswalks similar to the one provided on the westbound approach of Wilson Avenue at its intersection with Edgewood Avenue be provided at the intersections of Fairfield Avenue with Wilson Avenue and Taylor Road.

## Potential Drop Off/Pick Up Activity

The recreational center is proposing a one-way counterclockwise pick-up/drop-off lane on the southwest side of the building approximately 70 feet north of Wilson Road. Based on a review of the site plan, the pick-up/drop-off lane will accommodate approximately six to eight vehicles before reaching the access drive off Wilson Avenue. Furthermore, the pick-up/drop-off lane will be approximately 24 feet wide therefore providing a passing lane when vehicles are standing to pick-up/drop-off passengers. In order to ensure that traffic does not back up to Wilson Avenue, the following is recommended:

- 1. Signs indicating "No Parking or Standing" and "Pick-Up/Drop-Off Only" should be placed along the pick-up/drop-off lane.
- 2. A traffic aide might be necessary during days in which the park district might be holding various basketball games/practices at the same time to ensure that vehicles do not stand or park in the lane and traffic continues to flow efficiently.

#### Conclusion

Based on the preceding site traffic analysis for the proposed recreational center, the following conclusions and recommendations are made.

- The traffic to be generated by the proposed recreational center will be very similar to the traffic that used to be generated by the site when it was occupied by the Pioneer Day Care Center.
- The new site traffic generated volumes will be able to enter and exit the site via two locations with minimal impact on vehicular traffic movements.
- The results of the capacity analyses show that all of the intersections in the study area are currently operating at a very good level of service and will continue to do so under future conditions.
- The access drive off Wilson Avenue should provide, as shown on the plan, one inbound lane and two outbound lanes striped for an exclusive left-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane with outbound movements under stop sign control.
- The following recommendations were developed to ensure efficient use of the proposed drop off/pick-up lane.
  - Signs indicating "No Parking or Standing" and "Pick-Up/Drop-Off Only" should be placed along the pick-up/drop-off lane.
  - A traffic aide might be necessary during days in which the park district might be holding various basketball games/practices at the same time to ensure that vehicles do not stand or park in the lane and traffic continues to flow efficiently.

**Appendix** 

## LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Average Total Delay (SEC/VEH)
≤10
>10 and ≤15
>15 and ≤25
>25 and ≤35
>35 and ≤50
>50

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 2010.

Intersection		
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.7	
Intersection LOS		

Movement Services	EBU	FBL	FBI	FBK	MRU	MRL	MRI	MARK	NRU	NBL	MRI	NBK
Lane Configurations			4				4		377		4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1	137	28	0	19	136	4	0	17	16	14
Future Vol, veh/h	0	1	137	28	0	19	136	4	0	17	16	14
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.92	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.92	0.87	0.87	0.87
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	1	157	32	0	22	156	5	0	20	18	16
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Approach		EB		10 7 3		WB				NB	A Comment	
Opposing Approach		WB				EB				SB		
Opposing Lanes		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Left		SB				NB				EB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Right		NB				SB				WB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1				1				1		
HCM Control Delay		8.7				8.8				8.2		
HCM LOS		Α				Α				Α		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	36%	1%	12%	20%	
Vol Thru, %	34%	83%	86%	77%	
Vol Right, %	30%	17%	3%	3%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	47	166	159	71	
LT Vol	17	1	19	14	
Through Vol	16	137	136	55	
RT Vol	14	28	4	2	
Lane Flow Rate	54	191	183	82	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.072	0.232	0.227	0.11	
Departure Headway (Hd)	4.774	4.369	4.481	4.864	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cap	749	822	800	736	
Service Time	2.809	2.394	2.508	2.898	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.072	0.232	0.229	0.111	
HCM Control Delay	8.2	8.7	8.8	8.5	
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	A	Α	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.2	0.9	0.9	0.4	

inte		

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	14	55	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	14	55	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.87	0.87	0.87
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	16	63	2
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0
Approach	#12# J	SB	A CONTRACTOR	The Ball
Opposing Approach		NB	10/2	
Opposing Lanes		1		
Conflicting Approach Left		WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1		
Conflicting Approach Right		EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1		
HCM Control Delay		8.5		
HCM LOS		Α		

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	7.2
Intersection LOS	$oldsymbol{A}$

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations			4				4				4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	3	1	14	0	3	0	0	0	8	18	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	3	1	14	0	3	0	0	0	8	18	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	4	1	18	0	4	0	0	0	10	23	0
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Approach		EB	Man N			WB				NB		W. B.
Opposing Approach		WB				EB		-		SB		
Opposing Lanes		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Left		SB				NB				EB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				1				1		

Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	<b>1</b>
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1		
HCM Control Delay	6.8	7.4	7.3
HCM LOS	Α	Α	A

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	31%	17%	100%	2%
Vol Thru, %	69%	6%	0%	94%
Vol Right, %	0%	78%	0%	4%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	26	18	3	53
LT Vol	8	3	3	1
Through Vol	18	1	0	50
RT Vol	0	14	0	2
Lane Flow Rate	32	22	4	66
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.037	0.023	0.005	0.073
Departure Headway (Hd)	4.09	3.674	4.323	3.985
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	876	969	824	901
Service Time	2.112	1.718	2.369	2.001
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.037	0.023	0.005	0.073
HCM Control Delay	7.3	6.8	7.4	7.3
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	Α	A
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.1	0.1	0	0.2

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1	50	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	1	50	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	1	63	3
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0
Approach		SB		
Opposing Approach		NB		
Opposing Lanes		1		
Conflicting Approach Left		WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1		
Conflicting Approach Right		EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1		
HCM Control Delay		7.3		
HCM LOS		Α		

Intersection								
	0.6					Modern		
Movement		EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations		<b>(</b>			स	W		
Traffic Vol, veh/h		157	7	2	148	6	14	
Future Vol, veh/h		157	7	2	148	6	14	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control		Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized			None		None		None	
Storage Length			029	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #		0			0	0		
Grade, %		0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor		88	88	88	88	88	88	
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mymt Flow		178	8	2	168	7	16	
Major/Minor		Major1	Note the	Major2		Minor1	(A) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
Conflicting Flow All		0	0	186	0	355	182	
Stage 1				100		182		
Stage 2						173		
Critical Hdwy		ennen.	S BOSLAND	4.12		6.42	6.22	
				4,12	111	5.42	0.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1						5.42	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	X III	2.218		3.518	3.318	
Follow-up Hdwy		JE JOSE		1388		643	861	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			TO STATE OF THE ST	1300	EASTE VI	849	001	
Stage 1 Stage 2						857		
Platoon blocked. %						037	<b>用型配合和用品等的基本</b> 值	
			502083448	1388		642	861	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		Hitte		1900	Mintella Control	642	001	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		HIVELTON	augenno		ALCOHOL:	849		
Stage 1			BANK MA			855		
Stage 2				-		600		
Approach		EB		WB		NB		Total State of the
HCM Control Delay, s		0		0.1		9.7		
HCM LOS						A		
Minor Lane/Major Mymt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR 1	WBL WBT		SKELLER KEDWESKLASIS		
Capacity (veh/h)	781			1388 -	5763.88			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.029	1000000		0.002 -		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O		
HCM Control Delay (s)	9.7			7.6 0				
HCM Lane LOS	3.1 A	35III//8541		A A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	A SAMES	SALE-114	0 -				
TOTAL SOUL WINE CHACK)	0.1			Vertiland and				

Intersection		The second
Intersection Delay, s/veh	11.5	
Intersection LOS		

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations			4				4				4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	8	198	40	0	34	220	26	0	44	109	30
Future Voi, veh/h	0	8	198	40	0	34	220	26	0	44	109	30
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.89	0.89	0.89
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	9	222	45	0	38	247	29	0	49	122	34
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Approach		EB				WB			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NB		
Opposing Approach		WB				EB				SB		
Opposing Lanes		18				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Left		SB				NB				EB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Right		NB				SB				WB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1				1				1		
HCM Control Delay		11.4				12.2				11.1		
HCM LOS		В				В				В		

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	24%	3%	12%	17%	
Vo! Thru, %	60%	80%	79%	80%	
Vol Right, %	16%	16%	9%	3%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	183	246	280	60	
LT Vol	44	8	34	10	
Through Vol	109	198	220	48	
RT Vol	30	40	26	2	
Lane Flow Rate	206	276	315	67	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.315	0.391	0.445	0.11	
Departure Headway (Hd)	5.516	5.089	5.093	5.852	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cap	652	708	708	611	
Service Time	3.552	3.119	3.123	3.896	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.316	0.39	0.445	0.11	
HCM Control Delay	11.1	11.4	12.2	9.6	
HCM Lane LOS	В	В	В	Α	
HCM 95th-tile Q	1.3	1.9	2.3	0.4	

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	10	48	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	10	48	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.89	0.89	0.89
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	11	54	2
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0
Approach		SB		
Opposing Approach		NB		
Opposing Lanes		1		
Conflicting Approach Left		WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1		
Conflicting Approach Right		EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1		
HCM Control Delay		9.6		
HCM LOS		Α		

Intersection		
Intersection Delay, s/veh	7.6	
Intersection LOS		

EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
		4				4				4	
0	4	2	3	0	0	1	4	0	5	119	13
0	4	2	3	0	0	1	4	0	5	119	13
0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0	4	2	3	0	0	1	4	0	5	125	14
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	0 0 0.92 2	0 4 0 4 0.92 0.95 2 2	0 4 2 0 4 2 0.92 0.95 0.95 2 2 2	0 4 2 3 0 4 2 3 0 4 2 3 0.92 0.95 0.95 0.95 2 2 2 2 2	0     4     2     3     0       0     4     2     3     0       0     4     2     3     0       0.92     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.92       2     2     2     2     2	0     4     2     3     0     0       0     4     2     3     0     0       0     4     2     3     0     0       0.92     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.92     0.95       2     2     2     2     2     2	0     4     2     3     0     0     1       0     4     2     3     0     0     1       0     4     2     3     0     0     1       0.92     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.92     0.95     0.95       2     2     2     2     2     2     2	0     4     2     3     0     0     1     4       0     4     2     3     0     0     1     4       0     4     2     3     0     0     1     4       0.92     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.95       2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2	0     4     2     3     0     0     1     4     0       0     4     2     3     0     0     1     4     0       0     9     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.95       0	0     4     2     3     0     0     1     4     0     5       0     4     2     3     0     0     1     4     0     5       0.92     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.92     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.92     0.95       2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2	0     4     2     3     0     0     1     4     0     5     119       0     4     2     3     0     0     1     4     0     5     119       0.92     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.92     0.95     0.95     0.95     0.92     0.95       2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2

Approach	EB	WB	NB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Left	是是11年,11年,11年,11年,11年,11年,11年,11年,11年,11	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Right			1
HCM Control Delay	7.3	6.9	7.7
HCM LOS	A	A	Α

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	4%	44%	0%	0%
Vol Thru, %	87%	22%	20%	96%
Vol Right, %	9%	33%	80%	4%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	137	9	5	56
LT Vol	5	4	0	0
Through Vol	119	2	1	54
RT Vol	13	3	4	2
Lane Flow Rate	144	9	5	59
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.158	0.011	0.006	0.066
Departure Headway (Hd)	3.953	4.175	3.808	4.045
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	909	845	925	884
Service Time	1.973	2.26	1.894	2.079
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.158	0.011	0.005	0.067
HCM Control Delay	7.7	7.3	6.9	7.4
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.6	0	0	0.2

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR	į
Lane Configurations			4		-
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	54	2	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	54	2	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	
Mymt Flow	0	0	57	2	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	
Approach			SB		ĺ
Opposing Approach			NB		
Opposing Lanes			1		
Conflicting Approach Left			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left			1		
Conflicting Approach Right			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right			1		
HCM Control Delay			7.4		

# 9: Edgewood Avenue & Wilson Avenue

Intersection	機工器和其實數					manda ma	
Int Delay, s/veh	0.8						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	<u></u>			स	**		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	222		7	259	13	19	
Future Vol, veh/h	222	16	7	259	13	19	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	25	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized		None		None		None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0			0	0		
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	89	89	89	89	89	89	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	249	18	8	291	15	21	
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1		
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	267	0	565	283	
Stage 1			201		258		
Stage 2		NUT DECEM	CONTRACTOR AND PARTY OF	RESTRUCTION OF STREET	307	Reference Marie Hall	
Critical Hdwy			4.12		6.42	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		2	7.12	el la la company	5.42	0.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2					5.42		
Follow-up Hdwy	_		2.218		3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	Miletille Comme		1297	is a reverse	486	756	
Stage 1	Medium of the	71 m	1231	NAME OF STREET	785	100	
Stage 2		LEDNIG IN		in week in the same	746	440-140-140	
Platoon blocked, %		LTOS I TOP I	STATE OF THE STATE	THE THEFT			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		ZIMAS ZIR	1266	Water Harrison	482	738	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			1200	ALELY INCIDE	482	100	
Stage 1	io vicinistorie	FAL NO IN	i a vasandisara	ecesses de la	785	SENS VERENIA	
		THE REAL PROPERTY.		THE STATE OF	740	STREET, STREET,	
Stage 2					740		
Approach	EB		WB		NB		
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	0		0.2		11.3 B		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1 EBT	EBR	WBL WBT				
Capacity (veh/h)	607 -		1266 -				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.059 -	-	0.006 -				
HCM Control Delay (s)	11.3 -						
HCM Lane LOS	В -	-	A A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2 -		0 -				

Intersection		
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.6	
Intersection LOS	A	

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations			4		3.34.6		4				4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	11	113	28	0	25	118	5	0	20	40	17
Future Vol, veh/h	0	11	113	28	0	25	118	5	0	20	40	17
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	13	133	33	0	29	139	6	0	24	47	20
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0

Approach	<b>E8</b>	WB	NB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1		1101
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Right		建筑影響及影響19月四周原	1
HCM Control Delay	8.6	8.8	8.4
HCM LOS	Α	Α	Α

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	26%	7%	17%	17%	
Vol Thru, %	52%	74%	80%	74%	
Vol Right, %	22%	18%	3%	9%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	77	152	148	47	
LT Vol	20	11	25	8	
Through Vol	40	113	118	35	
RT Vol	17	28	5	4	
Lane Flow Rate	91	179	174	55	
Geometry Grp		1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.119	0.218	0.217	0.074	
Departure Headway (Hd)	4.721	4.386	4.496	4.829	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cap	759	819	799	741	
Service Time	2.752	2.411	2.522	2.864	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.12	0.219	0.218	0.074	
HCM Control Delay	8.4	8.6	8.8	8.3	
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	A	Α	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.2	

-		200	200	i Ann
			-	EC 33 E
ш	~			ion

Intersection Delay, s/veh Intersection LOS

Conflicting Approach Right

Conflicting Lanes Right HCM Control Delay

HCM LOS

SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR	
		4		
0	8	35	4	
0	8	35	4	
0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	
2	2	2	2	
0	9	41	5	
0	0	1	0	
	SB			
	NB			= 3 - 3 - 12 - MSR88 C
	1			
	WB			
	1			
	0 0 0.92 2 0	0 8 0 8 0.92 0.85 2 2 0 9 0 0 SB NB	0 8 35 0 8 35 0.92 0.85 0.85 2 2 2 2 0 9 41 0 0 1 SB  NB 1	0 8 35 4 0 8 35 4 0.92 0.85 0.85 0.85 2 2 2 2 2 0 9 41 5 0 0 1 0 SB  NB 1

EB

1

8.3 A

Intersection Delay, s/veh	7.2	
Intersection LOS	Α	

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations			4				4				4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	49	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	49	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	1	0	3	0	10 A	0	1	0	1	53	2
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
A		mp.		91 8 98 93	STORES OF STREET	Char		SPECIFICATION		MD	U 88 80 4	1600000

Approach	EB	WB	NB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	(建设的景色) 第二十年代。2018年代
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	
HCM Control Delay	6.8	7	7.2
HCM LOS	A	A	Α

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	2%	25%	50%	0%
Vol Thru, %	94%	0%	0%	96%
Vol Right, %	4%	75%	50%	4%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	52	4	2	45
LT Vol	1	1	1	0
Through Vol	49	0	0	43
RT Vol	2	3	1	2
Lane Flow Rate	57	4	2	49
Geometry Grp	1	1	E 1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.062	0.004	0.002	0.054
Departure Headway (Hd)	3.962	3.716	3.918	3.961
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Сар	907	958	909	907
Service Time	1.972	1.76	1.962	1.971
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.063	0.004	0.002	0.054
HCM Control Delay	7.2	6.8	7	7.2
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.2	0	0	0.2

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			4	150/84/4-170/19	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	43	2	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	43	2	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	
Mymt Flow	0	0	47	2	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	
Approach	18 19 2	200 NEWS	SB		
Opposing Approach			NB		
Opposing Lanes			1		
Conflicting Approach Left			WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left			1		
Conflicting Approach Right			EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right			1		
HCM Control Delay			7.2		
HCM LOS			Α		

Intersection Int Delay, s/veh	0.9		-	a policy of the second				10.00
	U.9							
Movement		EBT	EBR	WBL		NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations		7.			व	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h		131	6	8		11	12	
Future Vol, veh/h		131	6	3		11	12	Control Colonia de Companya de Control de Control
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	(		0	25	
Sign Control		Free	Free	Free		Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized		•	None		None		None	
Storage Length		_	_		_	0	_	
Veh in Median Storage, #		0			CONTRACTOR AND SERVICE	0	•	
Grade, %		0	-			0		
Peak Hour Factor		82	82	82		82	82	
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2	2		2	2	
Mvmt Flow		160	7	10	170	13	15	
Major/Minor		Vajor1		Major2		Minor1		
Conflicting Flow All		0	0	167	The second of the second of	352	188	
Stage 1			Signer)		Outroughtening (Color)	163		
Stage 2		E-19-10-0-1-6	-	Grosso i Horszoria	-	189	-	
Critical Hdwy				4.12	NAME OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	6.42	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	-	-	_	5.42	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2					all values	5.42	- 10	
Follow-up Hdwy			-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver				1411	Land In	646	854	
Stage 1		-	-	an manage page	Minimum Commission	866	-	
Stage 2						843		
Platoon blocked, %		A STATE OF	a-psychologic					
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver				1377		641	834	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			Har bridge Cal	and the state of t	alest the second	641	-	
Stage 1		ASSES:	1901 T	arsunisari.	kar liki si aya	866		
Stage 2			POLITICAL SOL	er Alt zovitalist	-	836	AND SOUR ARRESTS	
Approach	4	EB	3 7.4	WB		NB		
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS		0		0.4		10.1 B		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT		NBL WBT			The second	
Capacity (veh/h)	729			1377 -				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.038	-	- 0	.007 -				
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.1			7.6 0				
HCM Lane LOS	В	-	-	A A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	Die Bi	SASSEE R	0 -				

Intersection			
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.9		
Intersection LOS	A		

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations			4				4				44	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1	152	28	0	22	144	4	0	17	16	19
Future Vol, veh/h	0	1	152	28	0	22	144	4	0	17	16	19
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.92	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.92	0.87	0.87	0.87
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	1	175	32	0	25	166	5	0	20	18	22
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Approach	<b>自由的自由的</b>	EB	1 1 1 1 1 1	Sec. 1		WB	E 202 1 S	ALC: HINDS		NB		

Approach	EB	WB	NB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB
Opposing Lanes	1		
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1 - 1	是是美国人民国共和国	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	S. \$1. \$2. 1 日本基本的主义	ja kate i kanala 1 maja sama 2 maja
HCM Control Delay	9	9	8.3
HCM LOS	Α	Α	A

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	33%	1%	13%	20%	
Vol Thru, %	31%	84%	85%	77%	
Vol Right, %	37%	15%	2%	3%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	52	181	170	71	
LT Vol	17	1	22	14	
Through Vol	16	152	144	55	
RT Vol	19	28	4	2	
Lane Flow Rate	60	208	195	82	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.08	0.255	0.245	0.112	
Departure Headway (Hd)	4.798	4.408	4.52	4.942	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cap	745	813	793	724	
Service Time	2.84	2.439	2.552	2.983	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.081	0.256	0.246	0.113	
HCM Control Delay	8.3	9	9	8.6	
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.3	1	1	0.4	

#### Intersection

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	V: 50		4		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	14	55	2	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	14	55	2	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.87	0.87	0.87	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	96-11-1905-11-2117111-1
Mvmt Flow	0	16	63	2	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	

SB	
NB	
WB	
1	
EB	
<b>三是國際</b>	
8.6	
Α	
	NB 1 WB 1 EB

Intersection		
Intersection Delay, s/veh	7.3	
Intersection LOS		

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations			4	94V   14	ii ii		4	One)			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	3	4	14	0	3	1	5	0	8	18	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	3	4	14	0	3	1	5	0	8	18	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	4	5	18	0	4	1	6	0	10	23	0
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB
Opposing Lanes	1	1 1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1		
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	6.9	7	7.3
HCM LOS	Α	A A CONTRACTOR	A

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	31%	14%	33%	17%	
Vol Thru, %	69%	19%	11%	79%	
Vol Right, %	0%	67%	56%	3%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	26	21	9	63	
LT Vol	8	3	3	11	
Through Vol	18	4	1	50	
RT Vol	0	14	5	2	
Lane Flow Rate	32	26	11	79	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.037	0.027	0.012	0.088	
Departure Headway (Hd)	4.119	3.763	3.88	4.039	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cap	869	944	915	888	
Service Time	2.147	1.815	1.934	2.058	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.037	0.028	0.012	0.089	
HCM Control Delay	7.3	6.9	7	7.4	
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.1	0.1	0	0.3	

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	11	50	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	11	50	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	14	63	3
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0
Approach		SB	in se	
Opposing Approach		NB		
Opposing Lanes		1		
Conflicting Approach Left		WB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1		
Conflicting Approach Right		EB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1		
HCM Control Delay		7.4		
HCM LOS		Α		

Int Delay, s/veh	0.7										
Movement		EBT	EBR	<b>英国 計</b> 院	WBL	WBT		NBL	NBR	STATE OF THE SAME	
ane Configurations		4				स		W			
Traffic Vol, veh/h		165	9		2	163		9	14		
Future Vol, veh/h		165	9		2	163		9	14		
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0		0	0		0	25		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Free	Free		Stop	Stop		
RT Channelized			and the same of the same of			None		TE SE	None		
Storage Length		-	-			-		0	-		
Veh in Median Storage, #		0				0		0			
Grade, %		0	-		57612480483	0		0	-		
Peak Hour Factor		88	88		88	88		88	88		
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2		2	2		2	2		
Mymt Flow		188	10		2	185		10	16		
		100			MUANE	100	NEISSANIA INSTITUTA				STHERE SOURS MINES
Major/Minor	٨	/lajor1		A A	Najor2		Mi	nor1		Maria Carlo	
Conflicting Flow All		0	0		198	0		383	218		
Stage 1								193			
Stage 2		HERMAN GRO	-			STEP STATE OF THE STATE OF		190			
Critical Hdwy					4.12		(27) 好意 整理	6.42	6.22		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		_	-		-	-		5.42	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		_				VIII I		5.42	125 EU (		
Follow-up Hdwy		-	_		2.218	_		518	3.318		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		Este			1375			620	822		
Stage 1		IA WESTERNION	-		Andrews III			840	SERIEM OSSESSED MARKET		
Stage 2								842			
Platoon blocked, %		H 1440011359				KERNIFE MEM		0.12			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver					1342			619	802		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		HOURS.	WEST-MEN		1012			619	-		
Stage 1			i and					840			
Stage 2			MINE AND S		WAR TO	STATE OF STREET		840			
Staye 2								040			
Approach		EB			WB			NB			
HCM Control Delay, s		0			0.1			0.2			
HCM LOS					0.1			В			
/linor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT				US ELECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE		
Capacity (veh/h)	719			1342							
ICM Lane V/C Ratio	0.036		-	0.002	-						
ICM Control Delay (s)	10.2			7.7	0						
ICM Lane LOS	В	-	-	Α	Α						
CM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1			0							

Intersection	0.9							
Movement	EBL	EBT	M and the same M		WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स		[ቅ		ሻ	7	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	20	165		59	18	10	11	
Future Vol, veh/h	20	165	1	59	18	10	11	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Fr		Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	•	None		- 1	Vone	•	None	
Storage Length		-		-	-	0	0	
Veh in Median Storage, #	•	0		0		0		
Grade, %		0		0		0		
Peak Hour Factor	92	92		92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	22	179	65 24 4 4 <b>1</b>	73	20	11	12	
Major/Minor	Major1		Majo	12		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	192	0		-	0	406	183	
Stage 1						183		
Stage 2	ecutalistatica.	AMERICA PROGRAMMO		-	epanne distribution	223		
Critical Hdwy	4.12	MENTERS IN				6.42	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-			_	-	5.42	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	47.2	V		1.		5.42		
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-		-	-	3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1381					601	859	
Stage 1	-	-			-	848	entition (Tables Personal Inches	
Stage 2					ATT LET	814	La Caller de la	
Platoon blocked, %		AND PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		-	-:			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1381					590	859	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		MESSAGE OF THE SECTION OF THE SECTIO		-	· ·	590	nec e social institution and an exercise	
Stage 1				HIER		848		
Stage 2				- DIFFER	MALESCAN	799	ra-concentration Fallschied inch	
Approach	EB		waa marka marka waxa waxa waxa waxa waxa waxa waxa wa	R		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.8			0		10.2		
HCM LOS	0.0			U		B		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT WI	ST WBR SBLn1,SBLn	2				
Capacity (veh/h)	1381		590 85					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.016	REBUILDING	0.018 0.01					
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.6	0	11.2 9.					
HCM Lane LOS								
	A	Α		A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0		0.1	0				

Intersection		
Intersection Delay, s/veh	12.3	
Intersection LOS	В	

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations		de-land to the same	4				44				4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	11	216	40	0	40	237	26	0	44	113	38
Future Vol, veh/h	0	11	216	40	0	40	237	26	0	44	113	38
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.89	0.89	0.89
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	12	243	45	0	45	266	29	0	49	127	43
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Number of Lattes	U	U		U	U	U	1	U	U	U	1	

Approach	EB	WB	NB
Opposing Approach	WB	ЕВ	SB
Opposing Lanes	1		1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Right	17.	1	1
HCM Control Delay	12.3	13.3	11.7
HCM LOS	B	В	В

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	23%	4%	13%	15%	
Vol Thru, %	58%	81%	78%	78%	
Vol Right, %	19%	15%	9%	6%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	195	267	303	65	
LT Vol	44	11	40	10	
Through Vol	113	216	237	51	
RT Vol	38	40	26	4	
Lane Flow Rate	219	300	340	73	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.345	0.436	0.494	0.123	
Departure Headway (Hd)	5.666	5.229	5.225	6.04	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cap	633	687	688	591	
Service Time	3.713	3.272	3.267	4.101	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.346	0.437	0.494	0.124	
HCM Control Delay	11.7	12.3	13.3	10	
HCM Lane LOS	В	В	В	A	
HCM 95th-tile Q	1.5	2.2	2.8	0.4	

Intersection Delay, s/veh

Intersection LOS

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	10	51	4
Future Vol, veh/h	0	10	51	4
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.89	0.89	0.89
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	11	57	4
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0
Approach		SB		
Opposing Approach		NB		
		HEATON AND A		

ripproduct	OU
Opposing Approach	NB
Opposing Lanes	1
Conflicting Approach Left	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1
Conflicting Approach Right	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1
HCM Control Delay	10
HCM LOS	Α

Intersection Delay, s/veh	7.7	590
Intersection LOS	A - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I -	

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations			4				4	7			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	4	8	3	0	5	7	19	0	5	119	20
Future Vol, veh/h	0	4	8	3	0	5	7	19	0	5	119	20
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	4	8	3	0	5	7	20	0	5	125	21
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB NB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB
Opposing Lanes	1		1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Left			
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	7.5	7.3	7.9
HCM LOS	A	A STANDARD	A

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1	
Vol Left, %	3%	27%	16%	22%	
Vol Thru, %	83%	53%	23%	75%	
Vol Right, %	14%	20%	61%	3%	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	
Traffic Vol by Lane	144	15	31	72	
LT Vol	5	4	5	16	
Through Vol	119	8	7	54	
RT Vol	20	3	19	2	
Lane Flow Rate	152	16	33	76	
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1	
Degree of Util (X)	0.168	0.019	0.037	0.088	
Departure Headway (Hd)	3.999	4.393	4.107	4.161	
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cap	893	819	877	855	
Service Time	2.042	2.394	2.107	2.215	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.17	0.02	0.038	0.089	
HCM Control Delay	7.9	7.5	7.3	7.6	
HCM Lane LOS	Α	A	A	Α	
HCM 95th-tile Q	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.3	

SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR
		4	
0	16	54	2
0	16	54	2
0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
2	2	2	2
0	17	57	2
0	0	1	0
	0 0.92 2	0 16 0.92 0.95 2 2	0 16 54 0 16 54 0.92 0.95 0.95 2 2 2

Approach	SB
Opposing Approach	NB
Opposing Lanes	1
Conflicting Approach Left	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1
Conflicting Approach Right	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1
HCM Control Delay	7.6
HCM LOS	Α

# 9: Edgewood Avenue & Wilson Avenue

Intersection	CONTRACT TO		and the same					
Int Delay, s/veh	0.8							
Movement		EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations		f)	-1		र्स	N/		
Traffic Vol, veh/h		240	20	7	276	17	19	
Future Vol, veh/h		240	20	7	276	17	19	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0	0	0	0	0	25	
Sign Control		Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized		-	None		None		None	
Storage Length		-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #		0			0	0		
Grade, %		0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor		89	89	89	89	89	89	
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow		270	22	8	310	19	21	
Major/Minor		Vajor 1		Major2		Minor1		
Conflicting Flow All		0	0	292	0	607	306	
Stage 1						281	- L	
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	326	•	
Critical Hdwy				4.12		6.42	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	-	-	-	5.42	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-			5.42		
Follow-up Hdwy		-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver				1270		460	734	
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	767	-	
Stage 2			-			731		
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver				1240		456	717	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			•	-	•	456	-	
Stage 1		Cho.			rio zavolik	767		
Stage 2		-	5025 W-1-503170			725	THE THE WAR AND A VETA	
		SENSEN				<b>经</b> 点条件和1866		ASSESSMENT OF
Approach		EB		WB	Tacil Co. V.E.	NB		
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS		0		0.2		<b>11.9</b> B		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	The second second	VBL WBT				
Capacity (veh/h)	564			240 -				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.072	-	- 0.					
-ICM Control Delay (s)	11.9			7.9 0				
HCM Lane LOS	В	-	- 1	A A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	13.00	IT PARTES	0 -				

Intersection			P. DEST. HERE		7 1 7 1		24 6 6 6	
Int Delay, s/veh 1	.2							
Movement	EBL	EBT		WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		स		<b>†</b>		ሻ	į <sup>4</sup>	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	26	238		280	21	22	23	
Future Vol, veh/h	26	238		280	21	22	23	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free		Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized		None			None		None	
Storage Length	-	-			-	0	0	
Veh in Median Storage, #		0		0		0		
Grade, %	-	0		0	enternament et protation	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92		92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mymt Flow	28	259		304	23	24	25	
Major/Minor	Major1		LOUISING SVIIII I	Major2		Minor2	The state of	
Conflicting Flow All	327	0		100012	0	631	316	
Stage 1	027	esta esta de la composição		8579458	25 MARIA	316		
Stage 2					A STATE OF THE STATE OF	315	AND ESTABLISHED	
Critical Hdwy	4.12	Sittoria de la co		550#1PI		6.42	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.12	and the last		Willie-	M - S -	5.42	0.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	C varies					5.42	V = 110 V=1=11	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218				101	3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1233					445	724	
	1233				olegies activi	739	124	
Stage 1	Marchinan	and a Self And			- 	740	SAMESTO SEE SAME TO SE	
Stage 2	NEW PROPERTY	HOLE STATES		A STATE	CALLY SOLD	740		
Platoon blocked, %	1233	Victoria de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición del		STERNIS		422	704	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1233	AVOID AND AND		19584.6		<b>433</b> 433	724	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	uztranyolikar	March de Tarlon de C			ZZZOSTADE Z			
Stage 1					EMIN THE	739		
Stage 2						720		
Approach	EB		Maria Santa	WB		SB		Bo (1/5) 4/5 (1)
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	0.8			0		<b>12</b> B		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT WE				THE REAL PROPERTY.	NOTE YOU	
Capacity (veh/h)	1233		433	724				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.023		0.055	0.035				
HCM Control Delay (s)	8	0	13.8	10.2				
HCM Lane LOS	Α	Α	B	В				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1		0.2	0.1				

Intersection			CONTRACTOR OF									
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.9											
Intersection LOS	A											
Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBI
Lane Configurations			4				4	ALC: N	(NE)	NOL	4	THE !
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	14	124	28	0	30	128	5	0	20	44	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	14	124	28	0	30	128	5	0	20	44	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.8
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0.0
Mymt Flow	0	16	146	33	0	35	151	6	Ō	24	52	20
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Approach		EB				WB				NB		
Opposing Approach		WB				EB				SB		3/11
Opposing Lanes		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Left		SB				NB				EB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Right		NB				SB				WB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1				1				1		
HCM Control Delay		8.9				9.1				8.6		
HCM LOS		Α				Α				Α		
Lane		NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1							
Vol Left, %		23%	8%	18%	15%							
Vol Thru, %		51%	75%	79%	72%							
Vol Right, %		26%	17%	3%	13%							
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop							
Traffic Vol by Lane		86	166	163	54							
LT Vol		20	14	30	8							
Through Vol		44	124	128	39							
RT Vol		22	28	5	7							
Lane Flow Rate		101	195	192	64							
Geometry Grp		1	1	1	1							
Degree of Util (X)		0.135	0.243	0.244	0.086							
Departure Headway (Hd)		4.791	4.472	4.574	4.901							
Convergence, Y/N		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Cap		746	803	784	729							
Service Time		2.835	2.504	2.606	2.948							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.135	0.243	0.245	0.088							
HCM Control Delay		8.6	8.9	9.1	8.4							
HCM Lane LOS		Α	Α	Α	A							
HCM 95th-tile Q		0.5	1	1	0.3							

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			4		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	8	39	7	在基本表示的基本人的基本人的基本人的基础的基础。
Future Vol, veh/h	0	8	39	7	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	
Mymt Flow	0	9	46	8	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	

Approach	SB	
Opposing Approach	NB	
Opposing Lanes	1	
Conflicting Approach Left	WB	
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	
Conflicting Approach Right	EB	
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	
HCM Control Delay	8.4	
HCM LOS	Α	

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	7.3											
Intersection LOS	Α											
Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBI
Lane Configurations			4	17.20			44				4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1	4	3	0	8	4	12	0	1	49	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	1	4	3	0	8	4	12	0	1	49	(
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	1	4	3	0	9	4	13	0	1	53	10
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	(
Approach		EB				WB				NB		
Opposing Approach		WB				EB				SB		
Opposing Lanes		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Left		SB				NB				EB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Right		NB				SB				WB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1				1				1		
HCM Control Delay		7.1				7.1				7.3		
HCM LOS		Α				Α				Α		
Lane		NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1							
Vol Left, %		2%	12%	33%	20%	The post of the last						
Vol Thru, %		83%	50%	17%	77%							
Vol Right, %		15%	38%	50%	4%							
Sign Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop							
Traffic Vol by Lane		59	8	24	56							
LT Vol		1	1	8	11							
Through Vol		49	4	4	43							
RT Vol		9	3	12	2							
Lane Flow Rate		64	9	26	61							
		1	1	1	1							
Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X)		0.07	0.01	0.028	0.069							
Departure Headway (Hd)		3.952	3.969	3.923	4.061							
Convergence, Y/N		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
		906	894	905	882							
Cap		1.979	2.028	1.978	2.086							
Service Time												
HCM Carrent Dalay		0.071	0.01	0.029	0.069							
HCM Control Delay		7.3	7.1	7.1	7.4							
HCM Lane LOS HCM 95th-tile Q		<b>A</b> 0.2	<b>A</b> 0	<b>A</b> 0.1	<b>A</b> 0.2							

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	11	43	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	11	43	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	12	47	2
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0

Approach	SB	
Opposing Approach	NB	
Opposing Lanes	1	
Conflicting Approach Left	WB	
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	
Conflicting Approach Right	EB	
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	
HCM Control Delay	7.4	
HCM LOS	Α	

Intersection									100000000000000000000000000000000000000
Int Delay, s/veh	1		1011111						
Movement		EBT	EBF	1	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations		1>				र्स	W		
Traffic Vol, veh/h		139		3	8	149	13	12	
Future Vol, veh/h		139	8	3	8	149	13	12	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		0		)	0	0	0	25	
Sign Control		Free	Free	}	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized			None		-	None		None	
Storage Length		-				-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	75-103			0	0		
Grade, %		0		-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor		82	82		82	82	82	82	
Heavy Vehicles, %		2	2		2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow		170	10		10	182	16	15	
Major/Minor		Major1	11 32 12	4-3-	Major2	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Minor1		
Conflicting Flow All		0	0		179	0	375	199	
Stage 1							174		
Stage 2			SEPTS FIN			Manage Anna Paris	201	AN DESCRIPTION FLATER TO IN	
Critical Hdwy					4.12		6.42	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			distriction.		7.12	CICENSIES	5.42	0.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2							5.42		
Follow-up Hdwy		-			2.218	-	3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver					1397		626	842	
Stage 1			-				856	-	
Stage 2			HAMILA		E REE		833	THE PROPERTY.	
Platoon blocked, %		-	N/SEASONS			2010012200000			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver					1364		621	822	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		NU SUMM	-		-	M. M. Order St.	621	CONTROL PROPERTY.	
Stage 1					MAKINE S		856	CL PARKASYOR	
Stage 2		- CASTICATION					826		
Annmach		ED	1050-94 1050-94		1A/D		ALD.		
Approach		EB			WB	Professional Control	NB	THE RESERVE OF THE	
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS		0			0.4		<b>10.3</b> B		
Viinor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT		Chill Milloren		
Capacity (veh/h)	704			1364	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.043	-	-	0.007	-		The second second second		
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.3			7.7	0				
HCM Lane LOS	В	-	-	Α	Α				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1			0					

Int Delay, s/veh 1	.1								
Movement	EBL	EBT			WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		4			1>		ካ	7	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	138			148	12	10	15	
Future Vol, veh/h	16	138			148	12	10	15	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0			0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free			Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized		None				None		None	
Storage Length	Harris Salatine	-			TATE LONG		0	0	
Veh in Median Storage, #		0			0		0		
Grade, %	42-214-22-574	0			0		0		
Peak Hour Factor	92	92			92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2			2	2	2	2	
Mymt Flow	17	150			161	13	11	16	
						10	E WASSIGNATION R	SWest Nickels 19 Through	
Major/Minor	Majort	15012-113		Telephy Million	Major2		Minor2		Application of the second
Conflicting Flow All	174	0			-	0	352	167	
Stage 1					SKILLER		167		
Stage 2		2012000			MAN PERSONS	en mentioners	185		
Critical Hdwy	4.12	ZVODENSKI P			Will Rose		7.12	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-			-	-	6.12	0.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		0.00				110	6.12	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218						3.518	3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1403	attic en			nervies		603	877	
Stage 1	1400	WATER CO.				STATE OF	835	017	
Stage 2	14 THE P. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH.	erinalija.				veatalles in	817	MESTREMESIANN	
Platoon blocked, %							011	明日至新代制 (古代學)	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1403				esta uraba		597	877	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	1400						597	0//	
Stage 1	entanalistik					acolesia de	824		
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE									
Stage 2							806		
pproach	EB	0 (0 17 10 17	Sp/St		WB		SB		
ICM Control Delay, s	0.8				0		10		
CM LOS	0.0				U		В		
Ainor Lane/Major Mymt	EBL	EBT 1	NBT	WBR SBLn1	SBLn2	100 M 100 M		The second of the second	The Walter
Capacity (veh/h)	1403			- 597					
ICM Lane V/C Ratio	0.012	HENCEUP!	ALC: UNION	- 0.018					
ICM Control Delay (s)	7.6	0	i si wa	- 11.1	9.2				
ICM Lane LOS	Α.	A	STATE OF	- B	3.2 A				
ICM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	and ald the	a armid	- 0.1	0.1				

January 24, 2017

Mr. William Heniff
Department of Community Development
Village of Lombard
255 E. Wilson Ave.
Lombard, IL 60148

Re:

Lombard Park District – New Recreation Center

FGM #16-2167.01

Dear Mr. Heniff:

The Lombard Park District would like to propose the following zoning variations for the new recreation center project:

- Conditional Use of a Public Recreation Facility within the CR district.
- Relief of 30' maximum height restriction to provide 36' tall proposed structure.
- Relief of 75% open space requirement to proposed 64%.

The Park District is seeking these variances and have provided the below responses to Standards for Variations.

Please contact us with any questions, or if you require any additional information.

Sincerely,

J. Community

John C. Dzarnowski, AIA Director, Municipal and Recreation

FGM Architects

Cc:

Paul Friedrichs, LPD

Daniel Purpura, AIA, FGM

File 3.1

#### VII Standards for Conditional Use

- The recreation facility proposed by the Lombard Park District has been planned to provide a needed community facility for Lombard residents while providing safe programs. Through the design and use of durable and safe materials, the facility will be 100% accessible, safe, and comfortable for all occupants.
- 2. The proposed site is located within Madison Meadow park to provide additional program space to compliment the park. The site design of the facility also considered the adjacent residential property. The parking lot has been located 30' further from property line (approximately 40' total) and a 3' planted berm has been provided to ensure adjacent residences will not affected by headlights.
- 3. The proposed site is currently surrounded by developed properties. Site circulation is designed not to hinder the neighbors. The existing curb cut location to the north have been reused and improved, while the southern curb cut has been relocated further to the east, away from the property line (to align with the drive aisle) and widened to prevent traffic congestion.
- 4. The facility is replacing a current school building and will not exceed the current utility demands. Every effort has been made to design a facility that reduces energy use via LED lighting, window shades to prevent heat gain, and efficient mechanical systems. Storm drainage in the area will also be improved by providing a large storm water basin. The overall topography of the site is to remain as is to further reduce any impact on adjacent neighborhoods.
- 5. A traffic study has been completed which indicates that the development will cause minimal increases in delay at the adjacent intersections. Several traffic control considerations that have been implemented in the plan are: one exclusive left-turn and one exclusive right turn egress lanes, inbound entrance has been located to avoid congestion at Edgewood Ave and Fairfield Ave and the parking lot has also been connected to Taylor Road to provide a secondary entrance/exit.
- 6. The current comprehensive plan indicates this property as Public and Institutional. As a community facility, the proposed project is in compliance with the comprehensive plan.

7. The site and building will conform to all zoning and building regulations with the proposed exceptions below.

#### XI Standards for Variations-Building Height

- The property adjacent to Madison Meadow Park posed multiple design challenges. Due to the topography and current drainage concerns the new building footprint had to be kept to a minimum. This prevented creating additional impervious surface and further drainage concerns. To provide the much-needed square footage and the proper heights for gymnasium programs (basketball, volleyball, etc.) the facility height was set at 36'. To overcome the larger gymnasium mass, the remainder of the two-story facility was limited at 31'.
- 2. The property is zoned CR which provides public parks and open-space uses for the citizens of the Village of Lombard. The proposed conditional use of a recreation for this site is unique since it is adjacent to Madison Meadow Park. The facility will provide complimentary facilities to the park and will also provide support services to the existing programs.
- 3. The height variation has been proposed in order to limit the amount of impervious surfaces being added as well as providing a gymnasium facility that can provide additional program space for the Lombard Park District.
- 4. The hardship of this property is due to the zoning ordinance and bulk standards.
- The additional height does not pose any safety concerns. The facility is in compliance with the International Building Code and maximum 75' height restrictions for the construction type.
- 6. To limit the height of the building and aesthetic concerns the building has been designed to have multiple roof heights and has been sited further from the existing residential neighborhoods. The facility has also been angled on the site to eliminate direct perpendicular views from the street for each of the facility elevations.
- 7. To eliminate concerns of impairing light and air to adjacent properties, the building has been sited further from property lines. The setbacks of the proposed facility are 187' from the adjacent residential lots to the west and


138' from Wilson Road. These setbacks greatly exceed the 50' required setbacks.

#### XI Standards for Variations-Lot Open Space


- One of the design challenges at the property adjacent to Madison Meadow Park is amount of open space compared to parking and traffic requirements. The building has been optimized to provide needed program space while minimizing the overall footprint. The parking lot has also been provided to provide the required parking and a drop off aisle to further reduce traffic concerns.
- 2. The conditions of this property are unique since the location is adjacent to Madison Meadow Park. Other conditional uses of the property (i.e. school/ cultural center) allow for 50% open space due to the amount of parking and site circulation required. The proposed design far exceeds these requirements.
- 3. The purpose of this variation is to provide much needed parking for the facility and limit traffic concerns for the surrounding residents.
- 4. The hardship for this property is due to zoning ordinance regulations considering the single property and not the adjacent property also owned by the Park District.
- 5. This variation will not have a detrimental impact on adjacent properties or the neighborhood. The storm water detention has been designed for additional impervious surfaces and site circulation has been planned to eliminate any impact on current walking paths and use of the adjacent park.
- 6. The facility will not affect the character of the neighborhood and will provide additional state of the art program space for all Lombard residents. The proposed design also incorporates an extensive landscape plan that will soften the site.
- 7. Reducing existing drainage issues and improving public safety are the top goals of the site design. Every effort has been made to provide a safe circulation path for all building occupants. Accessible pathways and drive

## FGM ARCHITECTS

aisles have been located to reduce congestion and allow for safe access to the building.









FGM ARCHITECTS







FGM ARCHITECTS



FGM ARCHITECTS









ALTERNATE 1








## FGM ARCHITECTS



















FGM ARCHITECTS



FGM ARCHITECTS

## **FITNESS CENTER**

- 25-30 Pieces of Cardio
- 7-10 Selectorized Weight
- Free Weight Area





SECOND FLOOR PLAN No.



$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	T	n	TN	T A	1N.T		D.	NIO
U	К	JU		ıΑ	UΝ	U	Ľ.	NO

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE TO ALLOW A PUBLIC RECREATIONAL FACILITY IN THE CONSERVATION RECREATION DISTRICT; APPROVING A VARIATION FOR BUILDING HEIGHT; AND APPROVING A VARIATION FOR REQUIRED OPEN SPACE; PURSUANT TO SECTION155.404 OF THE LOMBARD ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE PROPERTY AT 543 E. TAYLOR ROAD

(PC 17-03: Park District Recreation Center – 543 E. Taylor Road)

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Lombard have heretofore adopted the Lombard Zoning Ordinance, otherwise known as Title 15, Chapter 155 of the Code of Lombard, Illinois; and,

WHEREAS, the Subject Property, as described in Section 2 below, is zoned Conservation Recreation District; and,

WHEREAS, an application has heretofore been filed requiring approval of the following conditional use and variations, pursuant to the Lombard Zoning Ordinance (Title 15, Chapter 155 of the Village Code):

- 1. Approve a conditional use pursuant to Section 155.404(C)(7) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance for a public recreational facility;
- 2. Approve a variation from Section 155.404(G) to allow a building height of thirty-six feet (36') where a maximum of thirty feet (30') is permitted; and
- 3. Approve a variation from Section 155.404(H) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance to allow a development with less than 75% open space.

WHEREAS, a public hearing on such application has been conducted by the Village of Lombard Plan Commission on February 6, 2017, pursuant to appropriate and legal notice; and,

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has filed its recommendations with the President and Board of Trustees recommending approval of the conditional use and approval of the variations; and,

Ordinance No. \_\_\_\_\_ Re: PC 17-03 Page 2

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees approve and adopt the findings and recommendations of the Plan Commission and incorporate such findings and recommendations herein by reference as if they were fully set forth herein;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE VILLAGE OF LOMBARD, DU PAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, as follows:

**SECTION 1:** That a conditional use and two variations as set forth below are hereby granted for the Subject Property legally described in Section 2, subject to the conditions set forth in Section 3:

- 1. Approve a conditional use pursuant to Section 155.404(C)(7) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance for a public recreational facility;
- 2. Approve a variation from Section 155.404(G) to allow a building height of thirty-six feet (36') where a maximum of thirty feet (30') is permitted; and
- 3. Approve a variation from Section 155.404(H) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance to allow a development with 64% open space where a minimum of 75% open space is required.

**SECTION 2:** That this ordinance is limited and restricted to the subject property generally located at 543 E. Taylor Road, Lombard, Illinois, and more specifically legally described as set forth below:

THAT PART OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 17, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED BY BEGINNING AT A POINT IN THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER 900.67 FEET EAST OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID NORTHEAST OUARTER (SAID POINT BEING THE SOURTHEAST CORNER OF ARTHUR T. MCINTOSH AND COMPANY'S HOME ADDITION TO LOMBARD); THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER 395.00 FEET; THENCE NORTHERLY ON A STRAIGHT LINE WHICH FORMS AN ANGLE OF 76°30' TO THE LEFT WITH THE PROLONGATION OF THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE 407.13 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT HAVING A RADIUS OF 750.0 FEET, THE TANGENT OF SAID CURVE FFORMING AN ANGLE OF 107°31" WITH THE LAST DESCRIBED COURSE, AS MEASURED FROM SOUTH TO WEST, 397.6 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT HAVING A RADIUS OF 266.0 FEET, 162.86 FEET TO A POINT IN A LINE WHICH FORMS A RIGHT

Ordinance No. \_\_\_\_\_ Re: PC 17-03

Page 3

ANGLE WITH THE EAST LINE OF ARTHUR T. MCINTOSH AND COMPANY'S HOME ADDITION, SAID LINE BEING DRAWN THROUGH THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF SAID EAST LINE WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF TAYLOR AVENUE IN SAID ARTHUR T. MCINTOSH AND COMPANY'S HOME ADDITION; THENCE WESTERLY ON SAID LINE, 65.0 FEET TO SAID EAST LINE OF ARTHUR T. MCINTOSH AND COMPANY'S HOME ADDITION; THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE 630.1 FEET TO THE PLACE OF BEGINNING, (EXCEPTING THEREFROM THE SOUTH 40.0 FEET THEREOF, AS MEASURED NORTH AT RIGHT ANGLES TO SAID SOUTH LINE OF NORTHEAST QUARTER), IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PIN: 06-17-212-004

**SECTION 3:** This ordinance shall be granted subject to compliance with the following conditions:

- 1. That the approvals for a conditional use permit for a recreation center, a variation to allow a building height of 36 feet, and a variation to allow a development with 64% of the lot area maintained as open space, are valid only for the subject property at 543 E. Taylor Road;
- 2. That the petitioner shall develop the site in accordance with the following plans submitted as part of this petition and referenced in the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report, except as they may be changed to conform to Village Code:
  - a. Paving and layout plan, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;
  - b. Water main loop and proposed utility easement site plan, prepared by FGM Architects, dated February 6, 2017;
  - c. Floor plans, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;
  - d. Landscape plans, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9,
     2016, to be amended to address foundation landscaping as noted in this report; and
  - e. Exterior rendering, prepared by FGM Architects, dated December 9, 2016;
- 3. That the petitioner shall submit a lighting plan during permit review;
- 4. That the petitioner shall provide 145 parking spaces on the site if the larger alternative is constructed;

Ordinance No  Re: PC 17-03  Page 4									
That the petitioner shall provide a public utility easement for the water main to be constructed on the site, subject to the approval of the Public Works Department and the Village Board;									
That the petitioner shall satisfactorily address all comments noted within the Inter- Departmental Review Committee Report; and									
Pursuant to the Zoning Ordinance, the project construction shall commence within one (1) year from the date of approval of the ordinance, or this approval for a conditional use and building height and open space variations shall be come null and void unless a time extension has been granted by the Village Board.									
SECTION 4: This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form as provided by law.									
Passed on first reading this day of, 2017.									
First reading waived by action of the Board of Trustees this day of, 2017.									
Passed on second reading this day of, 2017, pursuant to a roll call vote as follows:									
Ayes:									
Nays:									
Absent:									
Approved by me this day of, 2017.									
Keith T. Giagnorio, Village President									
ATTEST:									

Sharon Kuderna, Village Clerk

Ordinance No Re: PC 17-03 Page 5		
Published in pamphlet from this	day of	, 2017.
Sharon Kuderna, Village Clerk		